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#### Abstract

This paper is the translation by Giampiero Esposito of a paper originally published in French in Acta Mathematica 88, 141-225 (1952) under the title: Théorème d'existence for certain systèmes d'équations aux dérivées partielles non linéaires. The first three chapters are devoted to the solution of the Cauchy problem, in the nonanalytic case, for a system of nonlinear second-order hyperbolic partial differential equations with $n$ unknown functions and four independent variables. This task is accomplished in chapter III by using the system of integral equations fulfilled by the solutions of partial differential equations that approximate the original nonlinear system. In chapter IV, such results are applied to the vacuum Einstein equations. The resulting Ricci-flatness condition is expressed, in isothermal coordinates, through nonlinear equations of the kind studied here. It is hence proved that the solution of the Cauchy problem, pertaining to such nonlinear equations, satisfies over the whole of its existence domain the isothermal conditions if the same is true for the initial data. One therefore obtains a solution of the vacuum Einstein equations which is unique up to a coordinate change.


## Introduction

I have studied the formulation of the Cauchy problem for nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equations as suggested by the equations of Einstein's gravitation. These equations are indeed a system of ten second-order equations, with four independent variables (space and time) and ten unknown functions, the gravitational potentials. These equations are of normal hyperbolique type in a regular system of spacetime coordinates. The determinism problem occurs, in Einstein's theory, in the form of a Cauchy problem, the data being assigned on a space-oriented manifold, with respect to this system of equations. The study of this problem, on assuming analytic Cauchy data ${ }^{1}$, had shown that, by using four conditions fulfilled by these data, in correspondence to some initial data, assigned on a noncharacteristic surface $S$, there existed an Einstein spacetime in the neighbourhood of $S$. The study of characteristic surfaces, defined by the fact that Cauchy data, assigned on such surfaces, do not determine in its neighbourhood a spacetime, had shown that these surfaces were tangent at any point $M$ whatsoever on them to the characteristic conoid with vertex at $M$, this conoid being generated by light rays, i.e., null geodesics. One could also see the emergence of gravitational waves and gravitational rays, giving to the gravitational field the character of a propagation phenomenon, and one could see the identity of propagation laws for light and for the gravitational field. It therefore seemed very important to extend these results to nonanalytic Cauchy data, on the one hand because such an hypothesis of analyticity is meaningless in a physical theory where coordinate changes are only restricted to be sufficiently differentiable, on the other hand to highlight what M. Stellmacher [11] calls causal structure of spacetime: the gravitational field at a point $M$ should only depend on the field at points preceding $M$ (i.e. one can reach the point $M$ by a future-directed timelike worldline, which has a lower bound for the time coordinate). M. Stellmacher had proved, by using majorizations of Friedrichs and Lewy type and isothermal coordinates, an uniqueness theorem: to Cauchy data assigned on a domain of a spacelike surface located within the characteristic conoid of vetex $M$, there corresponds at most one single system of potentials at the point $M$ (up to a coordinate change). It has been our aim to prove that there corresponds effectively one such a system of gravitational potentials.

[^0]The problem that I have considered is the Cauchy problem with respect to a system of secondorder partial differential equations, which are only linear with respect to second derivatives. The universe being described by a system of isothermal and regular spacetime coordinates, the coefficients of second derivatives are the same for the ten equations, the corresponding quadratic form having to be of the normal hyperbolic type.

The solution of the Cauchy problem for a nonlinear hyperbolic partial differential equation has been determined by H. Lewy [5], in the case of two variables, by integration along characteristics and subsequent approximations. Schauder [7], by using majorizations of the Friedrichs and Lewy type and the approximation by means of analytic functions, pointed out in 1935 to a method enabling, no doubt, to obtain an existence theorem for a second-order equation, hyperbolic, in any number of independent variables. In 1937, by using a majorization discovered by Haar, Schauder [8] proved the existence of a solution of the Cauchy problem for certain systems of first-order equations. His solution was applicable, in particular, to a second-order equation in two variables. The study of first-order hyperbolic systems and the Fourier transform led on the other hand Petrovsky [9], after Herglotz [8], to a formulation of very general existence theorems.

It seemed to me that, for the problems considered by the theory of relativity, it would be interesting to obtain, under the minimal possible amount of assumptions, an existence theorem easy to use, enabling to find properties of the solutions that can be compared with the classical properties of light waves and gravitational potentials, and to have formulas which can be an efficient method of calculating gravitational fields, at least approximately, that correspond to given initial conditions

I therefore devote the first three chapters of this work to the solution of the Cauchy problem, in the nonanalytic case, for a system of nonlinear second-order hyperbolic partial differential equations with $n$ unknown functions $W_{s}$ and four independent variables $x^{\alpha}$, having the form

$$
E_{s}=A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+f_{s}=0, \lambda, \mu=1,2,3,4, s=1,2, \ldots, n
$$

where $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ are given functions of the unknown $W_{s}$ and their first derivatives.
I use, for this solution, a system of integral equations fulfilled by the seven-times differentiable solutions of equations $E .{ }^{2}$ This system is obtained for some linear equations by integrating over the characteristic conoid $\Sigma$ with vertex $M$ some linear combinations of the $E$ equations (the coefficients of these combinations are some auxiliary functions which possess at $M$ the parametrix properties, an approximation of the elementary solution of M. Hadamard) and by adjoining to the formulas of Kirchhoff type obtained in such a way the equations determining the characteristic conoid and the auxiliary functions. The results admit of an easy extension to nonlinear equations, subject to the condition of integrating over $\Sigma$ not the $E$ equations themselves but the equations derived from $E$ by five derivatives, and provided one supplements the previous integral equations with the equations relating among themselves the derivatives of the unknown functions up to the fifth order. Such a system had been formed by Sobolev [11] for an hyperbolic linear second-order partial differential equation (with analytic coefficients) and by Christianovich [13] for a nonlinear equation in four variables. Christianovich was limiting himself, however, to an equation not containing mixed second derivatives, and was not writing Kirchhoff formulas except when assigning particular values to the coefficients (the solution that he provides of the system he obtains is on the other hand erroneous, the integrals that he considers not being convergent).

By extending these methods, I write in its complete form the system of integral equations satisfied from a system whatsoever of type $E$ and I study in detail the various quantities occurring in these integral equations (chapters I and II) in light of the goal of solving them. I point out that the kernel, occurring in the Kirchhoff formula, is only bounded under differentiability assumptions made on the unknown functions. Some difficulties occur therefore in the process of solving directly the system of integral equations obtained, and of using it to solve the Cauchy problem relative to $E$.

[^1]I come in chapter III to the Cauchy problem for system $E$ by using the system of integral equations fulfilled by the solutions of partial differential equations $E_{1}$ that approximate $E$. The proof is performed in detail in the case, a bit simpler, that involves derivatives of a lower order, which is the one of equations of relativity, where the coefficients of second derivatives depend on the unknown functions but not on their first derivatives. I prove that, to Cauchy data five times differentiable, assigned on a compact domain $d$ of the initial surface $x^{4}=0$, there corresponds a unique solution, four times differentiable, of equations $E$ in a domain $D$, section of a cone having as basis the domain $d$, if the coefficients of these equations are four times differentiable.

The solution of the Cauchy problem for a system $E$ whatsoever can be obtained in a completely analogous way: it is enough to consider equations approaching not $E$ itself but some equations previously derived.

I apply, in chapter IV, the previous results to the equations of gravitation.
The equations of relativity $R_{\alpha \beta}=0$ get reduced, in isothermal coordinates, to equations of the type $E, G_{\alpha \beta}=0$. I prove, by using the conservation equations, that the solution of the Cauchy problem, pertaining to the equations $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$, satisfies over the whole of its existence domain the isothermal conditions if the same is true for the initial data. This solution satisfies therefore the equations of gravitation. I prove that it is unique up to a coordinate change. I have also built an Einstein spacetime corresponding to nonanalytic initial data, assigned on a spacelike domain, and in such a way that it highlights the propagation character which is peculiar of relativistic gravitation.

## CHAPTER I

## Linear equations

We will consider in this chapter a system $(E)$ of $n$ second-order partial differential equations, with $n$ unknown functions $u_{s}$ and four variables $x$, hyperbolic and linear, of the following type:

$$
E_{r}=A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{r}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+B_{r}^{s} \mu \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x^{\mu}}+f_{r}=0, r, s=1,2, \ldots, n, \lambda, \mu=1,2, \ldots, 4
$$

The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ (which are the same for all $n$ equations), $B_{r}^{s \mu}$ and $f_{r}$ are given functions of the four variables $x^{\alpha}$. We will assume that they satisfy, within a domain defined by

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon(i=1,2,3)
$$

(where $\bar{x}^{i}, d$ and $\varepsilon$ are some given numbers) the following assumptions:

## Assumptions on the coefficients

(1) The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ possess continuous and bounded derivatives up to the orders four and two, respectively. The coefficients $f_{r}$ are continuous and bounded.
(2) The quadratic form $A^{\lambda \mu} x_{\lambda} x_{\mu}$ is of the normal hyperbolic type, has one positive square and three negative squares. We will assume in addition that the variable $x^{4}$ is a temporal variable, the three variables $x^{i}$ being spatial, i.e.

$$
A^{44}>0 \text { and the quadratic form } A^{i j} x_{i} x_{j}<0 \text { (negative definite). }
$$

(3) Partial derivatives of the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ of order four and two, respectively, and $B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ satisfy Lipschitz conditions with respect to all their arguments.

## Summary of chapter I

We will prove, in light of our aim to solve the Cauchy problem, that every system of $n$ functions (continuous and bounded within $D$ with their first partial derivatives), satisfying the ( $E$ ) equations and taking at $x^{4}=0$, as for their first partial derivatives, some given values, is a solution of a system of integral equations $(I)$. These equations $(I)$ express the values, at a point $M_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ belonging to $D$, of the unknown $u_{s}$ in terms of their values on the characteristic chonoid ( $\Sigma_{0}$ ) of vertex $M_{0}$ and in terms of the initial data.

We will obtain these equations by integrating over $\Sigma_{0}$ some linear combinations of equations $(E)$, the coefficients of these combinations being $n^{2}$ auxiliary functions which exhibit a singularity at $M_{0}$.

We will assume, in part I of this chapter, that the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ take at $M_{0}$ some particular values $(1,0$ and -1$)$. We will suppress this restriction in part II.

## A. Characteristic conoid

## 1 Equations defining the characteristic conoid

The characteristic surfaces of system $(E)$ are three-dimensional manifolds of the space of four variables $x^{\alpha}$, solutions of the differential system

$$
F=A^{\lambda \mu} y_{\lambda} y_{\mu}=0
$$

with

$$
y_{\lambda} d x^{\lambda}=0
$$

The four quantities $y_{\lambda}$ denote a system of directional parameters of the normal to the contact element, having support $x^{\alpha}$. Let us take this system, which is only defined up to a proportionality factor, in such a way that $y_{4}=1$ and let us set $y_{i}=p_{i}$. The desired surfaces are solution of

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=A^{44}+2 A^{i 4} p_{i}+A^{i j} p_{i} p_{j}=0, d x^{4}+p_{i} d x^{i}=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristics of this differential system, bicharacteristics of equations $(E)$, satisfy the following differential equations:

$$
\frac{d x^{i}}{A^{i 4}+A^{i j} p_{j}}=\frac{d x^{4}}{A^{44}+A^{i 4} p_{i}}=\frac{-d p_{i}}{\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^{i}}-p_{i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^{4}}\right)}=d \lambda_{1},
$$

$\lambda_{1}$ being an auxiliary parameter.
The characteristic conoid $\Sigma_{0}$ with vertex $M_{0}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)$ is the characteristic surface generated from the bicharacteristics passing through $M_{0}$. Any such bicharacteristic satisfies the system of integral equations

$$
\begin{gather*}
x^{i}=x_{0}^{i}+\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} T^{i} d \lambda_{1}, T^{i}=A^{i 4}+A^{i j} p_{j} \\
x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}+\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} T^{4} d \lambda_{1}, T^{4}=A^{44}+A^{i 4} p_{i}  \tag{1.2}\\
p_{i}=p_{i}^{0}+\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} R_{i} d \lambda_{1}, R_{i}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^{i}}-p_{i} \frac{\partial F}{\partial x^{4}}\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where the $p_{i}^{0}$ verify the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}^{44}+2 A_{0}^{i 4} p_{i}^{0}+A_{0}^{i j} p_{i}^{0} p_{j}^{0}=0 \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}^{\lambda \mu}$ denotes the value of the coefficient $A^{\lambda \mu}$ at the vertex $M_{0}$ of the conoid $\Sigma_{0}$.
We will assume that at the point $M_{0}$ the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ take the following values:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0}^{44}=1, A_{0}^{i 4}=0, A_{0}^{i j}=-\delta^{i j} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The relation (1.3) takes therefore the simple form

$$
\sum\left(p_{i}^{0}\right)^{2}=1
$$

We will introduce to define the points of the surface $\Sigma_{0}$, besides the parameter $\lambda_{1}$ which defines the position of a point on a given bicharacteristic, two new parameters $\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ that vary with the bicharacteristic under consideration, by setting ${ }^{3}$

$$
p_{1}^{0}=\sin \lambda_{2} \cdot \cos \lambda_{3}, p_{2}^{0}=\sin \lambda_{2} \cdot \sin \lambda_{3}, p_{3}^{0}=\cos \lambda_{2}
$$

## 2 Domain V

The assumptions made on the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ make it possible to prove that there exists a number $\varepsilon_{1}$ defining a variation domain $\Lambda$ of the parameters $\lambda_{i}$ by means of

$$
\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{1}, 0 \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \lambda_{3} \leq 2 \pi
$$

such that the integral equations (1.2) possess within $(\Lambda)$ a unique solution, continuous and bounded

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\alpha}=x^{\alpha}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right), p_{i}=p_{i}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying the inequalities

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

and possessing partial derivatives, continuous and bounded, of the first three orders with respect to the overabundant variables $\lambda_{1}, p_{i}^{0}$ (hence with respect to the three variables $\lambda_{i}$ ).

The first four equations (2.1) define, as a function of the three parameters $\lambda_{i}$, varying within the domain $\Lambda$, a point of a domain $V$ of the characteristic conoid $\Sigma_{0}$.

We shall be led, in the following part of this work, to consider other parametric representations of the domain $V$ :
(1) We shall take as independent parameters the three quantities $x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. The function $x^{4}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{4}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} T^{4} d \lambda_{1}+x_{0}^{4} \text { where } T^{4}=A^{44}+A^{i 4} p_{i} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or it turns out from (1.3) that, on $\Sigma_{0}$, one has

$$
2 A^{i 4} p_{i}=-A^{i j} p_{i} p_{j}-A^{44} \geq-A^{44}
$$

from which

$$
T^{4} \geq \frac{A^{44}}{2}>0
$$

$x^{4}$ is thus a monotonic increasing function of $\lambda_{1}$, the correspondence between $\left(x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ is bijective.
(2) We shall take as representative parameters of a point of $\Sigma_{0}$ his three spatial coordinates $x^{i}$. The elimination of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ among the four equations yields $x^{4}$ as a function of the $x^{i}$.

From the relation

$$
d x^{4}+p_{i} d x^{i}=0
$$

identically verified from the solutions of equations (1.2) on the characteristic surface $\Sigma_{0}$, one infers that the partial derivatives of this function $x^{4}$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ verify the relation

$$
\frac{\partial x^{4}}{\partial x^{i}}=-p_{i}
$$

[^2]within the domain ( $\Lambda$ ) below. Analogous proofs are performed in chapter III.

If we denote by $[\varphi]$ the value of a function $\varphi$ of four coordinates $x^{\alpha}$ on $\Sigma_{0}$ and if we express $[\varphi]$ as a function of the three parameters $x^{i}$ representatives of $\Sigma_{0}$, the partial derivatives of this functio with respect to the $x^{i}$ fulfill therefore:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial[\varphi]}{\partial x^{i}}=\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{i}}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{4}}\right] p_{i} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Integral equations satisfied from the derivatives of the functions $x^{i}(\lambda)$ and $p_{i}(\lambda)$

We shall set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial p_{j}^{0}}=y_{j}^{i}, \frac{\partial^{2} x^{i}}{\partial p_{j}^{0} \partial p_{h}^{0}}=y_{j h}^{i}, \frac{\partial^{3} x^{i}}{\partial p_{j}^{0} \partial p_{h}^{0} \partial p_{k}^{0}}=y_{j h k}^{i} \\
& \frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial p_{j}^{0}}=z_{j}^{i}, \frac{\partial^{2} p_{i}}{\partial p_{j}^{0} \partial p_{h}^{0}}=z_{j h}^{i}, \frac{\partial^{3} p_{i}}{\partial p_{j}^{0} \partial p_{h}^{0} \partial p_{k}^{0}}=z_{j h k}^{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

These functions satisfy the integral equations obtained by derivation under the summation sign with respect to the $p_{i}^{0}$ of equations (1.2) (the quantities obtained under the integration signs being continuous and bounded). Formula (2.3) shows that these equations can be written (the derivatives $\frac{\partial x^{4}}{\partial p_{i}^{0}}$ being useless)

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{j}^{i}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} T_{j}^{i} d \lambda_{1}, T_{j}^{i}=\frac{\partial T^{i}}{\partial p_{j}^{0}}=\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{h}}\left[A^{i h}\right] p_{h}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{4}}\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right\} y_{j}^{h}+\left[A^{i h}\right] z_{j}^{h} \\
z_{j}^{i}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} R_{j}^{i} d \lambda_{1}, R_{j}^{i}=\frac{\partial R_{i}}{\partial p_{j}^{0}}=\frac{\partial R_{i}}{\partial x_{k}} y_{j}^{k}+\frac{\partial R_{i}}{\partial p_{k}} z_{j}^{k} \\
y_{j k}^{i}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} T_{j k}^{i} d \lambda_{1}, T_{j k}^{i}=\frac{\partial T_{0}^{i}}{\partial p_{k}^{0}}=\frac{\partial T_{i}}{\partial x^{h}} y_{j k}^{h}+\frac{\partial T^{i}}{\partial p_{h}} z_{j k}^{h}+\phi_{j k}^{i} \\
z_{j k}^{i}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} R_{j k}^{i} d \lambda_{1}, R_{j k}^{i}=\frac{\partial R_{j}^{i}}{\partial p_{k}^{0}}=\frac{\partial R_{i}}{\partial x^{h}} y_{j k}^{h}+\frac{\partial R_{i}}{\partial p_{h}} z_{j k}^{h}+\psi_{j k}^{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\phi_{j k}^{i}$ and $\psi_{j k}^{i}$ are polynomials of the functions $p_{i}(\lambda), y_{j}^{i}(\lambda), z_{j}^{i}(\lambda)$, of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ and of their partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ up to the third order included. In these functions the $x^{\alpha}$ are replaced from the $x^{\alpha}(\lambda)$ given by the formulas (2.1).

We would find by analogous fashion

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{j h k}^{i}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} T_{j h k}^{i} d \lambda_{1}, T_{j h k}^{i}=\frac{\partial T_{j h}^{i}}{\partial p_{k}^{0}}=\frac{\partial T^{i}}{\partial x^{l}} y_{j h k}^{l}+\frac{\partial T^{i}}{\partial p^{l}} z_{j h k}^{l}+\phi_{j h k}^{i} \\
z_{j h k}^{i}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} R_{j h k}^{i} d \lambda_{1}, R_{j h k}^{i}=\frac{\partial R_{j h}^{i}}{\partial p_{k}^{0}}=\frac{\partial R^{i}}{\partial x^{l}} y_{j h k}^{l}+\frac{\partial R^{i}}{\partial p^{l}} z_{j h k}^{l}+\psi_{j h k}^{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\phi_{j h k}^{i}$ and $\psi_{j h k}^{i}$ are polynomials of the functions $p_{i}, y_{j}^{i}, z_{j}^{i}, y_{j h}^{i}, z_{j h}^{i}$ as well as of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and of their partial derivatives up to the fourth order included (functions of the functions $x^{\alpha}$ ).

## 4 Relations satisfied by the unknown functions on the surface of the characteristic conoid

We will denote by $[\varphi]$ the value of a function $\varphi$ of the four coordinates $x^{\alpha}$ on the surface of the characteristic conoid $\Sigma_{0} .[\varphi]$ can be expressed as a function of three variables of a parametric
representation of $\Sigma_{0}$, in particular of the three coordinates $x^{i}$. In light of the equality (2.3) the partial derivatives of this function with respect to the $x^{i}$ verify the relation

$$
\left[\frac{\partial[\varphi]}{\partial x^{i}}\right]=\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{i}}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{4}}\right] p_{i} .
$$

One applies again this rule to the evaluation of the derivatives

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{i}}\right] \text { and } \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{4}}\right]
$$

from which it follows easily

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{4}}\right] }=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{4}}\right]+\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{2}}\right] p_{i} \\
& {\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}\right]=\frac{\partial^{2}[\varphi]}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{4}}\right] p_{j}+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{4}}\right] p_{i}+\left[\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{4}}\right] \frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial x^{j}} } \\
&+\left[\frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{4^{2}}}\right] p_{i} p_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

These identities make it possible to write the following relations satisfied by the unknown functions $u_{s}$ on the characteristic conoid:

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[E_{r}\right] } & =\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial^{2}\left[u_{r}\right]}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}+\left\{\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{i} p_{j}+2\left[A^{i 4}\right] p_{i}+\left[A^{44}\right]\right\} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{r}}{\partial x^{4^{2}}} \\
& +2\left\{\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right]+\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right]\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial x^{j}}+B_{r}^{s \mu}\left[\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right] \\
& +\left[f_{r}\right]=0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The coefficient of the term $\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right]$ is the value on the characteristic conoid of the first member of equation (1.1); it therefore vanishes. We might have expected on the other hand that the equations $\left[E_{r}\right]=0$ would not contain second derivatives of the functions $u_{r}$ but those obtained by derivation on the surface $\Sigma_{0}$, the assignment on a characteristic surface of the unknown functions $\left[u_{r}\right.$ ] and of their first derivatives $\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right]$ not being able to determine the set of second derivatives.

## B. Auxiliary functions

## 5 Introduction of the auxiliary functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$. Occurrence of a divergence

We form $n^{2}$ linear combinations $\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[E_{r}\right]$ of the equations (4.1) verified by the unknown functions within the domain $V$ of $\Sigma_{0}$, the $\sigma_{s}^{r}$ denoting $n^{2}$ auxiliary functions which possess at $M_{0}$ a aingularity.

We set

$$
M(\varphi)=\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \varphi}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}
$$

$\varphi$ denoting a function whatsoever of the three variables $x^{i}$, and we write

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{s}^{r} E_{r} & =\left\{M\left(\left[u_{r}\right]\right)+2\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right]\right. \\
& \left.+\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right]\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial x^{j}}+\left[B_{r}^{t \mu}\right]\left[\frac{\partial u_{t}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right]+\left[f_{r}\right]\right\} \sigma_{s}^{r}=0 . \tag{5.1}
\end{align*}
$$

We will transform these equations in such a way that a divergence occurs therein, whose volume integral will get transformed into a surface integral, while the remaining terms will contain only $\left[u_{r}\right]$ and $\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right]$. We will use for that purpose the following identity, verified by two functions whatsoever $\varphi$ and $\psi$ of the three variables $x^{i}$ :

$$
\psi M(\varphi)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] \psi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{j}}\right)-\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] \psi\right)
$$

or

$$
\psi M(\varphi)=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] \psi \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{j}}-\varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] \varphi\right)\right)+\varphi \bar{M}(\psi)
$$

where $\bar{M}$ is the adjoint operator of $M$, i.e.

$$
\bar{M}(\psi)=\frac{\partial^{2}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] \psi\right)}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}
$$

and the identity (2.3), previously written, which yields here

$$
\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{i}}\right]=\frac{\partial\left[u_{r}\right]}{\partial x^{i}}+p_{i}\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right]
$$

We see without difficulty that the expressions $\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[E_{r}\right]$ take the form

$$
\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[E_{r}\right]=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} E_{s}^{i}+\left[u_{r}\right] L_{s}^{r}+\sigma_{s}^{r} f_{r}-\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right] D_{s}^{r}
$$

where one has defined

$$
\begin{gather*}
E_{s}^{i}=\left[A^{i j}\right] \sigma_{s}^{r} \frac{\partial\left[u_{r}\right]}{\partial x^{j}}-\left[u_{r}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{j}}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] \sigma_{s}^{r}\right)+2 \sigma_{s}^{r}\left\{\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right\}\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right] \\
+\left[B_{r i}^{t}\right]\left[u_{t}\right] \sigma_{s}^{r} \\
L_{s}^{r}=\bar{M}\left(\sigma_{s}^{r}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\left[B_{t}^{r i}\right] \sigma_{s}^{t}\right)  \tag{5.2}\\
D_{s}^{r}=\sigma_{s}^{r}\left\{2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right)-\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial p_{j}}{\partial x^{i}}\right\}+2\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right) \frac{\partial \sigma_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}} \\
-\left(\left[B_{t}^{r 4}\right]+\left[B_{t}^{r i}\right] p_{i}\right) \sigma_{s}^{t}
\end{gather*}
$$

We will choose the auxiliary functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$ in such a way that, in every equation, the coefficient of $\left[\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{4}}\right]$ vanishes. These functions will therefore have to fulfill $n^{2}$ partial differential equations of first order

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{s}^{r}=0 \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will see that these equations possess a solution having at $M_{0}$ the desired singularity. If the auxiliary functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$ verify these $n^{2}$ relations, the equations, verified by the unknown functions $u_{r}$ on the characteristic conoid $\Sigma_{0}$, take the simple form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u_{r}\right] L_{s}^{r}+\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[f_{r}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} E_{s}^{i}=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 6 Integration of the obtained equations

We will integrate the equations so obtained with respect to the three variables $x^{i}$ on a portion $V_{\eta}$ of hypersurface of the characteristic conoid $\Sigma_{0}$, limited by the hypersurfaces $x^{4}=0$ and $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}-\eta$. This domain $V_{\eta}$ is defined to be simply connected and internal to the domain $V$ if the coordinate $x_{0}^{4}$ is sufficiently small. As a matter of fact:

$$
\left|x_{0}^{4}\right|<\varepsilon_{0} \text { implies within } V_{\eta}\left|x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}\right|<\varepsilon_{0}
$$

The formula (2.2) shows in such a case that, for a suitable choice of $\varepsilon_{0}$, we will have

$$
\lambda_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{1}
$$

Since the boundary of $V_{\eta}$ consists of two-dimensional domains $S_{0}$ and $S_{\eta}$ cut over $\Sigma_{0}$ from the hypersurfaces $x^{4}=0, x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}-\eta$ we will have, upon integrating the equations (5.4) within $V_{\eta}$, the following fundamental relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{V_{\eta}} \int\left\{\left[u_{r}\right] L_{s}^{r}+\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[f_{r}\right]\right\} d V+\iint_{S_{\eta}} E_{s}^{i} \cos \left(n, x^{i}\right) d S \\
- & \iint_{S_{0}} E_{s}^{i} \cos \left(n, x^{i}\right) d S=0 \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $d V, d S$ and $\cos \left(n, x^{i}\right)$ denote, in the space of three variables $x^{i}$, the volume element, the area element of a surface $x^{4}=C^{t e}$ and the directional cosines of the outward-pointing normal to one of such surfaces, respectively.

The limit of these equations, when $\eta$ tends to zero, will provide us with Kirchhoff formulas that we will build in the last part of this chapter.

## 7 Determination of the auxiliary functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$

We will look for a solution of equations (5.3) in the form

$$
\sigma_{s}^{r}=\sigma \omega_{s}^{r},
$$

where $\sigma$ is infinite at the point $M_{0}$ and the $\omega_{s}^{r}$ are bounded.
The equations (5.3) read as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{s}^{r}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right)+p_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[A^{i j}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right\} \\
-\left(\left[B_{t}^{r 4}\right]+\left[B_{t}^{r i}\right] p_{i}\right) \sigma_{s}^{r}+2\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right) \frac{\partial \sigma_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}, B_{s}^{t \lambda}$, the first derivatives of the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and the functions $p_{i}$ are bounded within the domain $V$, the coefficients of the linear first-order partial differential equations are therefore a sum of bounded terms, perhaps with exception of the terms

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left\{\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right\}
$$

We will therefore choose the $\omega_{s}^{r}$, that we want to be bounded, as satisfying the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{s}^{r} p_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[A^{i j}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[A^{i 4}\right]-\omega_{s}^{t}\left\{\left[B_{t}^{r 4}\right]+\left[B_{t}^{r i}\right] p_{i}\right\}+2\left\{\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right\} \frac{\partial \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}=0 \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

fulfilling in turn

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right)+2\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right) \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}=0 . \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 8 Determination of the $\omega_{s}^{r}$

We see easily that the equations (7.1) can be written in form of integral equations analogous to the equations (1.2) obtained in the search for the conoid $\Sigma_{0}$. We have indeed, on $\Sigma_{0}$ :

$$
\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]=T^{i}=\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{1}}
$$

from which, for an arbitrary function $\varphi$ defined on $\Sigma_{0}$,

$$
T^{i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_{1}} .
$$

Let us impose upon the $\omega_{s}^{r}$ the limiting conditions

$$
\omega_{s}^{r}=\delta_{s}^{r} \text { for } \lambda_{1}=0
$$

These quantities satisfy therefore the integral equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{s}^{r}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}\left(Q_{t}^{r} \omega_{s}^{t}+q \omega_{s}^{r}\right) d \lambda_{1}+\delta_{s}^{r} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
Q_{t}^{r}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left[B_{t}^{r 4}\right]+\left[B_{t}^{r i}\right] p_{i}\right) \text { and } Q=-\frac{1}{2}\left(p_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[A^{i j}\right]+\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right)
$$

the assumptions made upon the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ and the results obtained on the functions $x^{i}, p_{i}$ enabling moreover to prove that, for a convenient choice of $\epsilon_{1}$, these equations have a unique, continuous, bounded solution which has partial derivatives of the first two orders with respect to the $p_{i}^{0}$, continuous and bounded within the domain $\Lambda$. We will denote these derivatives by $\omega_{s i}^{r}$ and $\omega_{s i j}^{r}$.

## 9 Determination of $\sigma$

Let us consider the equation (7.2) verified by $\sigma$. We know that

$$
\left(\left[A^{i j} p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right) \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \lambda_{1}},\right.
$$

and we are going to evaluate the coefficient of $\sigma$,

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\left[A^{i j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{i 4}\right]\right)
$$

by relating it very simply to the determinant

$$
\triangle=\frac{D\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)}{D\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}
$$

This determinant $\triangle$, Jacobian of the change of variables $x^{i}=x^{i}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ on the conoid $\Sigma_{0}$, has for elements

$$
\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{1}}=T^{i}, \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{2}}=y_{j}^{i} \frac{\partial p_{j}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{2}}, \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{3}}=y_{j}^{i} \frac{\partial p_{j}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{3}}
$$

Let us denote by $\triangle_{j}^{i}$ the minor relative to the element $\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{j}}$ of the determinant $\triangle$.
A function whatsoever $\varphi$, defined on $\Sigma_{0}$, verifies the identities

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{\triangle_{i}^{j}}{\triangle} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_{j}}
$$

Let us apply this formula to the function $\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{1}}=T^{i}$ :

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} T^{i}=\frac{\triangle_{j}^{i}}{\triangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{j}} T^{i}=\frac{\triangle_{i}^{j}}{\triangle} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{j}}\right)
$$

$\triangle_{i}^{j}$ being the minor relative to the element $\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{j}}$ of the determinant $\triangle$ we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}} T^{i}=\frac{1}{\triangle} \frac{\partial \triangle}{\partial \lambda_{1}} .
$$

Thus, the function $\sigma$ verifies the relation

$$
\sigma \frac{\partial \triangle}{\partial \lambda_{1}}+2 \triangle \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \lambda_{1}}=0
$$

which is integrated in immediate way. The general solution is

$$
\sigma=\frac{f\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}{|\triangle|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

where $f$ denotes an arbitrary function.
For $\lambda_{1}$ the determinant $\triangle$ vanishes, because the $y_{j}^{i}$ are vanishing; the function $\sigma$ is therefore infinite.

The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and their first and second partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ being continuous and bounded within the domain $V$ of $\Sigma_{0}$, as well as the functions $x^{i}, y_{j}^{i}, z_{j}^{i}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{y_{j}^{i}}{\lambda_{1}}=\left[A^{i j}\right]_{\lambda_{1}=0}=-\delta_{i}^{j} \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By dividing the second and third line of $\triangle$ by $\lambda_{1}$ we obtain a determinant equal to $\frac{\Delta}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}$; we deduce from the formulas (9.1) and (9.2)

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\triangle}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
-\sin \lambda_{2} \cos \lambda_{3} & -\sin \lambda_{2} \sin \lambda_{3} & -\cos \lambda_{2} \\
-\cos \lambda_{2} \cos \lambda_{3} & -\cos \lambda_{2} \sin \lambda_{3} & \sin \lambda_{2} \\
+\sin \lambda_{2} \sin \lambda_{3} & -\sin \lambda_{2} \cos \lambda_{3} & 0
\end{array}\right)=-\sin \lambda_{2} .
$$

As a matter of fact:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} T^{i}=-\delta_{i}^{j} p_{j}^{0}=-p_{i}^{0} \\
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{u}}=\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{y_{j}^{i}}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial p_{j}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}}=-\delta_{j}^{i} \frac{\partial p_{j}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We will take for auxiliary function $\sigma$ the function

$$
\sigma=\left|\frac{\sin \lambda_{2}}{\triangle}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

We will then have $\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \sigma \lambda_{1}=1$.

## 10 Derivatives of the functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$

The equations (6.1) contain, on the one hand the values on $\Sigma_{0}$ of the unknown functions $u_{r}$, of their partial derivatives as well as the functions $p_{i}, y$ and $z$, on the other hand the functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$ and their first and second partial derivatives.

Let us study therefore the partial derivatives of the first two orders of the functions $\sigma$ and $\omega_{s}^{r}$.

Derivatives of $\sigma$ :

$$
\sigma=\left|\frac{\sin \lambda_{2}}{\triangle}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

is a function of the trigonometric lines of $\lambda_{u}(u=2,3)$, of the functions $x^{\alpha}$ (through the intermediate effect of the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ ) and of the functions $p_{i}, y_{j}^{i}$. The first and second partial derivatives of $\sigma$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ will be therefore expressed with the help of the functions listed and of their first and second partial derivatives.
$\left(1^{o}\right)$ First derivatives: We have seen that the partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{i}$ of a function whatsoever $\varphi$, defined on $\Sigma_{0}$, satisfy the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{\triangle_{i}^{j}}{\triangle} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_{j}} \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{\triangle_{i}^{j}}{\triangle}$ is a given function of $\cos \lambda_{u}, \sin \lambda_{u}, x^{\alpha}, p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}$, the partial derivatives with respect to $\lambda_{1}$ of the functions $x^{i}, p_{i}, y_{i}^{j},{ }^{4}$ are the quantities $T^{i}, R_{i}, T_{j}^{i}$ which are expressed through these functions themselves and through $z_{i}^{j}$, the partial derivatives with respect to $\lambda_{u}$ of these functions $x^{i}, p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}$ being expressible by means of their derivatives with respect to the overabundant parameters $p_{h}^{0}$, denoted here by $y_{h}^{i}, z_{h}^{i}, y_{i h}^{j}$, and by means of $\cos \lambda_{u}, \sin \lambda_{u}$.

The function $\sigma$ admits therefore within $V$, under the assumptions made, of first partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{i}$ which are expressible by means of the functions $x^{\alpha}$ (with the intermediate help of the $\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right]$ and of the $\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right]$ and of the functions $p_{i}, y_{j}^{i}, z_{j}^{i}, y_{j h}^{i}$ and of $\left.\cos \lambda_{u}, \sin \lambda_{u}\right)$.
$\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ Second derivatives: A new application of the formula (10.1) shows, in analogous fashion, that $\sigma$ admits within $V$ of second partial derivatives, which are expressible by means of the functions $x^{\alpha}$ (with the intermediate action of the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and their first and second partial derivatives) and of the functions $p_{i}, y_{j}^{i}, z_{j}^{i}, y_{i h}^{j}, z_{i h}^{j}, y_{i h k}^{j}$ and of $\cos \lambda_{u}, \sin \lambda_{u}$.

Derivatives of the $\omega_{s}^{r}$ : The identity (10.1) makes it possible moreover to show that the functions $\omega_{s}^{r}$, solutions of the equations (7.1), admit within $V$ of first and second partial derivatives with respect to the variables $x^{i}$ if these functions admit, within $V$, of first and second partial derivatives with respect to the variables $\lambda_{u}$; it suffices for that purpose that they admit of first and second partial derivatives with respect to the overabundant variables $p_{i}^{0}$.

We shall set

$$
\frac{\partial \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial p_{i}^{0}}=\omega_{s i}^{r}, \frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial p_{i}^{0} \partial p_{j}^{0}}=\omega_{s i j}^{r}
$$

If these functions are continuous and bounded within $V$ they satisfy, under the assumptions made, the integral equations obtained by derivation under the summation symbol of the equations (8.1) with respect to the $p_{i}^{0}$. Let respectively

$$
\left.1^{o}\right) \quad \omega_{s i}^{r}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}\left(Q_{t}^{r} \omega_{s i}^{t}+Q \omega_{s i}^{r}+\Omega_{s i}^{r}\right) d \lambda_{1}
$$

where

$$
\Omega_{s i}^{r}=\frac{\partial Q_{t}^{r}}{\partial p_{i}^{0}} \omega_{s}^{t}+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial p_{i}^{0}} \omega_{s}^{r}
$$

is a polynomial of the functions $\omega_{s}^{r}, p_{i}, y_{j}^{i}, z_{j}^{i}$ as well as of the values on $\Sigma_{0}$ of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}, B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ of the equations $(E)$ and of their partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ up to the orders two and one, respectively (quantities that are themselves functions of the functions $x^{\alpha}\left(\lambda_{j}\right)$ ).

$$
\left.2^{o}\right) \quad \omega_{s i j}^{r}=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}\left(Q_{t}^{r} \omega_{s i j}^{t}+Q \omega_{s i j}^{r}+\Omega_{s i j}^{r}\right) d \lambda_{1}
$$

[^3]where
$$
\Omega_{s i j}^{r}=\frac{\partial Q_{t}^{r}}{\partial p_{j}^{0}} \omega_{s i}^{t}+\frac{\partial Q}{\partial p_{j}^{0}} \omega_{s i}^{r}+\frac{\partial \Omega_{s i}^{r}}{\partial p_{j}^{0}}
$$
is a polynomial of the functions $\omega_{s}^{r}, \omega_{s i}^{r}, p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}, y_{i h}^{j}, z_{i h}^{j}$ as well as of the values on $\Sigma_{0}$ of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}, B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ and of their partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ up to the orders three and two, respectively.

The first and second partial derivatives of the $\omega_{s}^{r}$ with respect to the variables $x^{i}$ are expressed by means of the functions $x^{\alpha}$ (with the help of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and of their first partial derivatives), $p_{i}, y_{j}^{i}, z_{j}^{i}, y_{j h}^{i}, z_{j h}^{i}, \omega_{s}^{r}, \omega_{s i}^{r}$ and $\omega_{s i j}^{r}$.

Summary. We have shown that the auxiliary functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$ exist and admit within $V$ of first and second partial derivatives with respect to the variables $x^{i}$ under the following assumptions:
$\left(1^{o}\right)$ The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ have partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the orders four and two, respectively, within the domain $D$ containing $V$.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ The integral equations for the unknown functions $x^{\alpha}, p_{i}$ and $\omega_{s}^{r}$ have a unique, continuous, bounded solution and admitting within $V$ partial derivatives with respect to the $p_{i}^{0}$, continuous and bounded up to the second order. This result can be proved by assuming that the partial derivatives of order four and two, respectively, of the functions $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ verify some Lipschitz conditions.

The functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$ and their first and second partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{i}$ are then expressed only through some functions $X$ and $\Omega, X$ denoting any whatsoever of the functions $x^{\alpha}, p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}, y_{i h}^{j}, z_{i h}^{j}, y_{i h k}^{j}, z_{i h k}^{j}$ and $\omega$ any whatsoever among the functions $\omega_{s}^{r}, \omega_{s i}^{r}, \omega_{s i j}^{r}$.

The functions $X$ and $\Omega$ satisfy integral equations of the form

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} E(X) d \lambda_{1}+X_{0} \\
\Omega=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} F(X, \Omega) d \lambda_{1}+\Omega_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $X_{0}$ and $\Omega_{0}$ denote the given values of the functions $X$ and $\Omega$ for $\lambda_{1}=0$.
$E(X)$ is a polynomial of the functions $X$ and of the values on $\Sigma_{0}$ of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and of their partial derivatives up to the fourth order (functions of the functions $x^{\alpha}$ ).
$F(X, \Omega)$ is a polynomial of the functions $X$ and $\Omega$, and of the values on $\Sigma_{0}$ of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}, B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ and of their partial derivatives up to the orders three and two, respectively.

## 11 Studies of the behaviour in the neighbourhood of the vertex of the characteristic conoid

We are going to study the quantities occurring in the integrals of the fundamental relations (6.1), and for this purpose we will look in a more precise way for the expression of the partial derivatives of the functions $\sigma$ and $\omega_{s}^{r}$ with respect to the variables $x^{i}$ by means of the functions $X$ and $\Omega$. The behaviour of these functions in the neighbourhood of $\lambda_{1}=0$ (vertex of the characteristic conoid $\Sigma_{0}$ ) will make it possible for us to look for the limit of equations (6.1) for $\eta=0$ : the function $x^{4}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ being, within the domain $\Lambda$, a continuous function of the three variables $\lambda_{i}$, $\eta=x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}$ tends actually to zero with $\lambda_{1}$. We will provide the details of the calculations, that we will need in the following, when we will try to solve the system of integral equations obtained.

We will use essentially in the studies of the behaviour in the neighbourhood of $\lambda_{1}=0$, the following fact which results from the assumptions made and from the equations verified by the functions $y_{j}^{i}, y_{j h}^{i}, y_{j h k}^{i}, \omega_{s i}^{r}$ and $\omega_{s i j}^{r}$.

The functions $\frac{y_{j}^{i}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{y_{j h}^{i}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{y_{j h k}^{i}}{\lambda_{1}}$, and $\frac{\omega_{s i}^{r}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{\omega_{s i j}^{r}}{\lambda_{1}}$ are continuous and bounded functions of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ within the domain $V$. We will denote any whatsoever of these functions by $\tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$.

## 12 Behaviour in the neighbourhood of $\lambda_{1}=0$ of the determinant $\triangle$ and of its minors

$\left(1^{o}\right)$ We have already shown (Sec. 9) that the quantity $\frac{\Delta}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}$ is a polynomial of the functions $X$ (here $p_{i}$ only), $\tilde{X}$ (here $\frac{y_{j}^{i}}{\lambda_{1}}$ only), of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and of the $\sin \lambda_{u}, \cos \lambda_{u}(u=2,3)$. It is therefore a continuous bounded function of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ within $V$. We have seen that the value of this function for $\lambda_{1}=0$ is

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\triangle}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}=-\sin \lambda_{2}
$$

In the neighbourhood of $\lambda_{1}=0$ the function $\frac{\Delta}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}$, which will occur in the denominator of the quanties studied in the following, is $\neq 0$, but for $\lambda_{2}=0$ or $\lambda_{2}=\pi$. To remove this difficulty we will show that the polynomial $\triangle$ is divisible by $\sin \lambda_{2}$ and we will make sure that the function $D=\frac{\triangle}{\lambda_{1}^{2} \sin \lambda_{2}}$ appears in the denominators we consider.

Let us therefore consider on the conoid $\Sigma_{0}$ the following change of variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{i}=\lambda_{1} p_{i}^{0} \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
d=\frac{D\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)}{D\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
p_{1}^{0} & p_{2}^{0} & p_{3}^{0} \\
\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{1}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{2}} & \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{2}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{2}} & \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{3}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{2}} \\
\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{1}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{3}} & \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{2}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{3}} & \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{3}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{3}}
\end{array}\right)=\lambda_{1}^{2} \sin \lambda_{2}
$$

and

$$
\triangle=\frac{D\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)}{D\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{D\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)}{D\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}=\frac{D\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)}{D\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)} \frac{D\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \mu_{3}\right)}{D\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle=D \lambda_{1}^{2} \sin \lambda_{2} \tag{12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the determinant $D$ has elements

$$
\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \mu_{j}}=\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{1}}{\partial \mu_{j}}+\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial p_{h}^{0}} \frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{u}}{\partial \mu_{j}}
$$

It results directly from the equalities (12.1) and from the identity $\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2}=\lambda_{1}^{2}$ that

$$
\frac{\partial \lambda_{1}}{\partial \mu_{j}}=p_{j}^{0} \text { and } \frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial \mu_{h}}{\partial \lambda_{u}}
$$

On the other hand we have

$$
\frac{\partial \lambda_{1}}{\partial \mu_{j}} \frac{\partial \mu_{h}}{\partial \lambda_{1}}+\frac{\partial \lambda_{u}}{\partial \mu_{j}} \frac{\partial \mu_{h}}{\partial \lambda_{u}}=\delta_{j}^{h}
$$

The elements of $D$ are therefore

$$
\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \mu_{j}}=T^{i} p_{j}^{0}+\frac{y_{h}^{i}}{\lambda_{1}}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right)
$$

The polynomial $\frac{\Delta}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}$ is therefore divisible by $\sin \lambda_{2}$, the quotient $D$ being a polynomial of the same functions $X, \tilde{X}$ as $\frac{\Delta}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}$ is of $\sin \lambda_{u}, \cos \lambda_{u}$ (or, more precisely, of the three $p_{i}^{0}$ ).
$D$ is a continuous bounded function of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ within $V$ whose value for $\lambda_{1}=0$ is $\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} D=$ -1 . As a matter of fact:

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \mu_{j}}=-p_{i}^{0} p_{j}^{0}-\delta_{j}^{i}+p_{i}^{0} p_{j}^{0}=-\delta_{j}^{i}
$$

Remark. $\frac{\Delta}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}$ being a homogeneous polynomial of the second degree of the functions $\frac{y_{i}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}$, the same is true of the polynomial $D$, and the quantity $\lambda_{1}^{2} D$ is a polynomial of the functions $X$ ( $p_{i}$ and $y_{i}^{j}$ ), of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and of the three $p_{i}^{0}$, homogeneous of the second degree with respect to the $y_{i}^{j}$. One can easily verify these results by evaluating the product $D^{+} d^{+}$where

$$
d^{+}=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
p_{1}^{0} & p_{2}^{0} & p_{3}^{0} \\
\frac{\partial p_{1}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{2}} & \frac{\partial p_{2}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{2}} & \frac{\partial p_{3}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{2}} \\
\frac{\partial p_{1}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{3}} & \frac{\partial p_{2}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{3}} & \frac{\partial p_{3}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{3}}
\end{array}\right)=\frac{d}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}
$$

and where $D^{+}$is the determinant whose elements are

$$
T^{i} p_{j}^{0}-y_{h}^{i}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right)
$$

One finds

$$
D^{+} d^{+}=\triangle
$$

the quantity $\lambda_{1}^{2} D=D^{+}$possesses therefore the stated properties.
The polynomial $D$ is, in absolute value, bigger than a number assigned in a domain $W$ : $D$ is actually a continuous and bounded function of $\lambda_{1}$ in the domain $\Lambda$ (where $\lambda_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}$ vary over a compact) which takes the value -1 for $\lambda_{1}=0$. There exists therefore a number $\varepsilon_{2}$ such that, in the domain $\Lambda_{2}$, neighbourhood of $\lambda_{1}=0$ of the domain $\Lambda$, defined by

$$
\left|\lambda_{1}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{2}, 0 \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \lambda_{3} \leq 2 \pi
$$

one has for example

$$
|D+1| \leq \frac{1}{2} \text { therefore }|D| \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

We will denote by $W$ the domain of $\Sigma_{0}$ corresponding to the domain $\Lambda_{2}$.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ Behaviour of the minors of $\triangle$.
(a) Minors relative to the elements of the first line of $\triangle: \triangle_{i}^{1}$ is, as $\triangle$ itself, a homogeneous polynomial of second degree with respect to the functions $y_{i}^{j}$, and $\frac{\Delta_{i}^{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}$ is a polynomial of the functions $X\left(p_{i}\right), \tilde{X}\left(\frac{y_{i}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right)$, of the coefficients $\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right]$ and of $\sin \lambda_{u}, \cos \lambda_{u}$; it is therefore a continuous and bounded function of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ in $V$.

In order to study the quantity $\frac{\Delta_{i}^{1}}{\Delta}=\frac{\partial \lambda_{1}}{\partial x^{2}}$, which will occur in the following, we shall put it in the form of a rational fraction with denominator $D(\neq 0$ in $W)$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\triangle_{i}^{1}}{\triangle}=\frac{\partial \lambda_{1}}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{\partial \lambda_{1}}{\partial \mu_{j}} \frac{\partial \mu_{j}}{\partial x^{i}}=p_{j}^{0} \frac{D_{i}^{j}}{D} \tag{12.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(one has denoted by $D_{i}^{j}$ the minor relative to the element $\frac{\partial x^{i}}{\partial \mu_{j}}$ of the determinant $D$ ).
The quantity $\frac{\Delta_{i}^{1}}{\triangle}$ is therefore a continuous and bounded function of the three variables $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ in $W$. Let us compute the value of this function for $\lambda_{1}=0$, one finds

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\triangle_{i}^{1}}{\triangle}=-p_{i}^{0}
$$

a result that one might have expected. Indeed:

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial \lambda_{1}}{\partial x^{i}}=\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial x^{4}}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

or one has constantly, over $\Sigma_{0}, \frac{\partial x^{4}}{\partial x^{i}}=-p_{i}$.
Remark. One deduces from the formulas (12.2) and (12.3) that

$$
\triangle_{i}^{1}=\lambda_{1}^{2} \sin \lambda_{2} p_{j}^{0} D_{i}^{j}
$$

One then sees that the quantity $\lambda_{1}^{2} p_{j}^{0} D_{i}^{j}$ is a polynomial of the functions $p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}$, of the coefficients [ $A^{\lambda \mu}$ ] and of the three $p_{h}^{0}$, homogeneous of second degree with respect to the $y_{i}^{j}$.
(b) Minors relative to the second and third line of $\triangle: \triangle_{i}^{u}$ is a polynomial of the functions $X\left(p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right]$ and of $\sin \lambda_{u}, \cos \lambda_{u}$, homogeneous of first degree with respect to the functions $y_{i}^{j}$. $\frac{\Delta_{i}^{u}}{\lambda_{1}}$ is a continuous and bounded function of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ in $V$.
Let us study the quantity $\frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\Delta_{i}^{u}}{\triangle}$. One has

$$
\frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\triangle_{i}^{u}}{\triangle}=\frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{u}}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial \mu_{h}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{u}}{\partial \mu_{j}} \frac{\partial \mu_{j}}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right) \frac{D_{i}^{j}}{D}
$$

We see that the quantity $\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\triangle_{i}^{u}}{\Delta}$ is a rational fraction with nonvanishing denominator (in the domain $W$ ) of the functions $X\left(p_{i}\right), \tilde{X}\left(\frac{y_{i}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right]$ and of the three $p_{i}^{0}$. It is therefore a continuous and bounded function of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ in the domain $W$; the value of this function for $\lambda_{1}=0$ is computed as follows. One has on one hand

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial x^{h}}{\partial \lambda_{u}}=\frac{\partial x^{h}}{\partial p_{j}^{0}} \frac{\partial p_{j}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}}=y_{j}^{h} \frac{\partial p_{j}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \\
\text { from which } \lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial x^{h}}{\partial \lambda_{u}}=-\delta_{j}^{h} \frac{\partial p_{j}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}}=-\frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

One knows on the other hand that

$$
\frac{\triangle_{i}^{u}}{\triangle}=\frac{\partial \lambda_{u}}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

$$
\text { from which } \lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\triangle_{i}^{u}}{\triangle}=-\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial x^{h}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{u}}{\partial x^{i}}=-\delta_{i}^{h}+\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial x^{h}}{\partial \lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial \lambda_{1}}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

from which eventually

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\triangle_{i}^{u}}{\triangle}=-\delta_{i}^{h}+p_{i}^{0} p_{h}^{0}
$$

Remark. By a reasoning analogous to the one of previous remarks, one sees that the quantity $\lambda_{1}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right) D_{i}^{j}$ is a polynomial homogeneous of first degree with respect to the $y_{i}^{j}$, of the functions $X\left(p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right], p_{i}^{0}$.

## 13 First derivatives

The first partial derivatives of an arbitrary function $\varphi$ satisfy, in light of the identity (10.1) and of the results of the previous section, the relation

$$
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_{1}} \frac{p_{i}^{0} D_{i}^{j}}{D}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial p_{h}^{0}}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right) \frac{D_{i}^{j}}{D}
$$

Let us apply this formula to the functions $p_{h}^{0}$ and $X$ :

$$
\frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right) \frac{D_{i}^{j}}{D}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial p_{h}}{\partial x^{i}} & =R_{h} \frac{p_{j}^{0} D_{i}^{j}}{D}+\delta_{k}^{h} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right) \frac{D_{i}^{j}}{D}  \tag{13.1}\\
\frac{\partial y_{h}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} & =T_{h}^{k} \frac{p_{j}^{0} D_{i}^{j}}{E}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} y_{h l}^{k}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right) \frac{D_{i}^{j}}{D} \\
\frac{\partial z_{h}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}} & =R_{h}^{k} p_{j}^{0} \frac{D_{i}^{j}}{D}+\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} z_{h l}^{k}\left(\delta_{j}^{l}-p_{j}^{0} p_{l}^{0}\right) \frac{D_{i}^{j}}{D}
\end{align*}
$$

These equations and the analogous equations verified by

$$
\frac{\partial y_{h l}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial z_{h l}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial \omega_{s i}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

show that the quantities

$$
\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial x^{i}}, \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{h}}{\partial x^{i}}, \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial z_{h}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}}, \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial z_{h l}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}}, \text { and } \frac{\partial y_{h}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial y_{h l}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}, \frac{\partial \omega_{s i}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

are rational fractions with denominator $D$ of the functions

$$
X, \tilde{X}, \Omega, \tilde{\Omega},\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right],\left[\frac{\partial^{2} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

These are bounded and continuous functions, within $W$, of the three variables $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$.

## 14 Derivatives of the functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$

We will use in the study of partial derivatives with respect to $x^{i}$ of the functions $\sigma_{s}^{r}$, of the partial derivatives of polynomials considered in the remarks of Sec. 12: $\lambda_{1}^{2} D, \lambda_{1}^{2} p_{j}^{0} D_{i}^{j}$ and $\lambda_{1}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right) D_{i}^{j}$ are polynomials of the functions $X\left(p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right], p_{i}^{0}$, homogeneous of degree 2, 2 and 1, respectively, with respect to the $y_{i}^{j}$. The previous results and the identity (10.1) show then that the quantities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right), \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} p_{j}^{0} D_{i}^{j}\right), \\
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-p_{j}^{0} p_{h}^{0}\right) D_{i}^{j}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

are rational fractions with denominator $D$ of the functions

$$
X\left(p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}\right), \tilde{X}\left(\frac{y_{i}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{y_{i h}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

They are therefore continues and bounded functions of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ in $W$.
In the study of second partial derivatives of the function $\sigma$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ we will use the second partial derivatives $\frac{\partial^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{2} \partial x^{j}}$. Let us first remark that the first-order partial derivatives of $\lambda_{1}^{2} D$ can be written

$$
\frac{\partial\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{P_{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{2} D}
$$

where $P_{1}$ is a polynomial of the functions

$$
X\left(p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}, y_{i h}^{j}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

whose terms are of the third degree at least with respect to the set of functions $y_{i}^{j}, y_{i h}^{j}$. As a matter of fact, the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial p_{h}}{\partial x^{2}}$ and $\frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial x^{2}}$ can be put (by multiplying denominator and numerator
of the second members of the equations by $\lambda_{1}^{2}$ ) in form of rational fractions with denominator $\lambda_{1}^{2} D$ and whose numerators are polynomials of the functions

$$
X\left(p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

whose terms are of first degree at least with respect to the $y_{i}^{j}$, and the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial y_{h}^{k}}{\partial x^{i}}$ can be put in form of rational fractions with denominator $\lambda_{1}^{2} D$ and whose numerators are polynomials of the functions

$$
X\left(p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}, y_{h k}^{j}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

homogeneous of second degree with respect to the set of functions $y_{i}^{0}, y_{h k}^{i}$. The polynomial $\lambda_{1}^{2} D$ being homogeneous of first degree with respect to the $y_{i}^{j}$, its first partial derivatives have for sure the desired form

Let us then consider the second partial derivatives:

$$
\frac{\partial^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2} D} \frac{\partial p_{1}}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{P_{1}}{\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i}} .
$$

It turns out from the form of the polynomial $P_{1}$ and from the results of Sec. 12 that:
(1) $\frac{P_{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{3}}$ is a polynomial of the functions

$$
X\left(p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}, y_{h k}^{j}\right), X\left(\frac{y_{i}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}},-\frac{y_{i h}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

(2) $\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2}} \frac{\partial P_{1}}{\partial x^{i}}$ is a rational fraction with denominator $D$ of the functions

$$
X\left(p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}, y_{h k}^{j}, z_{i h}^{j}, y_{i h k}^{j}\right), \tilde{X}\left(\frac{y_{i}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{y_{i h}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{y_{i h k}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right], \ldots,\left[\frac{\partial^{2} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

The derivatives $\frac{\partial^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}$ are therefore rational fractions with denominator $D^{3}$ of the functions we have just listed.

## 15 Study of $\sigma$ and of its derivatives

( $1^{o}$ ) The auxiliary function $\sigma$ has been defined by $\sigma=\left|\frac{\sin \lambda_{2}}{\Delta}\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}$. We have therefore, by virtue of the equality (12.2),

$$
\sigma=\frac{1}{\left|\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

One deduces that, in the domain $W$, the function $\sigma \lambda_{1}=\frac{1}{|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}}$ is the square root of a rational fraction, bounded and nonvanishing, of the function

$$
X, \tilde{X},\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

it is a continuous and bounded function of the three variables $\lambda_{i}$, whose value for $\lambda_{1}=D$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \sigma \lambda_{1}=1 \tag{15.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(2^{o}\right)$ The first partial derivatives of $\sigma$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ are

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2} D} \frac{\partial\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

One concludes that, in the domain $W$, the function

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}=-\frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{\lambda_{1}}{D} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

is the product of the square root of a nonvanishing bounded rational fraction with a bounded rational fraction of the functions $X, \tilde{X},\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right], p_{i}^{0}$. It is a continuous and bounded function of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ of which we are going to compute the value for $\lambda_{1}=0$.

The identities $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \lambda_{1}}=T^{i} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}$ and $\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial p_{h}^{\sigma}}=\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}} y_{h}^{i}$ show that the functions $\lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \lambda_{1}}$ and $\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial p_{h}^{\sigma}}$ are continuous and bounded in $W$. We can therefore, on the one hand differentiate the equality (15.1) with respect to $p_{h}^{0}$, we find

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial p_{h}^{0}}=0
$$

on the other hand we can write

$$
\frac{\partial\left(\sigma \lambda_{1}^{2}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{1}}=2 \lambda_{1} \sigma+\lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \lambda_{1}}
$$

and

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{\partial\left(\sigma \lambda_{1}^{2}\right)}{\partial \lambda_{1}}=\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1} \sigma
$$

from which

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \lambda_{1}}=-\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1} \sigma=-1 .
$$

In order to compute the value for $\lambda_{1}=0$ of the function $\lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}$ we shall use the identity

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}=\lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial \lambda_{1}} \frac{\triangle_{1}^{i}}{\triangle}+\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial p_{h}^{0}} \lambda_{1} \frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\triangle_{u}^{i}}{\triangle},
$$

from which, in light of the previous results (Sec. 12),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}=p_{i}^{0} \tag{15.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(3^{o}\right)$ The second partial derivatives of $\sigma$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ are

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=-\frac{\sigma}{2} \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2} D} \frac{\partial^{2}\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}-\frac{1}{2 \lambda_{1}^{2} D} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{j}} \frac{\partial\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i}}+\frac{\sigma}{2\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i}} \frac{\partial\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{j}} .
$$

(a) It is easily seen that in the domain $W$ the function $\lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}$ is the product of the square root of a nonvanishing bounded rational fraction with a bounded rational fraction (having denominator $D^{4}$ ) of the functions

$$
X, \tilde{X},\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right],\left[\frac{\partial^{2} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

It is a continuous and bounded function of the three variables $\lambda_{i}$. We are going to compute the value for $\lambda_{1}=0$ of the function $\lambda_{1}^{3} \sum_{i=0}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i^{2}}}$ whi, only, we will need: the second derivatives of $\sigma$ do not occur actually in the fundamental equations except for the quantity $\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}$ and one has

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0}\left[A^{i j}\right] \lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1}^{3} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i^{2}}} .
$$

We will evaluate this limit as the limit (15.2). We shall find on the one hand, by differentiating the equality (15.2),

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{h}^{0}}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}\right)=\delta_{h}^{i}
$$

on the other hand

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{1}}\left(\lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}\right)=3 \lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}+\lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}\right)
$$

from which

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}\right)=\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0}\left(-2 \lambda_{1}^{2} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}\right)=-p_{i}^{0}
$$

We find therefore, by using the identity

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i^{2}}}=\lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_{1}}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}\right) \frac{\triangle_{i}^{1}}{\triangle}+\lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{h}^{0}}\left(\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x^{i}}\right) \frac{\partial p_{h}^{0}}{\partial \lambda_{u}} \frac{\triangle_{i}^{u}}{\triangle}
$$

and the results of previous paragraphs, that

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i^{2}}}=0
$$

Let us show that the function $\lambda_{1}^{2}\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}$ is a continuous and bounded function of the three variables $\lambda_{i}$, in the neighbourhood of $\lambda_{1}=0$ (which will make it possible for us to prove that the quantity under the sign $\iiint(6.1)$ is bounded in $\left.W\right)$.

We have seen that $\lambda_{1}^{3} \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}\left[A^{i j}\right]$ is the product of a square root of a nonvanishing bounded rational fraction $\left(\frac{1}{D}\right)$ with a rational fraction having denominator $D^{4}$, whose numerator, polynomial of the functions

$$
X, \tilde{X},\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right],\left[\frac{\partial^{2} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}\right], p_{i}^{0}
$$

vanishes for the values of these functions corresponding to $\lambda_{1}=0$. We have

$$
\lambda_{1}^{3}\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=\frac{P\left(X, \tilde{X},\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right],\left[\frac{\partial^{2} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}\right], p_{i}^{0}\right)}{D^{4}} \frac{1}{|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

with

$$
P_{0}=P\left(X_{0}, \tilde{X}_{0}, \pm \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu},\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right]_{0},\left[\frac{\partial^{2} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}\right]_{0}, p_{i}^{0}\right)=0
$$

We then write:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{3}\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=\frac{P-P_{0}}{D^{4}} \frac{1}{|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}} . \tag{15.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying the Taylor formula (for $P$ ) one sees that the quantity (15.3) is a polynomial of the functions $X-X_{0}, \tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}, A^{\lambda \mu} \pm \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} \ldots$, whose terms are of first degree at least with respect to the set of these functions.

To show that $\lambda_{1}^{2}\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{2} \partial x^{j}}$ is a continuous and bounded function of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ in the domain $W$, it is enough to show that the same holds for the functions

$$
\frac{X-X_{0}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}}{\lambda_{1}}, \frac{\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right]-\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda}}{\lambda_{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\left[\frac{\partial^{2} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial^{2} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}\right]_{0}}{\lambda_{1}}
$$

The functions $X$ verify

$$
X=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} E(X) d \lambda_{1}+X_{0}
$$

$\frac{X-X_{0}}{\lambda_{1}}$ is therefore a continuous and bounded function of the $\lambda_{i}$ in $V$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X-X_{0}\right| \leq \lambda_{1} M \tag{15.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ possessing in $(D)$ partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the fourth order with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$, the $x^{\alpha}$ fulfilling the inequalities (15.4), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right] \pm \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu} \leq \lambda_{1} A, \ldots,\left[\frac{\partial^{3} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta} \partial x^{\gamma}}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial^{3} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta} \partial x^{\gamma}}\right]_{0} \leq \lambda_{1} A \tag{15.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider $\frac{X-X_{0}}{\lambda_{1}}$. The corresponding $X$ functions are $y_{i}^{j}, y_{i h}^{j}, y_{i h k}^{j}$ which verify the equation

$$
X=\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} E(X) d \lambda_{1}
$$

$E(X)$ being a polynomial of the functions $X$, of the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and of their partial derivatives up to the third order

$$
\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right], \ldots, \frac{\partial^{3} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta} \partial x^{\gamma}}
$$

We have

$$
\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}=\frac{\int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}}\left(E(X)-E(X)_{0}\right) d \lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}
$$

The Taylor formula applied to the polynomial $E$ shows that $E(X)-E(X)_{0}$ is a polynomial of the functions

$$
X_{0}, \delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}, \ldots,\left[\frac{\partial^{3} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta} \partial x^{\gamma}}\right]_{0}
$$

and of the functions

$$
X-X_{0},\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right]-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}, \ldots,\left(\left[\frac{\partial^{3} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta} \partial x^{\gamma}}\right]-\left[\frac{\partial^{3} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta} \partial x^{\gamma}}\right]_{0}\right)
$$

whose terms are of first degree at least with respect to this last set of terms.
All these functions being bounded in $V$ and satisfying (15.4) and (15.5) we see easily that $\frac{\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}}{\lambda_{1}}$ is continuous and bounded in $V$.

The function $\lambda_{1}^{2}\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial^{2} \sigma}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}$ is therefore continuous and bounded in $W$.

## 16 Derivatives of the $\omega_{s}^{r}$

We are going to prove that the first and second partial derivatives of the $\omega_{s}^{r}$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ are, as $\sigma$ and its partial derivatives, simple algebraic functions of the functions $X$ and $\Omega, \tilde{X}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$, and of the values on the conoid $\Sigma_{0}$ of the coefficients of the given equations and of their partial derivatives.
( $1^{o}$ ) The first partial derivatives of the $\omega_{s}^{r}$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ are expressed as functions of their partial derivatives with respect to the $\lambda_{i}$

$$
\frac{\partial \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{\partial \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial \lambda_{j}} \frac{\triangle_{i}^{j}}{\triangle}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}=\left(Q_{t}^{r} \omega_{s}^{t}+Q \omega_{s}^{r}\right) \frac{P_{i}^{0} D_{i}^{j}}{D}+\frac{\omega_{s h}^{r}}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\left(\delta_{j}^{h}-P_{j}^{0} P_{h}^{0}\right) D_{i}^{j}}{D} \tag{16.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first partial derivatives of the $\omega_{s}^{r}$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ are therefore rational fractions with denominator $D$ of the functions

$$
X\left(P_{i}, y_{i}^{j}\right), \Omega\left(\omega_{s}^{r}\right), \tilde{X}\left(\frac{y_{i}^{j}}{\lambda_{1}}\right), \tilde{\Omega}\left(\frac{\omega_{s h}^{r}}{\lambda_{1}}\right),\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right],\left[B^{s \lambda}\right] \text { and } P_{i}^{0}
$$

These are continuous and bounded functions in $W$.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ We will compute the second partial derivatives of the $\omega_{s}^{r}$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ by writing $\frac{\partial \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}$ in the form $\frac{\partial \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{P_{2}}{\lambda_{1}^{2} D}$.

The equality (16.1) and the remarks of Sec. 12 show that $P_{2}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of second degree with respect to the set of functions $y_{i}^{j}, \omega_{s}^{r}$. We have, by differentiating the previous equality,

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}^{2} D} \frac{\partial P_{2}}{\partial x^{j}}-\frac{P_{2}}{\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)^{2}} \frac{\partial\left(\lambda_{1}^{2} D\right)}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

These functions $\lambda_{1} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{s}^{r}}{\partial x^{2} \partial x^{j}}$ are rational fractions with denominator $D^{3}$ of the functions

$$
X, \Omega, \tilde{X}, \tilde{\Omega},\left[A^{\lambda \mu}\right],\left[\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right],\left[\frac{\partial^{2} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}\right]\left[B_{r}^{s \lambda}\right],\left[\frac{\partial B_{r}^{s \lambda}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right] .
$$

(The results of Sec. 12 make it possible actually to prove that $\frac{P_{2}}{\lambda_{1}^{2}}$ and $\frac{1}{\lambda_{1}} \frac{\partial P_{2}}{\partial x^{j}}$ are a polynomial and a rational fraction, respectively, with denominator $D$, of these functions.) These are therefore continuous and bounded functions in $W$.

## 17 C. Kirchhoff formulas

We can now study in more precise way the fundamental equations (6.1) and look for their limit as $\eta$ tends to zero.

These equations read as:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{V} \int\left(\left[u_{r}\right] L_{s}^{r}+\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[f_{r}\right]\right) d x^{1} d x^{2} d x^{3} \\
+ & \iint_{S_{0}} E_{s}^{i} \cos \left(n, x^{i}\right) d S=\iint_{S_{\eta}} E_{s}^{i} \cos \left(n, x^{i}\right) d S \tag{17.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Integral relations involving the parameter $\lambda_{i}$. We have seen that the functional determinant $D=\frac{D\left(x^{i}\right)}{D\left(\lambda_{j}\right)}$ is equal to -1 for $\lambda_{1}=0$. The correspondence between the parameters $x^{i}$ and $\lambda_{j}$ is therefore surjective in a neighbourhood of the vertex $M_{0}$ of $\Sigma_{0}$. One derives from this that the correspondence between the parameters $x^{i}$ and $\lambda_{j}$ is one-to-one in a domain $(\Lambda)_{\eta}$ defined by

$$
\eta \leq \lambda_{1} \leq \varepsilon_{3}, 0 \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \lambda_{3} \leq 2 \pi
$$

where $\varepsilon_{3}$ is a given number and where $\eta$ is arbitrarily small.
To the domain $(\Lambda)_{\eta}$ of variations of the $\lambda_{i}$ parameters there corresponds, in a one-to-one ${ }^{5}$ way, a domain $W_{\eta}$ of $\Sigma_{0}$. We shall then assume that the coordinate $x_{0}^{4}$ of the vertex $M_{0}$ of $\Sigma_{0}$ is sufficiently small to ensure that the domain $V_{\eta} \subset V$, previously considered, is interior to the domains $W$ and $W_{\eta}$. We can, under these conditions, compute the integrals by means of the parameters $\lambda_{i}$, the integrals that we are going to obtain being convergent.

## 18 Calculation of the area and volume elements

First, we have

$$
d V=d x^{1} d x^{2} d x^{3}=d \lambda_{1} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}
$$

Let us compute now $d S$ and $\cos \left(n, x^{i}\right)$.

[^4]The surfaces $S_{0}$ and $S_{\eta}$ are $x^{4}=c^{\text {te }}$ surfaces drawn on the characteristic conoid $\Sigma_{0}$. They therefore satisfy the differential relation

$$
p_{i} d x^{i}=0
$$

from which one deduces

$$
\cos \left(n, x^{i}\right)=\frac{p_{i}}{\left(\sum p_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$

In order to evaluate $d S$ we shall write a second expression of the volume element $d V$ in which the surfaces $S\left(x^{4}=c^{\text {te }}\right)$ and the bicharacteristics (where only $\lambda_{1}$ is varying) come into play

$$
d V=\cos \nu|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda_{1} d S
$$

where $|T|^{\frac{1}{2}} d \lambda_{1}$ denotes the length element of the bicharacteristic, and $\nu$ is the angle formed by the bicharacteristic with the normal to the surface $S$ at the point considered.

A system of directional parameters of the tangent to the bicharacteristic being

$$
T^{h}=\left[A^{h j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{h 4}\right]
$$

we have

$$
\cos \nu|T|^{\frac{1}{2}}=\left\{\left[A^{h j}\right] p_{j}+\left[A^{h 4}\right]\right\} \cos \left(n, x^{h}\right)
$$

from which, by comparing the two expressions of $d V$,

$$
\cos \left(n, x^{i}\right) d S=\frac{\triangle p_{i} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}}{\left[A^{h j}\right] p_{j} p_{h}+\left[A^{h 4}\right] p_{h}}=\frac{-\triangle p_{i}}{\left[A^{44}\right]+\left[A^{j 4}\right] p_{j}} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}
$$

## 19 Limit as $\eta \rightarrow 0$ of the integral relations

The integral relations (17.1) read, in terms of the $\lambda_{i}$ parameters, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \iint_{V_{\eta}} \int\left(\left[u_{r}\right] L_{s}^{r}+\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[f_{r}\right]\right) d \lambda_{1} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} \\
- & \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{E_{s}^{i} \triangle p_{i}}{\left[A^{44}\right]+\left[A^{i 4}\right] p_{i}} d \lambda_{2 \mid x^{4}=0} d \lambda_{3} \\
= & -\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left\{\frac{E_{s}^{i} \triangle p_{i}}{\left[A^{44}\right]+\left[A^{i 4}\right] p_{i}}\right\}_{x^{4}=x_{0}{ }^{4}-\eta} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} . \tag{19.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The previous results prove that the quantities to be integrated are continuous and bounded functions of the variables $\lambda_{i}$. They read actually as:

$$
\lambda_{1}^{2}\left\{\left[u_{r}\right] L_{s}^{r}+\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[f_{r}\right]\right\} \frac{\triangle}{\lambda_{1}^{2}} \text { and } \lambda_{1}^{2} E_{s}^{i} \frac{\triangle}{\lambda_{1}^{2}} \frac{p_{i}}{T^{4}}
$$

$E_{s}^{i}$ and $L_{s}^{r}$ being given by the equalities (5.2), the quantities considered are continuous and bounded in $W$ if the functions $u_{r}$ and $\frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$ are continuous and bounded in $D$.

The two members of equations (19.1) tend therefore towards a finite limite when $\eta$ tends to zero. The triple integral tends to a finite limit, equal to the value of this integral taken over the portion $V_{0}$ of hypersurface of the conoid $\Sigma_{0}$ in between the vertex $M_{0}$ and the initial surface $x^{4}=0$ (because this integral is convergent). Let us evaluate the limit of the double integral of the second member. The results of Sec. 15 show that all terms of the quantity $\lambda_{1}^{2} E_{s}^{i}$ tend uniformly to zero with $\lambda_{1}$, exception being made for the term

$$
-\lambda_{1}^{2}\left[u_{r}\right]\left[A^{i j}\right] \omega_{s}^{r} \frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial x_{j}}
$$

whose limit for $\lambda_{1}=0$ is

$$
\left[u_{r}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)\right] \delta_{i}^{j} \delta_{s}^{r} p_{j}^{0}=u_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right) p_{i}^{0}
$$

From which:

$$
\lim _{\lambda_{1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{E_{s}^{i} \triangle p_{i}}{\left[A^{44}\right]+\left[A^{i 4}\right] p_{i}}=-u_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right) \sin \lambda_{2}
$$

The second member of equations (19.1) tends therefore, when $\eta$ tends to zero, to the limit

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} u_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right) \sin \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}=4 \pi u_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)
$$

## 20 Kirchhoff formulas

We arrive in such a way to the following formulas:

$$
\begin{align*}
4 \pi u_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right) & =\iint_{V_{\eta}} \int\left(\left[u_{r}\right] L_{s}^{r}+\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[f_{r}\right]\right) \Delta d \lambda_{1} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left\{\frac{E_{s}^{i} \triangle p_{i}}{T^{4}}\right\}_{x^{4}=0} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} \tag{20.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In order to compute the second member of these Kirchhoff formulas it will be convenient to take for parameters, on the hypersurface of the conoid $\Sigma_{0}$, the three independent variables $x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$.

The equations (20.1) then read, the limits of integration intervals being evident:

$$
\begin{align*}
4 \pi u_{s}\left(x_{j}\right) & =\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left(\left[u_{r}\right] L_{s}^{r}+\sigma_{s}^{r}\left[f_{r}\right]\right) \frac{\triangle}{T^{4}} d x^{4} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} \\
& +\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left\{\frac{E_{s}^{i} \triangle p_{i}}{T^{4}}\right\}_{x^{4}=0} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} \tag{20.2}
\end{align*}
$$

The quantity under sign of triple integral is expressed by means of the functions $[u]$ and of the functions $X\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ and $\Omega\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$, solutions of the integral equations (1.2) and (8.1).

We shall obtain the expression of the $X$ and $\Omega$ as functions of the new variables $x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ by replacing $\lambda_{1}$ with its value defined by the equation (2.2), function of the $x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$.

Let us point out that these functions satisfy the integral equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
X\left(x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} \frac{E(X)}{T^{4}} d x^{4}+X_{0}\left(x_{0}^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \\
\Omega\left(x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} \frac{F(X, \Omega)}{T^{4}} d x^{4}+\Omega_{0}\left(x_{0}^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

The quantity under sign of double integral is expressed by means of the values for $x^{4}=0$ of the functions $[u]$ and $\left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right]$ (Cauchy data) and of the values for $x^{4}=0$ of the functions $X$ and $\Omega$.

## 21 D. Summary of the results

We shall consider a system of linear, second-order partial differential equations in four variables, of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{r}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+B_{s}^{r \lambda} \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}+f_{r}=0 \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Assumptions

$\left(1^{o}\right)$ At the point $M_{0}$ of coordinates $x_{0}^{\alpha}$ the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ take the following values:

$$
A_{0}^{44}=1, A_{0}^{i 4}=0, A_{0}^{i j}=-\delta_{i}^{j}
$$

$\left(2^{o}\right)$ The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ have partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$, of orders four and two, respectively, continuous and bounded in a domain $D:\left|x^{i}-\tilde{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon$. The coefficients $f_{r}$ are continuous and bounded.
$\left(3^{o}\right)$ The partial derivatives of the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ of orders four and two, respectively, satisfy in $D$ some Lipschitz conditions.

Conclusion. Every solution of the equations $(E)$ continuous, bounded and with first partial derivatives continuous and bounded in $D$ verifies the integral relations (20.2) if the coordinates $x_{0}^{\alpha}$ of $M_{0}$ satisfy inequalities of the form

$$
\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0},\left|x_{0}^{i}-\tilde{x}^{i}\right| \leq d
$$

defining a domain $D_{0} \subset D$.

## 22 II. Transformation of variables

We are going to try establishing formulas analogous to (20.2), verified by the solutions of the given equations $(E)$ at every point of a domain $D_{0}$ of spacetime, where the values of coefficients will be restricted uniquely by the requirement of having to verify some conditions of normal hyperbolicity and differentiability.

Let us therefore consider the system $(E)$ of equations

$$
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+B_{s}^{r \lambda} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}+f_{s}=0
$$

We assume that in the spacetime domain $D$, defined by

$$
\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon,\left|x^{i}-\tilde{x}^{i}\right| \leq d
$$

where the three $\tilde{x}^{i}$ are given numbers, the equations $(E)$ are of the normal hyperbolic type, i.e.

$$
A^{44}>0, \text { the quadratic form } A^{i j} X_{i} X_{j} \text { negative definite. }
$$

At every point $M_{0}\left(x_{j}\right)$ of the domain $D$ one can associate to the values $A_{0}^{\lambda \mu}=A^{\lambda \mu}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)$ of the coefficients $A$ a system of real numbers $a_{0}^{\alpha \beta}$, algebraic functions, defined and indefinitely differentiable of the $A_{0}^{\lambda \mu}$, satisfying the identity

$$
A_{0}^{\lambda \mu} X_{\lambda} X_{\mu}=\left(a_{0}^{4 \alpha} X_{\alpha}\right)^{2}-\left(a_{0}^{i \alpha} X_{\alpha}\right)^{2}
$$

We shall denote by $a_{\alpha \beta}^{0}$ the quotient by the determinant $a_{0}$ of elements $a_{0}^{\alpha \beta}$ of the minor relative to the element $a_{0}^{\alpha \beta}$ of this determinant. The quantities $a_{\alpha \beta}^{0}$ are, like $a_{0}^{\alpha \beta}$, algebraic functions defined and indefinitely differentiable of the $A_{0}^{\lambda \mu}$ in $D$. (The square of the determinant $a_{0}$, being equal to the absolute value $A$ of the determinant having elements $A^{\lambda \mu}, a_{0}$, is different from zero in $D$.)

Let us perform the linear change of variables

$$
y_{\alpha}=a_{\alpha \beta}^{0} x^{\beta} .
$$

The partial derivatives of the unknown functions $u_{s}$ are covariant in such a change of variables, hence the equations $(E)$ read as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{* \alpha \beta} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{s}}{\partial y^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}}+B_{s}^{* r \alpha} \frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}+f_{s}=0 \tag{22.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{* \alpha \beta}=A^{\lambda \mu} a_{\alpha \lambda}^{0} a_{\beta \mu}^{0}, B_{s}^{* r \alpha}=B_{s}^{r \lambda} a_{\alpha \lambda}^{0} . \tag{22.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of equations (22.1) take at the point $M_{0}$ the values (1.4). As a matter of fact:

$$
A_{0}^{* \alpha \beta}=A_{0}^{\lambda \mu} a_{\alpha \lambda}^{0} a_{\beta \mu}^{0}=-a_{0}^{\gamma \lambda} a_{0}^{\gamma \mu} a_{\alpha \lambda}^{0} a_{\beta \mu}^{0}+2 a_{0}^{4 \lambda} a_{0}^{4 \mu} a_{\alpha \lambda}^{0} a_{\beta \mu}^{0}=-\delta_{\alpha}^{\beta}+2 \delta_{\alpha}^{4} \delta_{\beta}^{4},
$$

hence one has

$$
A^{* 44}=1, A^{* i 4}=0, A^{* i j}=-\delta_{i}^{j} .
$$

We can apply to the equations $(E)$, written in the form (22.1), in the variables $y^{\alpha}$ and for the corresponding point $M_{0}$, the results of part I. Let us first point out that the integration parameters so introduced will be $y^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ but that, the surface carrying the Cauchy data being always $x^{4} \equiv a_{0}^{\alpha 4} y^{4}=0$, the integration domains will be determined from $M_{0}$ and the intersection of this surface with the characteristic conoid with vertex $M_{0}$. We see that it will be convenient, in order to evaluate these integrals, to choose the variables $y^{\alpha}$ relative to a point $M_{0}$ whatsoever in such a way that the initial space section, $x^{4}=0$, is a hypersurface $y^{4}=0$. It will be enough for that purpose to choose the coefficients $a_{0}^{\alpha \beta}$ (which is legitimate) in such a way that one has $a_{0}^{i 4}=0$. We shall then have

$$
a_{4 i}^{0}=0, a_{44}^{0}=\frac{1}{a_{0}^{44}}=\left(A_{0}^{44}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text { and } y_{4}=a_{44}^{0} x^{4}
$$

where $a_{44}^{0}$ is a bounded positive number.

## 23 Application of the results of part I

The application of the results of part I proves then the existence of a domain $D_{0} \subset D$, defined by $\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon$ (which implies at every point $M_{0} \in D_{0},\left|y_{0}^{4}\right| \leq \eta$ ) such that one can write at every point $M_{0}$ of $D_{0}$ a Kirchhoff formula whose first member is the value at $M_{0}$ of the unknown $u_{s}$, in terms of the quantities $y_{0}^{\alpha}=a_{\alpha \beta}^{0} x_{0}^{\beta}$, and whose second member consists of a triple integral and of a double integral. The quantities to be integrated are expressed by means of the functions $X\left(y^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, y_{0}^{\alpha}\right)$ representing $\left(y^{\alpha}, p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}, \ldots, z_{k i j}^{h}\right)$ and $\Omega\left(y^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)\left(\omega_{s}^{r}, \ldots, \omega_{s i j}^{r}\right)$, solutions of an equation of the kind

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\int_{y_{0}^{4}}^{y^{4}} E^{*}(X) d y^{4}+X_{0}, \Omega=\int_{y_{0}^{4}}^{y^{4}} F^{*}(X, \Omega) d y^{4}+\Omega_{0} \tag{23.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the functions $E^{*}$ and $F^{*}$ are the functions $E$ and $F$ of Chapter I, but evaluated starting from the coefficients (22.2) and from their partial derivatives with respect to the $y^{\alpha}$, and where $\Omega_{0}, X_{0}$ denote the values for $y^{4}=y_{0}^{4}$ of the corresponding functions $\Omega, X$.

In order to obtain, under a simpler form, some integral equations holding in the whole domain $D_{0}$, we will take on the one hand as integration parameter, in place of $y^{4}, x^{4}$ (which is possible, $a_{44}^{0}$ being at every point $M_{0}$ of $D_{0}$ a given positive number), we shall on the other hand replace those of the auxiliary unknown functions $X$ which are the values (in terms of the three parameters) of the coordinates $y^{\alpha}$ of a point of the conoid $\Sigma_{0}$ of vertex $M_{0}$, with the values of the original coordinates $x^{\alpha}$ of a point of this conoid.

We shall replace for that purpose those of the integral equations which have in the first member $y^{\alpha}$ with their linear combinations of coefficients $a_{0}^{\alpha \beta}$ (bounded numbers), i.e. with the equations of the same kind

$$
a_{0}^{\alpha \beta} y^{\beta}=x^{\alpha}=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} a_{0}^{\alpha \beta} \frac{T^{* \alpha \beta}}{T^{* 4}} a_{44}^{0} d x^{4}+x_{0}^{\alpha}
$$

and we will replace the quantities under integration signs of all our equations in terms of the $x^{\alpha}$ in place of the $y^{\beta}$ by replacing in these equations the $y^{\beta}$ with the linear combinations $a_{\alpha \beta}^{0} x^{\alpha}$ (the $a_{\alpha \beta}^{0}$ are bounded numbers).

The system of integral equations obtained in such a way has, for every point $M_{0}$ of the domain $D$, solutions as for the previous system, solutions which are of the form

$$
X\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)
$$

## 24 Summary of results of chapter I

We consider a system of linear, second-order partial differential equations of the type

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} u_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+B_{s}^{r \lambda} \frac{\partial u_{r}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}+f_{s}=0 \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Assumptions

$\left(1^{\circ}\right)$ In the domain $D$, defined by

$$
\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon,\left|x^{i}-\tilde{x}^{i}\right| \leq d
$$

the quadratic form $A^{\lambda \mu} X_{\lambda} X_{\mu}$ is of normal hyperbolic type:

$$
A^{44}>0, \text { the quadratic form } A^{i j} X_{i} X_{j} \text { negative - definite. }
$$

$\left(2^{o}\right)$ The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ have partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ continuous and bounded, up to the orders four and two, respectively, in the domain $D$.
$\left(3^{o}\right)$ The partial derivatives of the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $B_{s}^{r \lambda}$ of orders four and two, respectively, satisfy, within $D$, Lipschitz conditions.

Conclusion. Every solution of the equations $(E)$, possessing in $D$ first partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ continuous and bounded, verifies, if $x_{0}^{\alpha}$ are the coordinates of a point $M_{0}$ of a domain $D_{0}$ defined by

$$
\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon_{0} \leq \varepsilon,\left|x_{0}^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d_{0} \leq d
$$

some Kirchhoff formulas whose first members are the values at the point $M_{0}$ of the unknown functions $u_{s}$ and whose second members consist of a triple integral (integration parameters $x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ ) and of a double integral (integration parameters $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ ). The quantities to be integrated are expressed by means of functions $X\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ and $\Omega\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$, themselves solutions of given integral equations (23.1), and of the unknown functions [ $u_{s}$ ]; the quantity under the sign of double integral, which is taken for the zero value of the $x^{4}$ parameter, contains, besides the previous functions, the first partial derivatives of the unknown functions $\left[\frac{\partial u_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right]$ (value over $\Sigma_{0}$ of the Cauchy data). We obtain in such a way a system of integral equations verified in $D_{0}$ from the solutions of the equations $(E)$. We write this system in the following reduced form:

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} E d x^{4}+X_{0} \\
4 \pi U=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} H d x^{4} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} I d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} .
\end{gathered}
$$

CHAPTER II

## 1 Nonlinear equations

We consider a system $(F)$ of $n$ second-order partial differential equations, with $n$ unknown functions and four variables, nonlinear of the following type:

$$
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+f_{s}=0, s=1,2 \ldots 4, \lambda, \mu=1,2 \ldots n
$$

The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ are given functions of the four variables $x^{\alpha}$, the unknown functions $W_{s}$, and of their first derivatives $\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$.

The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ are the same for the $n$ equations.
We point out that the calculations, made in the previous chapter for the linear equations $(E)$, are valid for the nonlinear equations $(F)$ : it suffices to consider in these calculations the functions $W_{s}$ as functions of the four variables $x^{\alpha}$; the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ are then functions of these four variables and the previous calculations are valid, subject of course to considering in all formulas where there is occurrence of partial derivatives of the coefficients with respect to $x^{\alpha}$ these derivations as having been performed. One will have for example

$$
\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}=\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{s}} \frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial\left(\partial W_{s} / \partial x^{\beta}\right)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{\beta}}\right)
$$

By applying the previous results one would prove that, under certain assumptions, the solutions of equations $(F)$ satisfy a system of integral equations analogous to $(I)$, but whose second members contain, besides the auxiliary functions, the integration parameters and the unknown functions, the partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ of these unknown functions (because the equations $(I)$ involve the derivatives of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$, up to the fourth order, with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ ).

Thus, we do not apply directly to the equations $(F)$ the results of previous chapters; but we are going to show that, by deriving suitably five times with respect to the variables $x^{\alpha}$ the given equations $(F)$, and by applying to the obtained equations the results of chapter I, one obtains a system of integral equations whose first members are the unknown functions $W_{s}$, their partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ up to the fifth order and some auxiliary functions $X, \Omega$, and whose second members contain only these functions and the integration parameters.

## 2 Differentiation of the equations ( $F$ )

We assume that in a spacetime domain $D$, centred at the point $\bar{M}$ with coordinates $x^{i}, 0$ and defined by

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

and for values of the unknown functions $W_{s}$ and their first partial derivatives satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{s}-\bar{W}_{s}\right| \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}-\overline{\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}}\right| \leq l \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(where $\bar{W}_{s}$ and $\frac{\overline{\partial W_{s}}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$ are the values of the functions $W_{s}$ and $\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$ at the point $\bar{\mu}$ ) the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ admit of partial derivatives with respect to all their aguments up to the fifth order.

We shall then obtain, by differentiating five times the equations $(F)$ with respect to the variables $x^{\alpha}$, a system of $N$ equations ( $N$ is the product by $n$ of the number of derivatives of order five of a function of four variables) verified, in the domain $D$, by the solutions of equations $(F)$ which satisfy the inequalities (2.1) and possess derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ up to the seventh order.

Let us write this system of $N$ equations. We set

$$
\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}=W_{s \alpha}, \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta}}=W_{s \alpha \beta}
$$

and we denote by $U_{S}$ the partial derivatives of order five of $W_{s}$

$$
\frac{\partial^{5} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\beta} \partial x^{\gamma} \partial x^{\delta} \partial x^{\varepsilon}}=W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma \delta \varepsilon}=U_{S}, s=1,2, \ldots N .
$$

Let us differentiate the given equations $(F)$ with respect to any whatsoever of the variables $x^{\alpha}$, we obtain $n$ equations of the form

$$
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s \alpha}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+\left\{\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{r \nu}} W_{r \alpha}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{r \nu}} \frac{\partial W_{r \nu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right\} \frac{\partial W_{s \mu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}
$$

$$
+\frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial W_{r}} W_{r \alpha}+\frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial W_{r \nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha}} W_{r \nu}+\frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}=0
$$

Let us start again four times this procedure, we obtain the following system of $N$ equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma \delta \varepsilon}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+\left\{\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{r}} W_{r \alpha}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{r \nu}} W_{r \nu \alpha}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}} W_{s \beta \gamma \delta \varepsilon \mu} \\
+ & \left\{\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{r}} W_{r \beta}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{r \nu}} W_{r \nu \beta}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\beta}}\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}} W_{s \alpha \gamma \delta \varepsilon \mu \cdots} \\
+ & \left\{\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{r}} W_{r \varepsilon}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{r \nu}} W_{r \nu \varepsilon}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\varepsilon}}\right\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}} W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma \delta \mu}+\frac{\partial A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial W_{r \nu}} \frac{\partial W_{r \nu \alpha \beta \gamma \delta}}{\partial x^{\varepsilon}} \\
+ & \frac{\partial f_{s}}{\partial W_{r \nu}} \frac{\partial W_{r \nu \alpha \beta \gamma \delta}}{\partial x^{\varepsilon}}+F_{S}=0, \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{S}$ is a function of the variables $x^{\alpha}$, of the unknown functions $W_{s}$ and of their partial derivatives up to the fifth order included, but not of the derivatives of higher order.

The fifth derivatives $U_{S}$ of the functions $W_{s}$ satisfy therefore, in the domain $D$ and under the conditions specified, a system of $N$ equations of the following type:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} U_{S}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+B_{S}^{T \lambda} \frac{\partial U_{T}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}+F_{S}=0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}, B_{S}^{T \lambda}$ and $F_{S}$ of these equations are polynomials of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ of the given equations $(F)$ and of their partial derivatives with respect to all arguments up to the fifth order, as well as of the unknown functions $W_{s}$ and of their partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ up to the fifth order. The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ depend only on the variables $x^{\alpha}$, the unknown functions $W_{s}$ and their first partial derivatives $W_{s \alpha}$, the coefficients $B_{S}^{T \lambda}$ depend only on the variables $x^{\alpha}$, the unknown functions $W_{s}$ and their first and second partial derivatives $W_{s \alpha}$ and $W_{s \alpha \beta}$.

## 3 Application to the equations obtained of the results of chapter I

We consider the equations $(F)$ as a system of $N$ linear equations of second order, with unknown functions $U_{S}$, and we apply to these equations the results of the previous chapter. We shall obtain a system of integral equations whose first members will be some auxiliary functions $\Omega, X$ and the unknown functions $U_{S}$; the quantities occurring under the integrals of the second members will be expressed by means of the auxiliary functions $X$, of the unknown functions $U_{S}$ and of the value for $x^{4}=0$ of their first partial derivatives $\frac{\partial U_{S}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$, of the integration parameters, as well as of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}, B_{S}^{T \lambda}$ and $F_{S}$ (viewed as functions of the $x^{\alpha}$ ) and of their partial derivatives up to the orders four, three and zero. $A^{\lambda \mu}, B_{S}^{T \lambda}$ and $F_{S}$ not involving the partial derivatives of the functions $W_{s}$ except for the orders up to one, two and five, respectively, the second members of the integral equations considered will not contain, besides the auxiliary functions $X, \Omega$, the functions $U_{S}$ and the value for $x^{4}=0$ of their first derivatives, and the integration parameters, nothing but the unknown functions $W_{s}$ and their partial derivatives up to the fifth order included.

## Integral equations verified by the functions $W_{s}$ and their derivatives

If the functions $W_{s}$ and their partial derivatives up to the fifth order

$$
W_{s \alpha}, W_{s \alpha \beta}, \ldots, W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma \delta \varepsilon}=U_{S}
$$

are continuous and bounded in a spacetime domain $D\left(\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon\right)$ they verify in this domain the integral relations

$$
W_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=\int_{0}^{x^{4}} W_{s 4}\left(x^{i}, t\right) d t+W_{s}\left(x^{i}, 0\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma \delta}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=\int_{0}^{x^{4}} W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma \delta 4}\left(x^{i}, t\right) d t+W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma \delta}\left(x^{i}, 0\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

By adjoining to the system of integral equations, previously considered, the system (3.1), we shall then be able to obtain a system of integral equations, verified, under certain assumptions, by the solutions of the given equations $(F)$, whose second members will only contain the functions occurring in the first members.

## 4 Cauchy data

We shall write this system of integral equations for the purpose of solving, for the given equations $(F)$, the Cauchy problem: the search for solutions $W_{s}$ of the equations $(F)$ which take, as well as their first partial derivatives, some values given in a domain $(d)$ of the initial hypersurface $x^{4}=0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{s}\left(x^{i}, 0\right) & =\varphi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right) \\
\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{4}}\left(x^{i}, 0\right) & =\psi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ are given functions of the three variables $x^{i}$ in the domain (d). We will prove that, under the assumptions stated below, the data $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ determine the values in $(d)$ of the partial derivatives up to the sixth order of the solution $W_{s}$ of the equations $(E)$.

## Assumptions

$\left(1^{o}\right)$ In the domain $(d)$, defined by

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d
$$

the functions $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ admit of partial derivatives continuous and bounded with respect to the three variables $x^{i}$ and satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\varphi_{s}-\bar{\varphi}_{s}\right| \leq l_{0} \leq l,\left|\psi_{s}-\bar{\psi}_{s}\right| \leq l_{0} \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial \varphi_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}-\overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}}\right| \leq l_{0} \leq l \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(2^{o}\right)$ In the domain (d) and for values of the functions

$$
W_{s}=\varphi_{s}, \frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{4}}=\psi_{s} \text { and } \frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}=\frac{\partial \varphi_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}
$$

satisfying the inequalities (4.1), the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ have partial derivatives continuous and bounded with respect to all their arguments, up to the fifth order.
$\left(3^{o}\right)$ In the domain $(d)$ and for the functions $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ considered the coefficient $A^{44}$ is different from zero.

It turns out actually from the first assumption that the values in (d) of partial derivatives up to the sixth order, corresponding to a differentiation at most with respect to $x^{4}$, of the solutions $W_{s}$ of the assigned Cauchy problem are equal to the corresponding partial derivatives of the functions $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$, and are continuous and bounded in (d).

The values in $(d)$ of partial derivatives up to the sixth order of the functions $W_{s}$, corresponding to more than one derivative with respect to $x^{4}$, are expressed in terms of the previous ones, of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ of the equations $(F)$ and of their partial derivatives up to the fourth order. The third assumption shows actually that the equations $(F)$ make it possible to evaluate, being given within (d) the values of the functions $W_{s}, W_{s \alpha}, W_{s \alpha i}$, the value in (d) of $W_{s 44}$, from which one will deduce by differentiation the value in $(d)$ of the partial derivatives corresponding to two differentiations with respect to $x^{4}$. The equations that are derivatives of the equations $(F)$ with respect to the variables $x^{\alpha}$ (up to the fourth order) make it possible, in analogous manner, to
evaluate in $(d)$ the values of partial derivatives up to the sixth order of the functions $W_{s}$. It turns out from the three previous assumptions that all functions obtained are continuous and bounded in (d).

We shall set

$$
\begin{aligned}
W_{s j}\left(x^{i}, 0\right) & =\varphi_{s j}\left(x^{i}\right) \\
U_{S}\left(x^{i}, 0\right) & =\Phi_{S}\left(x^{i}\right) \\
\frac{\partial U_{S}}{\partial x^{4}}\left(x^{i}, 0\right) & =\Psi_{S}\left(x^{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 5 Summary of the results of chapter II

We consider a system of $n$ partial differential equations of second order, nonlinear, of the following kind:

$$
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+f_{s}=0
$$

where $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ are functions of the $W_{r}$ and of their first partial derivatives, and of the four variables $x^{\alpha}$.

We have seen that, under the assumptions of Sec. 2, the seven-times differentiable solutions of the equations $(F)$ satisfy the inequalities (2.1), verify the system of $N$ equations

$$
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} U_{S}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+B_{S}^{T \lambda} \frac{\partial U_{T}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}+F_{S}=0
$$

where $U_{S}$ denotes any whatsoever of the fifth-order partial derivatives of $W_{s}$ and where $A^{\lambda \mu}, B_{S}^{T \lambda}$ and $F_{S}$ are functions of the variables $x^{\alpha}$, of the functions $W_{s}$ and of their partial derivatives up to the orders one, two and five, respectively.

We have proved that, under the assumptions of Sec. 4, every solution seven times differentiable of the Cauchy problem (with Cauchy data $\varphi_{s}, \psi_{s}$ ) takes, as well as its partial derivatives up to the sixth order, some given values continuous and bounded in the considered domain of the initial surface.

We apply to the equations (2.3) the results of chapter I and we add to the integral equations obtained the integral equations (3.1).

Let us sum up the assumptions made and the results obtained.
Assumptions
(A) In the domain $D$ defined by $\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon$ and for values of the unknown functions satisfying

$$
\left|W_{s}-\bar{\varphi}_{s}\right| \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{4}}-\bar{\psi}_{s}\right| \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}-\overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}}\right| \leq l:
$$

$\left(1^{o}\right)$ The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ have partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments up to the fifth order continuous and bounded, the derivatives of order five satisfying some Lipschitz conditions;
(2 $2^{o}$ ) The quadratic form $A^{\lambda \mu} X_{\lambda} X_{\mu}$ is of normal hyperbolic form: $A^{44}>0$ and the form $A^{i j} X_{i} X_{j}$ negative definite.
(B) In the domain of the initial surface $x^{4}=0$, defined by $\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d$, the Cauchy data $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ admit of partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the orders six and five.

Conclusion. If we consider a solution $W_{s}$ seven times differentiable of the assigned Cauchy problem, possessing partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ up to the sixth order, continuous and bounded and satisfying the inequalities (2.1) in $D$, it satisfies in this domain the equations $F^{\prime}$. The equations $F^{\prime}$, viewed as linear equations in the unknown functions $U_{S}$, satisfy the assumptions of chapter I, and therefore:

There exists a domain $D_{0} \subset D$ in which the functions $W_{s}$ verify the following system of integral equations.

## System of integral equations (I)

This system consists of
$\left(1^{\circ}\right)$ equations having in the first member a function $X$ of the three parameters

$$
x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}
$$

(representatives of a point of the characteristic conoid of vertex $M_{0}\left(x_{0}\right)$ ) and of the four coordinates $x_{0}^{\alpha}$ of a point $M_{0} \in D_{0}$. These functions $X$ are the functions

$$
x^{i}, p^{i}, y_{i}^{j}, z_{i}^{j}, y_{i h}^{j}, z_{i h}^{j}, y_{i h k}^{j}, z_{i h k}^{j}
$$

of chapter I. These equations are of the form

$$
X=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} E(X) d x^{4}+X_{0}
$$

where $X_{0}$, value of $X$ for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$, is a given function of $x_{0}^{\alpha}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$;
$\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ equations having in the first member a function

$$
\Omega\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}, x_{0}^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)
$$

(functions $\omega_{s}^{r}, \omega_{s i}^{r}, \omega_{s i j}^{r}$ of chapter I), of the form

$$
\Omega=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} F(X, \Omega) d x^{4}+\Omega_{0},
$$

where $\Omega_{0}$, value of $\Omega$ for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$, is a given function of $x_{0}^{\alpha}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$;
$\left(3^{0}\right)$ equations having in the first member a function $W$ of the four coordinates $x^{\alpha}$ of a point $M \in D$. The functions $W$ are the functions

$$
W_{s}, W_{s \alpha}, W_{s \alpha \beta}, W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma}, W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma \delta}
$$

The equations are of the form

$$
W=\int_{0}^{x^{4}} G(W, U) d x^{4}+W_{0}
$$

where $W_{0}$, value of $W$ for $x^{4}=0$, is a given function of the three variables $x^{i}$.
$\left(4^{o}\right)$ equations having in the first member a function $U$ of the four coordinates $x_{0}^{\alpha}$ of a point $M_{0} \in D_{0}$. The functions $U$ are the functions $U_{S}$, fifth derivatives of $W_{s}$. These equations (Kirchhoff formulas) are of the form

$$
U=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} H d x^{4} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} I d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}
$$

The quantities $E, F, G, H, I$ are formally identical to the corresponding quantities evaluated in chapter I for the equations $(E)$ (upon considering the differentiations with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ as having been performed). The quantity $G$ is a function $W$ or $U$. All these quantities are therefore expressed by means of the functions $X, \Omega, W$ and $U$, occurring in the first members of the integral equations considered, and involve the partial derivatives of the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ with respect to all their arguments, up to the fifth order, and the partial derivatives of the Cauchy data $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ up to the orders six and five (in the quantity $I$ and by means of $W_{0}$ ).

## Solution of the Cauchy problem

In order to solve the Cauchy problem for the nonlinear equations $F$ we might try to solve, independently of these equations, the system of integral equations verified by the solutions (and
to prove afterwards that this solution is indeed a solution of the assigned Cauchy problem). Unfortunately, some difficulties arise for this solution: we have shown in chapter I that the quantities occurring under the integral sign (in particular $H$ ) are continuous and bounded, upon assuming differentiability of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$, viewed as given functions of the variables $x^{\alpha}$, these conditions not being realized when the functions $W_{s}, W_{s \alpha}, \ldots, U_{S}$ are independent, the quantity $\left[A^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial^{\sigma}}{\partial x^{i} \partial x^{j}} \triangle$ will then fail to be bounded and continuous.

In order to solve the Cauchy problem we shall then pass through the intermediate stage of approximate equations $F_{1}$, where the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ will be some given functions of the $x^{\alpha}$, obtained by replacing $W_{s}$ with a given function $W_{s}^{(1)}$. The quantities occurring under the integration signs of the integral equations verified by the solutions will then be continuous and bounded if the same holds for the functions $W_{s} \ldots U_{S}$ considered as independent. We will then be in a position to solve the integral equations and show that their solution $W_{s} \ldots U_{S}$ is solution of the equations $F_{1}$, and that $W_{s \alpha} \ldots U_{S}$ are the partial derivatives of $W_{s}$; but we need for that purpose, in the general case, to take as function $W_{s}^{(1)}$ a function six times differentiable (because the integral equations involve fifth derivatives of the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ ); the obtained solution $W_{s}$ being merely five times differentiable, it will be impossible for us to iterate the procedure. The method described will be therefore applicable only if the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ depend uniquely on the $W_{s}$ and not on the $W_{s \alpha}$ : it will then be enough to assume the approximation function five times differentiable.

We shall describe in detail the solution of the Cauchy problem in this case in chapter III, and we will apply it to the equations of relativity in Chapter IV.

In the general case, where $A^{\lambda \mu}$ is function of $W_{s}$ and $W_{s \alpha}$, one can solve the Cauchy problem by passing through the intermediate step of approximate equations, not of the equations $(F)$ themselves, but of equations previously differentiated with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ and viewed as integrodifferentiaL equations in the unknown functions $W_{s \alpha}$.

## CHAPTER III

## 1 Solution of the Cauchy problem for the case in which the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ do not depend on first partial derivatives of the unknown functions

We consider in this chapter a system $(F)$ of $n$ partial differential equations of second order with $n$ unknown functions and four variables, of the kind previously studied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+f_{s}=0 \tag{G}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ depend only on the variables $x^{\alpha}$ and the unknown functions $W_{t}$, and not on the first partial derivatives $\frac{\partial W_{t}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$ of these functions.

The coefficients $f_{s}$ are functions, as previously, of the variables $x^{\alpha}$, of the unknown functions $W_{t}$ and of their first partial derivatives $\frac{\partial W_{t}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$.

Formation of a system of integral equations verified from the solutions of equations (G)

We shall obtain a system of integral equations verified by the solutions of equations $(G)$ by applying the methods used in the previous chapter for the equations of general type $(F)$. Let us point out however that, in the case of equations $(G)$, the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ not containing the first partial derivatives $\frac{\partial W_{t}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}=W_{t \alpha}$, it will be enough to apply the results of chapter I to the equations deduced from equations $(G)$ by four differentiations with respect to the variables $x^{\alpha}$ in order to obtain a system of integral equations whose second members do not contain functions other than those occurring in the first members. The calculations performed in Sec. 2, chapter II prove indeed that these equations read as, by denoting with $U_{S}$ any whatsoever of the fourth derivatives of the
unknown functions $W_{s}$

$$
A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} U_{S}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+B_{S}^{T \lambda} \frac{\partial U_{T}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}+F_{s}=0
$$

$A^{\lambda \mu}$ depends only on the variables $x^{\alpha}$ and the functions $W_{s}$.
$B_{S}^{T \lambda}$, which is a sum of first partial derivatives of the functions $A^{\lambda \mu}$, viewed as functions of the variables $x^{\alpha}$ and of first partial derivatives of a function $f_{s}$ with respect to the first partial derivatives $W_{r \alpha}$ of the unknown functions, depends on nothing else but the variables $x^{\alpha}$, the unknown functions $W_{r}$ and their first partial derivatives $W_{r \alpha}$.
$F_{S}$ is a polynomial of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ and of their partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments up to the fourth order, as well as of the functions $W_{s}$ and of their partial derivatives with respect to the variables $x^{\alpha}$ up to the fourth order.

The integral equations $(J)$, verified by the bounded solutions and with bounded first derivatives of equations $\left(G^{\prime}\right)$, deduced as in chapter II from the results of chapter I, only involve the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $B_{S}^{T \lambda}$ and their partial derivatives up to the orders four and two, respectively, as well as the coefficients $F_{S}$. One therefore verifies that these equations $(J)$ contain nothing else but partial derivatives of the functions $W_{s}$ of order higher than four.

We would face clearly, in order to solve the system of integral equations $(J)$ directly, the same difficulty as in the general case: the quantity $H$ under the sign $\iiint$ is not bounded in general if $W_{s}, W_{s \alpha} \ldots U_{S}$ are independent functions. We shall be able however, in the case in which the $A^{\lambda \mu}$ depend only on the first derivatives of the $W_{s}$, to solve the Cauchy problem by using the results obtained on the system of integral equations verified in a certain domain, from the solutions of the given equations $(G)$ in a way that we are going to describe in what follows.

## 2 Plan of chapter III (Solution of the Cauchy problem)

A. We shall consider a system $G_{1}$, approximate version of $G$, obtained by replacing in $A^{\lambda \mu}$ (and not in $f_{s}$ ) the unknown $W_{s}$ with some approximate values ${ }^{(1)}$ s, satisfying suitable assumptions.
I. We will prove that the system of integral equations $J_{1}$, verified by the solutions of the Cauchy problem assigned with respect to the equations $G_{1}$, admits of a unique, continuous and bounded solution in a domain $D$ independent of $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ if one regards it as a system of integral equations with independent unknown functions $X, \Omega, W, U$.
II. We will prove afterwards that the solutions of $J_{1}$ that we have found are solutions of the Cauchy problem given for the eqyations $G_{1}$ in the whole domain $D$, and that the functions $W_{s}$ obtained admit of partial derivatives up to the fourth order equal to $W_{s \alpha} \ldots U_{S}$ and satisfy the same assumption as $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$. We denote these functions by $\stackrel{(2)}{W}_{s}$.
B. The solution of the Cauchy problem for the equations $G_{1}$ defines, in light of previous results, a representation of the space of functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ into itself. We prove that this representation admits a fix point, belonging to the space. The correponding functions $W_{s}$ are solutions of the given equations $(G)$. This solution, unique, possesses partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the fourth order.

## 3 Assumptions made in chapter III

$\left(1^{\circ}\right)$ In the domain $D$ defined by

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

and for values of the unknown functions satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{s}-\bar{\varphi}_{s}\right| \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}-\overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}}\right| \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{4}}-\bar{\psi}_{s}\right| \leq l: \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ admit partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments up to the fourth order, continuous, bounded and satisfying Lipschitz conditions.
(b) The quadratic form $A^{\lambda \mu} X_{\lambda} X_{\mu}$ is of normal hyperbolic type, i.e. $A^{44}>0, A^{i j} X_{i} X_{j}$ negative definite.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ In the domain $(d)$ of the initial surface, defined by $\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d$, the Cauchy data $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ possess partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the orders five and four, respectively, satisfying some Lipschitz conditions.

## 4 Approximate equations $G_{1}$

We consider a system of equations approximating the system $(G)$, obtained by replacing in the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ (and not in $f_{s}$ ) the unknown functions with given functions ${ }_{W}^{(1)}$ which admit of partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the fourth order (we denote them by $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s \alpha}, \ldots, \stackrel{(1)}{U}_{S}$ ) in the domain $D$ :

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

and satisfy the inequalities

$$
\left|\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}-\bar{\varphi}_{s}\right| \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial \widehat{W}_{s}^{(1)}}{\partial x^{i}}-\overline{\frac{\partial \varphi_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}}\right| \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial W_{s}^{(1)}}{\partial x^{4}}-\bar{\psi}_{s}\right| \leq l .
$$

We write the system obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+f_{s}=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A solution $W_{1}$, six times differentiable and satisfying the inequalities (3.1), of the equations $\left(G_{1}\right)$ verifies therefore, in $D$, the following equations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} U_{S}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+\stackrel{(1)}{B}_{S}^{T \lambda} \frac{\partial U_{T}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}+\stackrel{(1)}{F}_{S}=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

One sees easily, by virtue of formulas analogous to the formulas of chapter II, that
$\left(1^{o}\right) \stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{\lambda \mu}$ is a function of the variables $x^{\alpha}$ and of the unknown functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$;
$\left(2^{o}\right) \stackrel{(1)}{B}{ }_{S}^{T \lambda}$ is a sum of the following functions:
(a) first partial derivatives of the ${ }^{(1)}{ }^{\lambda \mu}$ viewed as functions of the variables $x^{\alpha}$ (hence as functions of the variables $x^{\alpha}$ and of the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ and $\left.\stackrel{(1)}{W}{ }_{s \alpha}\right)$;
(b) first partial derivatives of a function $f_{s}$ with respect to the functions $W_{r \nu}$ (hence of the functions of $x^{\alpha}, W_{s}$ and $\left.W_{s \alpha}\right)$.
$\left(3^{o}\right) \stackrel{(1)}{F}_{S}$ is a polynomial of the coefficients $\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ and of their partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments up to the fourth order, as the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s \alpha}$ and of their partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ up to the fourth order.

## 5 Application of the results of chapter I

The coefficients of equations $\left(G_{1}^{\prime}\right)$, viewed as linear equation of type $(E)$ in the unknown functions $U_{S}$, satisfy in the domain $D$ the assumptions of chapter I. There exists therefore a domain $D_{0} \subset D$ in which the fifth derivatives $U_{S}$ of a solution $W_{s}$ of the given Cauchy problem, which possess partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the sixth order and satisfy the inequalities (3.1), verify some Kirchhoff formulas, whose first members are the values at the point $M_{0} \in D_{0}$ of these
functions $U_{S}$. These equations, together with the integral equations having in the first member some auxiliary functions $X$ and $\Omega$, and with some integral equations analogous to (3.1) of chapter II, form a system of integral equations that we denote by $J_{1}$.

## 6 System of integral equations $J_{1}$

Let us consider (independently of the initial equations $G_{1}$ ) the set of integral relations $J_{1}$ as a system of integral equations with four groups of unknown functions $X, \Omega, W$ and $U$. The system consists of the following four groups of equations:
$\left(1^{\circ}\right)$ Some integral equations having in the first member a function $X$ of the four coordinates $x_{0}^{\alpha}$ and of three parameters $x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ (functions corresponding to the functions $x^{i}, p_{i}, y_{i}^{j}, \ldots z_{i h k}^{j}$ which define the characteristic conoids). These equations are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X\left(x_{0}^{\alpha} ; x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} E d x^{4}+X_{0}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}, x_{0}^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X_{0}$ is a given function. (For $x^{i}, p_{i}, y_{i}^{j} \ldots$ the values of $X_{0}$ are $x_{0}^{i}, p_{i}^{0}, 0 \ldots$ respectively).
$E$ is a rational function with denominator

$$
T^{* 4}=\stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{* 44}+\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* i 4} p_{i}
$$

of the following quantities:
(a) coefficients $\stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{\lambda \mu}$ and their partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments up to the fourth order (functions of $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ and $x^{\alpha}$ where $x^{i}$ is replaced by the corresponding $X$ function), function $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ and partial derivatives up to the fourth order;
(b) functions $X$;
(c) quantities $\stackrel{(1)}{a}{ }_{\alpha \beta}$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{a}{ }_{0}^{\alpha \beta}$, algebraic functions of the values of the coefficients $\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{\lambda \mu}$ for the
values $x_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)$ of their arguments.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ Equations having in the first member a function $\Omega$ of the $x_{0}^{\alpha}$ and of the parameters $x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ (functions corresponding to $\omega_{s}^{r}, \omega_{s i}^{r}, \omega_{s i j}^{r}$ ). These equations are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} F d x^{4}+\Omega_{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega_{0}$ is a given function (for $\omega_{s}^{r}, \omega_{s i}^{r}, \omega_{s i j}^{r}$ the values of $\Omega_{0}$ are $\delta_{s}^{r}, 0,0$, respectively).
$F$ is a rational fraction (with denominator $T^{* 4}=\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* 44}+\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* i 4} p_{i}$ ) of the following quantities:
(a) coefficients $\stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{\lambda \mu}$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{B} S_{S}^{T \lambda}$ and partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments up to the orders three and two, respectively (i.e. coefficients ${ }^{(1)}{ }^{\lambda \mu}, f_{s}$, and their partial derivatives up to the third order);
(b) functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ and their partial derivatives up to the third order and functions

$$
W_{s \alpha}\left(x^{\alpha}\right), W_{s \alpha \beta}\left(x^{\alpha}\right), W_{s \alpha \beta \gamma}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)
$$

(functions $W\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ ). The $x^{i}$ are always replaced by the corresponding functions $X$;
(c) functions $X$ and $\Omega$;
(d) quantities $\stackrel{(1)}{a}{ }_{\alpha \beta}$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{a}{ }_{0}^{\alpha \beta}$.
$\left(3^{o}\right)$ Equations having in the first member a function $W$ of the four coordinates $x^{\alpha}$. These equations are of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=\int_{0}^{x^{4}} G d x^{4}+W_{0}\left(x^{i}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$W_{0}$ denotes a given function. (For the functions $W_{s}, W_{s i} \ldots$ the values of $W_{0}$ are $\varphi_{s}, \varphi_{s i}, \ldots$ ), respectively).
$G$ is a function $W$ or a function $U$.
(4 $4^{\circ}$ Some Kirchhoff formulas, having in the first member a function $U$ of the four coordinates $x_{0}^{\alpha}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 \pi U\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} H d x^{4} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} I d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) $H$ is the product of the square root of a rational fraction with denominator $D^{*}$ (polynomial of ${ }^{(1)} A^{\lambda \mu}, X, \tilde{X}$ and $p_{i}^{0}$ ) and numerator 1 , with the sum of the two following rational fractions:
(1) A rational fraction $H_{a}$ with denominator $\left(D^{*}\right)^{3}\left(x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right) T^{* 4}$ (which results only from those terms of the operator $L_{s}^{r}$ which contain the second partial derivatives of the function $\sigma$ ) whose numerator is a polynomial of the following functions:
$\stackrel{(1)}{A^{\lambda \mu}}$ and their first and second partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments (functions of $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ and $x^{\alpha}$ where $x^{i}$ is replaced by the corresponding $X$ function).
$\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right), \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s \alpha}\left(x^{\alpha}\right), \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s \alpha \beta}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$.
$X$ and $\tilde{X}$. (One has denoted by $\tilde{X}$ the quotient by $x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}$ of the functions $X$ for which $X_{0}=0$ ). $U\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ and $\Omega$, which only occur in the product $\left[U_{r}\right] \omega_{s}^{r}$ in the polynomial considered.
We remark that this polynomial, function of the seven arguments

$$
x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}
$$

vanishes for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$.
(2) A rational fraction $H_{1 b}$ with denominator $\left(D^{*}\right)^{2} T^{* 4}$ of the following quantities:
coefficients $\stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{\lambda \mu}, \stackrel{(1)}{B}{ }_{S}^{T \lambda}$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{F}_{S}$, and their partial derivatives of the first two up to the orders two and one, respectively, with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$. In other words, coefficients ${ }_{A}^{(1)}{ }^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ and their partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments up to the fourth order, and functions

$$
\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \ldots \stackrel{(1)}{U}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right), W_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right) \ldots U_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)
$$

functions $X$ and $\tilde{X}$;
functions $\Omega$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$ (one has denoted by $\tilde{\Omega}$ the quotient by $x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}$ of the functions $\Omega$ for which $\Omega_{0}=0$ );
(b) I is the value for $x^{4}=0$ of the product of the square root of a rational fraction with denominator $D^{*}$, and numerator 1 , with a rational fraction having denominator $\left(D^{*}\right)^{2} \stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{* 44} T^{* 4}$ of the following functions:
${ }_{A}^{(1)}{ }^{\lambda \mu}$ and their first partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments;
first partial derivatives of $f_{s}$ with respect to $W_{r \nu}$ (they contribute through $\stackrel{(1)}{B}_{S}^{T \lambda}$ ), functions of $W_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right), W_{s \alpha}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ and $X^{\alpha}$;
$\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{W}{ }_{s \alpha}\left(x^{\alpha}\right) ;$
$X$ and $\tilde{X}, \Omega$ and $\tilde{\Omega}$;
Cauchy data $\varphi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right)$ and $\psi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right)$ and their partial derivatives with respect to the $x^{i}$ up to the orders five and four, respectively.

## 7 I. Solution of the system of integral equations $J_{1}$

We remark that the system of integral equations $J_{1}$ is divided into two groups; on the one hand

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} E d x^{4}+X_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the other hand

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} F d x^{4}+\Omega_{0}  \tag{2}\\
W=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} G d x^{4}+W_{0}  \tag{3}\\
4 \pi U=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} H d x^{4} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} I d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

The equations (1) do not contain other unknown functions besides the functions $X$. We shall solve them first.

We remark on the other hand that the function $H_{a}$ is a known function when the $X$ are known. We shall then be in a position to restrict the quantity $H$ without making assumptions on the derivatives of the functions $U$ and $W$, viewed as independent, and solve the remaining equations $(2),(3)$ and (4).

We are therefore going to prove that the system of integral equations $J_{0}$ admits a unique solution, by making use of the assumptions made on the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ and of the assumptions on the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{W}$. We shall collect these assumptions under the name of assumptions $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ and we will state them in the two following paragraphs.

## 8 Assumptions (B)

(1) In the domain $(D)$ defined by

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon
$$

and for values of the functions $W_{s}$ and $W_{s \alpha}$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{s}-\varphi_{s}\right| \leq l,\left|W_{s i}-\varphi_{s i}\right| \leq l,\left|W_{s 4}-\psi_{s}\right| \leq l: \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ admit partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments up to the fourth order, continuous and bounded by a given number.
(b) The quadratic form $A^{\lambda \mu} X_{\lambda} X_{\mu}$ is of normal hyperbolic type. The coefficient $A^{44}$ is bigger than a given positive number.

The coefficients $a_{0}^{\alpha \beta}$ and $a_{\alpha \beta}^{0}$ relative to the values of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ at a point of the previous domain are bounded by a given number.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ The approximating functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ admit in the domain $(D)$ of partial derivatives up to the fourth order continuous, bounded and satisfying the inequalities

$$
\left|\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}-\varphi_{s}\right| \leq l,\left|\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s i}-\varphi_{s i}\right| \leq l,\left|\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s 4}-\psi_{s}\right| \leq l
$$

and the analogous identities $\left|\stackrel{(1)}{W}-W_{0}\right| \leq l$ up to $\left|\stackrel{(1)}{U}_{S}-\Phi_{S}\right| \leq l$.
$\left(3^{o}\right)$ In the domain $(d)$, defined by

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d
$$

the Cauchy data $\varphi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right)$ and $\psi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right)$ possess partial derivatives continuous and bounded with respect to the variables $x^{i}$ up to the orders five and four, respectively.

We will denote by bounds $(B)$ the different bounds occurring in these assumptions $(d, \varepsilon, l$ bounds of coefficients and Cauchy data).

## 9 Assumptions $B^{\prime}$

$\left(1^{o}\right)$ In the domain $(D)$ and for values of the functions $W_{s}$ and $W_{s \alpha}$ satisfying the inequalities (8.1), the partial derivatives of order four of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ satisfy a Lipschitz condition assigned with respect to all their arguments.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ It then turns out from the assumptions $(B)$ that, in the domain $D$ and for values of the functions $W_{s}$ satisfying (8.1), the coefficients $a_{0}^{\alpha \beta}, a_{\alpha \beta}^{0}$ and their partial derivatives up to the fourth order verify a Lipschitz condition given with respect to their arguments $x_{0}^{\alpha}, W_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)$.
$\left(3^{\circ}\right)$ The partial derivatives of order four of the functions $W_{s}$ satisfy a Lipschitz condition with respect to the three arguments $x^{i}$.

From the assumptions $(B) 3^{o}$ ) it results the inequality

$$
\left|\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\prime \alpha}\right)-\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)\right| \leq l^{\prime} \sum\left|x^{\prime \alpha}-x^{\alpha}\right|
$$

and the analogous inequalities for the partial derivatives of the $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ up to the third order.
We shall have in addition:

$$
\left|\stackrel{(1)}{U}_{S}\left(x^{\prime i}, x^{4}\right)-\stackrel{(1)}{U}_{S}\left(x^{i}, x^{4}\right)\right| \leq l \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}^{i}-x^{i}\right| .
$$

$\left(4^{o}\right)$ in the domain $(d)$ the partial derivatives of Cauchy data $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ of orders five and four, respectively, satisfy a Lipschitz condition with respect to the variables $x^{i}$.

From the assumptions $(B)$ there resulted the inequality

$$
\left|\varphi_{s}\left(x^{\prime i}\right)-\varphi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right)\right| \leq l_{0}^{\prime} \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}^{i}-x^{i}\right|
$$

and the analogous inequalities for the functions $\psi_{s}$ and the partial derivatives of $\psi_{s}$ and $\varphi_{s}$ up to the orders three and four.

We have in addition:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|\phi_{s j}\left(x^{\prime i}\right)-\phi_{s j}\left(x^{i}\right)\right| \leq l^{\prime} \sum\left|x^{\prime i}-x^{i}\right|, \\
\left|\psi_{s}\left(x^{\prime i}\right)-\psi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right)\right| \leq l_{0}^{\prime} \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}^{i}-x^{i}\right|
\end{gathered}
$$

where $l^{\prime}$ and $l_{0}^{\prime}$ are given numbers which satisfy

$$
l^{\prime}>l_{0}^{\prime}
$$

We will refer to the bounds occurring in these assumptions as the bounds $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$.

## 10 Solution of equations (1)

We shall solve first the equations (1) defining the characteristic conoid. These nonlinear integral equations, having in the first member a function $X$, do not contain other unknown functions besides the functions $X$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} E(X) d x^{4}+X_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Functional space $\Upsilon$

We shall solve the equations (1) by considering a functional space $\Upsilon$, the $m$ coordinates of a point of $\Upsilon(m$ is the number of functions $X)$ being some functions $X_{1}$ continuous and bounded of the seven arguments $x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ in the domain $\Lambda$ defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|x_{0}^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \leq \Upsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \\
0 \leq x^{4} \leq x_{0}^{4}, 0 \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \lambda_{3} \leq 2 \pi
\end{gathered}
$$

with $\Upsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \varepsilon(v$ occurring in the assumptions $(B))$.
The functions $X_{1}$ take for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$ the assigned values $X_{0}$. We denote by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0}$ the point of $\Upsilon$ having coordinates $\bar{X}_{0}$ (values of the functions $X_{0}$ for $x_{0}^{i}=\bar{x}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}=0^{6}$ ) and we assume that the functions $X_{1}$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left|X_{1}-\bar{X}_{0}\right| \leq d \text { and } \mid X_{1}-X_{0}\right) \leq M\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is a given number that we will specify later on.

## 11 Distance of two points of $\Upsilon$

We shall define in the space $\Upsilon$ the distance of two points $\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ by the maximum in the domain $\Lambda$ of the sum of absolute values of the differences of their coordinates:

$$
d\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Max}_{\Lambda} \sum\left|X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{1}\right|
$$

The norm introduced in such a way endows the space $\Upsilon$ of the topology of uniform convergence, and one checks easily that the space $\Upsilon$ is a normed, complete and compact space.

## 12 Representation of the space $\Upsilon$ into itself

To the point $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ of $\Upsilon$ having coordinates $X_{1}$ we associate a point $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ whose coordinates $X_{2}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2}=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} E_{1} d x^{4}+X_{0} \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$E_{1}$ denotes the quantity $E$ occurring in the equations (1), where the functions $X$ are replaced by the corresponding coordinates $X_{1}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{1}$.

Let us show that this representation (12.1) is a representation of the space $\Upsilon$ into itself, i.e. the $X_{2}$ are continuous and bounded functions of their seven arguments, take for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$ the values $X_{0}$ and satisfy the same inequalities (10.1) fulfilled by the $X_{1}$, if $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$, which defines the domain of variation of the argument $x_{0}^{4}$ of $X_{1}$ is suitably chosen.

The $E_{1}$ are indeed expressed rationally (cf. Sec. 6) by means of the ${ }_{W}^{(1)}{ }_{s 1}{ }^{(1)}{ }_{4}^{\lambda \mu}$, of their partial derivatives up to the fourth order $\left(x^{i}\right.$ is replaced in all its functions by the corresponding $X_{1}$ function),

$$
X_{1}, \stackrel{(1)}{a}{ }_{0}^{\alpha \beta}, \stackrel{(1)}{a}{ }_{\alpha \beta}
$$

: all these functions are, by virtue of the assumptions $(B)$ and of the assumptions made upon the $X_{1}$, functions continuous and bounded of the seven arguments $x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. On the other hand, the denominator of the functions $E_{1}$ is

$$
\stackrel{(1)}{T}_{1}^{* 4}=\left(\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* 44}+\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* i 4} p_{i}\right)_{1}
$$

[^5]and takes the value 1 for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}, X_{1}=X_{0}$. It follows immediately from the assumptions $(B)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and from the inequalities verified by the $X_{1}$ that ${ }_{T}^{(1)}{ }^{* 4}$ satisfies some Lipschitz conditions
$$
\left|\stackrel{(1)}{T}_{1}^{* 4}-1\right| \leq T^{\prime}\left\{\sum\left|X_{1}-X_{0}\right|+\left|x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}\right|\right\} \leq T^{\prime}(m M+1)\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right|
$$
where $T^{\prime}$ depends only on the bounds $(B)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$.
We shall therefore be in a position to choose $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ sufficiently small so that the denominator considered differs from zero in $\Lambda$. For example, for
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 T^{\prime}(m M+1)} \tag{12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

we shall have in the domain $\Lambda$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\stackrel{(1)}{T}^{* 4}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{12.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities $E_{1}$ are then continuous functions of the seven arguments $x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ in the domain $\Lambda$, and are bounded by a number $M$ which depends only on the $(B)$ bounds

$$
E_{1} \leq M
$$

The functions $X_{2}$ are therefore functions continuous and bounded of their seven arguments, They fulfill the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{2}-X_{0}\right| \leq M\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| \tag{12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It will be therefore enough to take $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ in such a way that

$$
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{d-\left|x_{0}^{i}-\bar{x}_{0}^{i}\right|}{M}
$$

in order to obtain

$$
\left|X_{2}-\bar{X}_{0}\right| \leq d
$$

(Let us remark that the number $M$ of the inequality (10.1) has been chosen in such a way that the functions $X_{2}$ verify the same inequality as the functions $X_{1}$, cf. (12.4)).

The point $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ will be therefore a point of $\Upsilon$ if $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ verifies the inequalities (12.2) and (12.5).

## 13 The representation reduces the distances

Let us show that the distance of two representative points $\mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ is less than the distance of the initial points $\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ if $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ is suitably chosen.

We deduce immediately from the equations (12.1) the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{2}^{\prime}-X_{2}\right| \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| \cdot \operatorname{Max}\left|E_{1}^{\prime}-E_{1}\right| \tag{13.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $E_{1}$ being rational fractions with nonvanishing denominators of bounded functions verifying Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $X_{1}$ (the $X_{1}$ verifying the assumptions (10.1) we can indeed exploit the assumptions $B^{\prime}$ ). We have on the other hand

$$
\left|E_{1}^{\prime}-E_{1}\right| \leq M^{\prime} \cdot \sum\left|X_{1}^{\prime}-X_{1}\right|
$$

where $M^{\prime}$ is a number which depends only on the bounds $B$ and $B^{\prime}$. From which

$$
d\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq m M^{\prime} \cdot \operatorname{Max}_{\Lambda} \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \cdot d\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

In order for the representation (12.1) of the space $\Upsilon$ into itself to reduce the distances it will be therefore enough that $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)<\frac{1}{m M^{\prime}} \tag{13.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall therefore choose $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ as satisfying the inequalities (12.2), (12.5) and (13.2). The representation (12.1) of the space $\Upsilon$ normed, complete and compact into itself, reducing the distances, will then admit a unique fixed point belonging to this space.

Conclusion. In the domain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{0}^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x_{0}^{4}\right|<\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right), 0 \leq x^{4} \leq x_{0}^{4}, 0 \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \lambda_{3} \leq 2 \pi \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

the system of integral equations (1) admits a unique solution, continuous and bounded, verifying the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X-\bar{X}_{0}\right| \leq d \tag{13.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remark in particular that the three functions $X$ corresponding to the $x^{i}$ define, with the variable $x^{4}$, a point belonging to the domain $D$.

## 14 Properties of the functions $X$. Functions $\tilde{X}$

The functions $X$ verify the equations

$$
X=X_{0}+\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} E d x^{4}
$$

The quantities $E$, not involving ${ }^{7}$, besides the $X$, any functions but the ${ }^{(1)} A^{\lambda \mu}$ and their partial derivatives (given functions of the $x^{\alpha}$ ), possessing the same properties discussed in chapter I. Proofs identical to those performed in chapter $\mathrm{I}^{8}$ (Sec. 15) show therefore that:
$\left(1^{o}\right)$ The functions $\frac{X-X_{0}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}$ are continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$. The functions $\tilde{X}$, quotients by $x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}$ of the $X$ which vanish for $x_{0}^{4}=x^{4}$, are continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$ :

$$
\left|X-X_{0}\right|<M\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right|,|\tilde{X}| \leq M
$$

(2 $2^{o}$ ) The functions

$$
\frac{\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}=\frac{\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}}\left(E-E_{0}\right) d x^{4}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}
$$

(where $\tilde{X}_{0}, E_{0}$ denote the values for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$ of $\tilde{X}, E$ ) are continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$. The bound on these functions is deduced from the Lipschitz conditions, verified by $E$ (rational fraction bounded from bounded functions verifying some Lipschitz conditions) with respect to the $X$ and $x^{4}$ :

$$
\left|E-E_{0}\right| \leq M^{\prime \prime}\left\{\sum\left|X-X_{0}\right|+\left|x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}\right|\right\}
$$

$M^{\prime \prime}$ depends only on the bounds $B$ and $B^{\prime}$. We have therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}\right| \leq \frac{M}{2}(M m+1)\left|x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}\right| . \tag{14.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^6](3 $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ The functions $X$ verify Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x_{0}^{i}$.
It is sufficient, in order to prove it, to impose on the space $\Upsilon$ the following supplementary assumption:

The functions $X_{1}$ verify a Lipschitz condition with respect to the $x_{0}^{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|X_{1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, x_{0}^{4}, \ldots\right)-X_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq d^{\prime} \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|, \tag{14.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d^{\prime}$ is a given number.
We have

$$
X_{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-X_{2}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}}\left(E_{1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-E_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right) d x^{4} .
$$

$E_{1}\left(x^{\prime i}{ }_{0}\right)$ and $E_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ are evaluated with the help of the functions $X_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ (in particular $\left.x_{1}^{i}\left(x^{\prime \prime}, \ldots\right)\right)$ and $X_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$, respectively. Since the quantity $E_{1}$ verifies a Lipschitz condition with respect to the $X_{1}$, one deduces from (14.2):

$$
\left|X_{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-X_{2}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| M^{\prime} d^{\prime}\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|,
$$

from which, for $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{M^{\prime}}$, one has

$$
\left|X_{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-X_{2}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq d^{\prime} \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right| .
$$

The point $\mathcal{M}_{2}$, representative of $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ by virtue of (12.1), is still, with the supplementary assumption made, a point of $\Upsilon$, and the fixed point has coordinates verifying

$$
\left|X\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-X\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq d^{\prime} \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|X\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-X\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| M^{\prime} d^{\prime} \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

from which in particular

$$
\left|\tilde{X}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-\tilde{X}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq M^{\prime} d^{\prime} \sum\left|x^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right| .
$$

## 15 Solution of equations (2), (3) and (4)

We now consider the system of integral equations with three groups of unknown functions $\Omega, W$ and $U$, obtained by replacing in the equations (2), (3) and (4) the functions $X$ with the solutions found of equations (1):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Omega=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} F d x^{4}+\Omega_{0}  \tag{2}\\
W=\int_{0}^{x^{4}} G d x^{4}+W_{0}  \tag{3}\\
U=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{0} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} H d x^{4} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}+\int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} I d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} . \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 16 Functional space $\mathcal{F}$

We shall solve these equations by considering a functional space $\mathcal{F}$, the coordinates of a point of $\mathcal{F}$ being defined in the following way:
$\left(1^{o}\right) m_{1}$ of these coordinates ( $m_{1}$ is the number of functions $\Omega$ ) are functions $\Omega_{1}$ continuous and bounded of the seven arguments $x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ in the domain $\Lambda$ :

$$
\left|x_{0}^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right), 0 \leq x^{4} \leq x_{0}^{4}, 0 \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \lambda_{3} \leq 2 \pi
$$

These functions take for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$ the given values $\Omega_{0}$ and satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{0}\right| \leq h \tag{16.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is a given number.
We shall suppose in addition

$$
\left|\Omega_{1}-\Omega_{0}\right| \leq N\left|x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}\right|
$$

where $N$ is a number that we are going to specify later on. The functions $\tilde{\Omega}_{1}$, quotients by $x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}$ of the functions $\Omega_{1}$ that vanish identically for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$, are then bounded in the domain $\Lambda$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Omega_{1}\right| \leq N \tag{16.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\Omega_{1}$ will be assumed continuous in $\Lambda$.
$\left(2^{\circ}\right) m_{2}$ of these coordinates $\left(m_{2}\right.$ is the number of functions $W$ and $U$ ) are functions $W_{1}, U_{1}$ continuous and bounded of the four variables $x^{\alpha}$ in the domain $(D)$ :

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)
$$

These functions take for $x^{4}=0$ the values $W_{0}$ and $U_{0}$ defined by the Cauchy data and satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{1}-W_{0}\right| \leq l,\left|U_{1}-U_{0}\right| \leq l \tag{16.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $l$ is the same number occurring in the assumptions $B$ ). The functions

$$
\Omega_{0}, W_{0}, U_{0}
$$

define a point $\mathcal{M}_{0} \in \mathcal{F}$.

## 17 Distance of two points of $\mathcal{F}$

We define in the space $\mathcal{F}$ the distance of two points $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ by the sum of the upper bounds, in the respective variation domains of their arguments, of the absolute values of differences of their coordinates:

$$
d\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Max}\left\{\sum\left|\Omega_{1}^{\prime}-\Omega_{1}\right|+\sum\left|W_{1}^{\prime}-W_{1}\right|+\sum\left|U_{1}^{\prime}-U_{1}\right|\right\}
$$

The space $\mathcal{F}$ is then, like the space $\Upsilon$, a normed space, complete (topology of uniform convergence) and compact.

## 18 Representation of the space $\mathcal{F}$

To the point $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ of the space $\mathcal{F}$ we associate a point $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ whose coordinates $\Omega_{2}, W_{2}, U_{2}$ are defined by

$$
\Omega_{2}=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} F_{1} d x^{4}+\Omega_{0}
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
W_{2}=\int_{0}^{x^{4}} G_{1} d x^{4}+W_{0}  \tag{18.1}\\
4 \pi U_{2}=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} H_{1} d x^{4}+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} I_{1} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} .
\end{gather*}
$$

$F_{1}, G_{1}, H_{1}, I_{1}$ denote the quantities $F, G, H, I$, occurring in the equations (2), (3) and (4), evaluated with the help of the functions $X$, solutions of equations (1), and by replacing the unknown functions $\Omega, W, U$ with the coordinates $\Omega_{1}, W_{1}, U_{1}$ of the point $\mathcal{M}_{1}$.

Let us prove that the representation (18.1) is a representation of the space $\mathcal{F}$ into itself if $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ is suitably chosen.
$\left(1^{o}\right) F_{1}$ is expressed rationally (cf. Sec. 6) by means, on the one hand, of the ${ }_{A}^{(1)}{ }^{\lambda \mu}, f_{s}, \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$, of their partial derivatives up to the third order and of the $\stackrel{(1)}{a}_{a}^{\alpha \beta}$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{a}{ }_{\alpha \beta}^{0}$ (given functions of the $X$ ), on the other hand of the $\Omega_{1}$. All these functions are continuous and bounded functions of the seven arguments $x_{0}^{\alpha} ; x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$. The denominator $\stackrel{(1)}{T}^{* 4}$ of these fractions $F_{1}$ being nonvanishing $\left(\stackrel{(1)}{T}^{* 4} \geq \frac{1}{2}\right.$ by virtue of $\left.(12.3)\right)$, the $F_{1}$ are continuous and bounded functions of the $x_{0}^{\alpha}, x_{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ :

$$
\left|F_{1}\right| \leq N
$$

$N$ depending only on the bounds $B$ and on $h$.
The $\Omega_{2}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{2}$ are therefore continuous and bounded functions of their arguments, and verify

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Omega_{2}-\Omega_{0}\right| \leq N\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right|, \tilde{\Omega}_{2} \leq N \tag{18.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ satisfies $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{h}{N}$ we shall have

$$
\left|\Omega_{2}-\Omega_{0}\right| \leq h
$$

$\Omega_{2}$ satisfies then the same conditions as $\Omega_{1}$, the number $N$ (upper bound of the $F_{1}$ in $\Lambda$ ), occurring in the inequality (16.2), having been chosen so that this is true as well.
$\left(2^{\circ}\right) G_{1}$ being an $U_{1}$ or a $W_{1}$, the $W_{2}$ are continuous and bounded in $D$ by a number $P$ depending only on the bounds $(B)$

$$
\left|W_{2}-W_{0}\right| \leq\left|x^{4} P\right|
$$

from which, for $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{l}{p}$,

$$
\left|W_{2}-W_{0}\right| \leq l
$$

$\left(3^{o}\right)$ Let us show that the functions $H_{1}$ are bounded by a number which only depends on the bounds $(B),\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and on $h$.
(a) Let us consider the quantity $\stackrel{(1)}{D}{ }^{*}$ occurring in the denominator: $\stackrel{(1)}{D}^{*}$ is a polynomial of the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{* \lambda \mu}, X, \tilde{X}$ and $p_{i}^{0}$ which takes the value -1 for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$ and $X=X_{0}$. By virtue of the inequalities (14.2) and (13.3), verified by the functions $x^{i}$ and the variable $x^{4}$ in the domain $\Lambda, \stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{\lambda \mu}$ verifies Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ in $\Lambda$. One obtains therefore some inequalities verified by the functions $X$ and $\tilde{X}$ and some assumptions $(B)$ stating that

$$
\left|\stackrel{(1)}{D^{*}}+1\right| \leq D^{\prime}\left\{\sum\left|X-X_{0}\right|+\left|x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}\right|\right\} \leq D^{\prime}(m M+1) \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)
$$

where $D^{\prime}$ is a number which depends only on the bounds $(B)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$. We shall be therefore able to choose $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ sufficiently small so that $\stackrel{(1)}{D}$ * does not vanish. We see for example that

$$
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{2 D^{\prime}(m M+1)}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\stackrel{(1)}{D}^{*}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2} \text { in } \Lambda . \tag{18.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let us consider the rational fraction $H_{1 a}$ (cf. Sec. 6) with denominator

$$
\left(\stackrel{(1)}{D}^{*}\right)^{3}\left(x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right) \stackrel{(1)}{T} *
$$

Its numerator is the product by $\left(\left[U_{R}\right]_{1} \omega_{s_{1}}^{R}\right)$ of a polynomial $p$ of the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{\lambda \mu}, \stackrel{(1)}{W_{s}}$, of their first and second partial derivatives and of the functions $X, \tilde{X}$ and $p_{i}^{0}$ : quantities that are all known, possessing the same properties as in chapter I (Sec. 15). The quotient by $x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}$ of the polynomial $p$ (which vanishes for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$ ) is therefore a function continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$. The bound of this function is deduced from the Lipschitz conditions verified by $p$ (polynomial of bounded functions verifying some Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $X$ and $x^{4}$ ):

$$
p \leq P^{\prime}\left\{\sum\left|X-X_{0}\right|+\left|x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}\right|\right\} .
$$

$P^{\prime}$ is a number which depends only on the bounds $B$ and $B^{\prime}$.
We have therefore

$$
\frac{p}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}} \leq P^{\prime}(m M+1)
$$

The $H_{1 a}$ can be therefore put in the form of fractions with numerator

$$
\left[U_{R}\right]_{1} \omega_{s_{1}}^{R} \frac{p}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}},
$$

continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$, with denominator $\stackrel{(1)}{D} \stackrel{(1)}{T}^{* 4}$ continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$. The $H_{1 a}$ are therefore continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$, their bound depending only on the bounds $B, B^{\prime}$ and $h$.
(c) The $H_{1 b}$ (cf. Sec. 6), rational fractions with nonvanishing denominator of the functions continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$, are continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$. We see eventually that the $H_{1}$ are continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$ :

$$
\left|H_{1}\right| \leq Q
$$

where $Q$ depends on nothing else but $B, B^{\prime}$ and $h$.
$\left(3^{\circ}\right)$ Let us consider $I_{1}$. Let us recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\left\{E_{S}^{i *} \frac{D^{*} p_{i}}{T^{* 4}}\left(x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right)^{2} \sin \lambda_{2}\right\}_{x^{4}=0} \tag{18.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $E_{S}^{* i}$ being given by the equality of chapter I involve the partial derivatives of the $\sigma_{S}^{R}$ with respect to the $x^{i}$ of first order only, and linearly; the results of chapter I show then that the $E_{S_{1}}^{i *}\left(x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right)^{2}$ are continuous and bounded in $\Lambda$ because $X, \tilde{X}, \stackrel{(1)}{D}, \stackrel{(1)}{D^{*}}$ and their partial derivatives possess the same properties as in chapter I, and that the $\Omega_{1}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{1}$ are continuous and bounded. We remark in addition that the products of all terms of the $\left(E_{S}^{i}{ }^{*}\right)_{1}$ by $x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}$ are bounded (cf. chapter I and the previous inequalities) by a number $R_{1}$ depending on nothing else but the bounds $B, B^{\prime}$ and $h$, with the exception of the term

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\left[U_{R}\right]_{1} \omega_{S_{1}}^{R}\left[\stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{i j}\right] \frac{\partial \stackrel{(1)}{\sigma}}{\partial x^{j}} \tag{18.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have therefore

The quantity enclosed in brackets, $J$, is a known quantity, which verifies a Lipschitz condition with respect to the functions $X, \tilde{X}$ and the variable $x^{4}$ and which takes the value 1 for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$. We have therefore in $\Lambda$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
|J-1| \leq R_{2}\left|x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}\right| \text { and }\left|(J)_{x^{4}=0}-1\right| \leq R_{2}\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \tag{18.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{2}$ is a number that only depends on the bounds $B, B^{\prime}$ and $h$. We deduce on the other hand from the inequality (16.1), verified by the functions $\Omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left(\omega_{S_{1}}^{R}\right)_{x^{4}=0}-\delta_{S}^{R}\right| \leq N\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \tag{18.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce from the inequalities (18.6), (18.7), (18.8)

$$
\left|I_{1}-\Phi_{S} \sin \lambda_{2}\right| \leq R_{3}\left|x_{0}^{4}\right|
$$

where $R_{3}$ is a number which depends on nothing else but $B, B^{\prime}$ and $h$.
The previous inequality is verified at every point $x^{i}\left(x_{0}^{i}, 0, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ of the domain $d$. We have assumed on the other hand (assumptions $B^{\prime}$ ) that the $\Phi_{S}$ were verifying some Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x^{i}$ :

$$
\left|\Phi_{S}\left(x^{i}\right)-\Phi_{S}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)\right| \leq l_{0}^{\prime}\left|x^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

The $x^{i}$ verify (cf. (13.4)) $\left|x^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right| \leq M_{1}\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right|$ and, having taken here for value $x^{4}=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Phi_{S}\left(x^{i}\right)-\Phi_{S}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)\right| \leq l_{0}^{\prime} M\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \tag{18.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see eventually that there exists a number $R$, depending on nothing else but the bounds $(B),\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and $h$, such that

$$
\left|I_{1}-\Phi_{S}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \sin \lambda_{2}\right| \leq R\left|x_{0}^{4}\right|
$$

The functions

$$
U_{2}=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} H_{1} d x^{4} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}+\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} I_{1} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3}
$$

are hence continuous and bounded functions of the $x_{0}^{\alpha}$ and verify, $\Phi_{S}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ having been denoted by $U_{0}$, the inequality

$$
\left|U_{2}-U_{0}\right| \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \frac{\pi}{2}(Q+R)
$$

from which, for

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{2 l}{\pi(Q+R)} \tag{18.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

we shall have

$$
\left|U_{2}-U_{0}\right| \leq l
$$

The functions $\Omega_{2}, W_{2}, U_{2}$ possess then the same properties as $\Omega_{1}, W_{1}, U_{1}$. The point $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ is hence a point of $\mathcal{F}$ if $\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ verifies, besides the inequalities that were imposed upon it in the solution of equations (1), the inequalities (18.10), (18.2), (18.9).

## 19 Distance of two representative points

Let us evaluate the distance of the points $\mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ representative of $\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}$. We shall deduce from the equations (16.3), defining the representation, that in the domain $\Omega$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{2}^{\prime}-\Omega_{2} \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| \operatorname{Max}_{\Lambda}\left|F_{1}^{\prime}-F_{1}\right| \tag{o}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out from the expression $F_{1}$, from the assumptions $(B)$ and the assumptions made on $\Omega_{1}$ and $W_{1}$ that $F_{1}$ verifies a Lipschitz condition with respect to the functions $\Omega_{1}$ and $W_{1}$ whose $N^{\prime}$ coefficient depends on nothing else but the bounds $(B)$ and $h$. From which the inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\Omega_{2}^{\prime}-\Omega_{2}\right| \leq N^{\prime}\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| \operatorname{Max}\left\{\sum\left|\Omega_{1}^{\prime}-\Omega_{1}\right|+\sum\left|W_{1}^{\prime}-W_{1}\right|\right\}  \tag{19.1}\\
\left|W_{2}^{\prime}-W_{2}\right| \leq\left|x^{4}\right| \operatorname{Max}_{D}\left|G_{1}^{\prime}-G_{1}\right| \tag{o}
\end{gather*}
$$

$G_{1}$ being a function $W_{1}$ or a function $U_{1}$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|W_{2}^{\prime}-W_{2}\right| \leq\left|x^{4}\right| \operatorname{Max}_{D}\left\{\sum\left|W_{1}^{\prime}-W_{1}\right|+\sum\left|U_{1}^{\prime}-U_{1}\right|\right\} \\
\left|U_{2}^{\prime}-U_{2}\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \operatorname{Max}_{D}\left|H_{1}^{\prime}-H_{1}\right|+\frac{\pi}{2} \operatorname{Max}_{d}\left(I_{1}^{\prime}-I_{1}\right) \tag{o}
\end{gather*}
$$

(a) It turns out from the expression of $H_{1}$ (in particular from the fact that the polynomial $p$, occurring in the numerator of the function $H_{a}$, in independent of the point $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ in $\mathcal{F}$ that we consider), from the assumptions $(B)$ and from the inequalities of Sec. 18 that $H_{1}$ verifies a Lipschitz condition with respect to the functions $\Omega_{1}, \tilde{\Omega}_{1}, W_{1}, U_{1}$ whose $R_{1}^{\prime}$ coefficient depends only on the bounds $(B),\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and $h$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid H_{1}^{\prime}- & H_{1} \mid \leq R_{1}^{\prime}\left\{\sum\left|\Omega_{1}^{\prime}-\Omega\right|+\sum\left|\tilde{\Omega}_{1}^{\prime}-\tilde{\Omega}_{1}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\sum\left|W_{1}^{\prime}-W_{1}\right|+\sum\left|U_{1}^{\prime}-U_{1}\right|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Let us consider the quantity $I_{1}$, given by the equality (18.1), where the only unknown functions are the functions $\left(\Omega_{1}\right)_{x^{4}=0}$. The expression of the $E_{S}^{i}$ (in particular the one of $\frac{\partial \omega_{S}^{R}}{\partial x^{i}}$ ), the results of chapter I and those obtained from the solution of equations (1), the assumptions $(B)$ and those made upon $\Omega_{1}$ show that the product

$$
\left\{E_{S_{1}}^{i}\left(x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right)^{2}\right\}_{x^{4}=0}
$$

verifies a Lipschitz condition with respect to the functions $\left(\Omega_{1}\right)_{x^{4}=0}$ whose $R_{2}^{\prime}$ coefficient depends only on the bounds $(B),\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and $h$ :

$$
\left|I_{1}^{\prime}-I_{1}\right| \leq R_{2}^{\prime} \sum\left|\Omega_{1}^{\prime}-\Omega_{1}\right|_{x^{4}=0}
$$

We have therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|U_{2}^{\prime}-U_{2}\right| & \leq R_{2}^{\prime}\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \operatorname{Max}_{D}\left\{\sum\left|\Omega_{1}^{\prime}-\Omega_{1}\right|+\sum\left|\tilde{\Omega}_{1}^{\prime}-\Omega_{1}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\sum\left|W_{1}^{\prime}-W_{1}\right|+\sum\left|U_{1}^{\prime}-U_{1}\right|\right\} \\
& +\frac{\pi}{2} R_{2}^{\prime} \operatorname{Max}_{d} \sum\left|\Omega_{1}^{\prime}-\Omega_{1}\right|_{x^{4}=0} \tag{19.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us then consider the point $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ representative of the point $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ (i.e. obtained starting from $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ with the help of equalities analogous to (18.1)). The transformation mapping $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ into $\mathcal{M}_{3}$
is a representation of the space $\mathcal{F}$ into itself. Let us compute the distance of two representative points.

We shall deduce from the inequality (19.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\Omega}_{2}^{\prime}-\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\right| \leq N^{\prime} \operatorname{Max}_{\Lambda}\left\{\sum\left|\Omega_{1}^{\prime}-\Omega_{1}\right|+\sum\left|W_{1}^{\prime}-W_{1}\right|\right\} . \tag{19.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities (19.1), (19.2) and (19.3), written one after the other for the representations $\mathcal{M}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{3}$, show then without any difficulty that there exists a number $\alpha$, nonvanishing, depending on nothing else but the bounds $(B),\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and $h$ such that, for

$$
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)<\alpha
$$

one has

$$
d\left(\mathcal{M}_{3}, \mathcal{M}_{3}^{\prime}\right)<k d\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $k$ is a given number less than 1.
The representation of the space $\mathcal{F}$ into itself which leads from $\mathcal{M}_{1}$ to $\mathcal{M}_{3}$ admits then a unique fix point, and the same holds for the representation (18.1) originally given.

## 20 Conclusion

There exists a number $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ depending only on the bounds $(B),\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and $h$ (and nonvanishing) such that, in the respective domains:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|x_{0}^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right), 0 \leq x^{4} \leq x_{0}^{4}, 0 \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \pi, 0 \leq \lambda_{3} \leq 2 \pi  \tag{1}\\
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

The equations (2), (3) and (4) have a unique solution, continuous and bounded $\Omega\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ and $W\left(x^{\alpha}\right), U\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ verifying the inequalities

$$
\left|\Omega-\Omega_{0}\right| \leq h,\left|W-W_{0}\right| \leq l,\left|U-U_{0}\right| \leq l
$$

We shall prove in addition that the functions $W$ and $U$ obtained satisfy, as $\stackrel{(1)}{W}$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{U}$, some Lipschitz conditions with respect to the variables $x^{i}$.

## 21 The functions $W\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ and $U\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ fulfill Lipschitz conditions with respect to the variables $x^{i}$

In order to prove that the functions $W$ and $U$, solutions that we have found of equations (2), (3) and (4) satisfy Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x^{i}$ it is enough to make on the functional space $\mathcal{F}$ previously considered the following supplementary assumptions:

## Assumptions

$\left(1^{\circ}\right)$ The functions $\Omega_{1}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}_{1}$ satisfy Lipschitz conditions with respect to the three arguments $x_{0}^{i}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Omega_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)-\Omega_{1}\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)\right| \leq h^{\prime} \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right| \tag{21.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $h^{\prime} \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| N^{\prime}$; in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\tilde{\Omega}_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-\tilde{\Omega}_{1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq N^{\prime} \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right| \tag{21.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h^{\prime}$ is an arbitrary given number, $N^{\prime}$ a number that we will specify later on, function of the previous bounds.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ The functions $W_{1}$ and $U_{1}$ satisfy Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x^{i}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|W_{1}\left(x^{\prime i}, x^{4}\right)-W_{1}\left(x^{i}, x^{4}\right)\right| \leq l \sum\left|x^{\prime i}-x^{i}\right| \\
& \left|U_{1}\left({x^{\prime \prime}}^{i}, x^{4}\right)-U_{1}\left(x^{i}, x^{4}\right)\right| \leq l \sum\left|x^{\prime i}-x^{i}\right| \tag{21.3}
\end{align*}
$$

## 22 Representation of $\mathcal{F}$ into itself

$\mathcal{F}$, endowed with the previous norm, is still a normed, complete and compact space. Let us show that the representative points $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ of the points $\mathcal{M}_{1} \in \mathcal{F}$ are still points of $\mathcal{F}$ if $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ is suitably chosen.
$\left(1^{o}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-\Omega_{2}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}}\left(F_{1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-F_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right) d x^{4} \tag{22.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities $F_{1}\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ and $F_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ are evaluated with the help of the functions $X\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ (in particular $x^{i}\left(x^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right), \Omega_{1}\left(x^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}, \ldots\right.$ )

$$
\text { and } \left.x^{i}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right), \Omega_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right)
$$

respectively.
It turns out from the expression of $F_{1}$, the assumptions made (in particular from (14.2) and (18.2)) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|F_{1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-F_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq N^{\prime} \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right| \\
& \left|\Omega_{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-\Omega_{2}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| N^{\prime} \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right| \tag{22.2}
\end{align*}
$$

if $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{h^{\prime}}{N^{\prime}}
$$

We shall have therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\Omega_{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-\Omega_{2}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq h^{\prime} \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right| . \tag{22.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $N^{\prime}$ denotes the number, that depends only on the bounds $(B),\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ and $h$, occurring in the inequality (21.2), we shall have equally well

$$
\left|\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-\tilde{\Omega}_{2}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right| \leq N^{\prime} \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

$\left(2^{o}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|W_{2}\left(x^{\prime i}, x^{4}\right)-W_{2}\left(x^{i}, x^{4}\right)\right|=\int_{0}^{x^{4}}\left(G_{1}\left(x^{\prime i}, t\right)-G_{1}\left(x^{i}, t\right)\right) d t \\
+ & W_{0}\left(x^{\prime i}\right)-W_{0}\left(x^{i}\right) \tag{22.4}
\end{align*}
$$

$G_{1}$ being a function $W_{1}$ or a function $U_{1}$, the inequality (21.3) shows, under the assumptions $B^{\prime}$ on the Cauchy data, that one has

$$
\left|W_{2}\left(x^{\prime i}, x^{4}\right)-W_{2}\left(x^{i}, x^{4}\right)\right| \leq\left|x^{4}\right| l \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}^{i}-x^{i}\right|+l_{0} \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}^{i}-x^{i}\right|
$$

One then sees that

$$
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{l-l_{0}}{l}
$$

implies

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|W_{2}\left(x^{\prime}, x^{4}\right)-W_{2}\left(x^{i}, x^{4}\right)\right| \leq l \sum\left|x^{\prime i}-x^{i}\right| \\
U_{2}\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}\right)-U_{2}\left(x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}\right)=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{0} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[H_{1}\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-H_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right] d x^{4} d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} \\
+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{\pi}\left[I_{1}\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-I_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right] d \lambda_{2} d \lambda_{3} . \tag{o}
\end{gather*}
$$

The quantities $H_{1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}\right), I_{1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}\right)$ and $H_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right), I_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ are evaluated with the help of the functions $X\left(x^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ (in particular $\left.x^{i}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)\right), \Omega_{1}\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ and

$$
X\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right), \Omega_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)
$$

respectively.
Quantity $H_{1}$
(a) Let us consider the polynomial $p$ occurring in the denominator of $H_{1 a} \cdot p$ is a polynomial of the functions $\left[\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{\lambda \mu}\right], \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$, of their first and second partial derivatives, of the functions $X, \tilde{X}$ and $p_{i}^{0}$.

The Taylor series expansion of this polynomial, starting from the values

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[\stackrel{(1)}{A} \lambda \mu]_{0}=\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu},\left[\frac{\partial^{(1)} A^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right]=\left[\frac{\partial^{(1)}{ }^{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}\right]_{0}, \ldots,} \\
\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right), \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s \alpha}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)=\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s \alpha}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right), \ldots, X=X_{0}, \tilde{X}=\tilde{X}_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

(values of the corresponding functions for the value $x_{0}^{4}$ of the parameter $x^{4}$ ) for which the polynomial $p$ vanishes, shows that $p$ is a polynomial of the functions already listed, and of the functions $\left[\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{\lambda \mu}\right]-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}, \ldots,{\underset{W}{W}}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)-\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right), \ldots, \tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}, X-X_{0}$ whose terms are at least of first degree with respect to the set of these last functions.

The quantity $\frac{p}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}$ is therefore a polynomial of the functions

$$
\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{\lambda \mu}, \ldots, \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right), \ldots, X, \tilde{X}, p_{i}^{0}
$$

and of the functions

$$
\frac{\left[\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* \lambda \mu}\right]-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}, \frac{\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)-\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}, \ldots, \frac{X-X_{0}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}, \frac{\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}
$$

Since the coefficients $\stackrel{(1)}{A}{ }^{* \lambda \mu}$ and the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ admit bounded derivatives with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$ up ro the fourth order, whereas the functions considered involve only derivatives of the first two orders, it turns out from the assumptions $(B)$ and the inequalities (13.4) and (14.1), verified by $X$ and $\tilde{X}$, that all listed functions are bounded in $\Lambda$ by a number which only depends on the bounds $(B)$ and ( $\left.B^{\prime}\right)$.

The polynomial $\frac{p}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}$ verifies therefore a Lipschitz condition with respect to each of these functions, whose coefficient depends only on the bounds $(B)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$. Let us prove that these functions themselves verify Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x_{0}^{i}$. It will be enough for us, by virtue of the assumptions $(B)$ and the inequalities of Sec. 13 to prove this result for:
(1) the functions $\frac{\left[\begin{array}{c}(1) \\ A\end{array}{ }^{\lambda \mu}\right]-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}$ and $\frac{\stackrel{(1)}{W} s\left(x^{\alpha}\right)-W_{s}^{(1)}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}$ and the analogous functions written with first and second partial derivatives of the $\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* \lambda \mu}$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ with respect to the $x^{\alpha}$;
(2) the functions $\frac{X-X_{0}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}$.
(1) Let us set

$$
F\left(x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)=\frac{\stackrel{(1)}{A^{* \lambda \mu}-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* \lambda \mu}-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}=A^{* \lambda \mu}\left(\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x^{i}, x^{4}\right), \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}\right), x^{i}, x^{4}, x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}\right) \\
-A^{* \lambda \mu}\left(\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}\left(x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}\right), \stackrel{(1)}{W_{s}}\left(x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}\right), x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}, x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $x^{i}=x^{i}\left(x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}, x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$.
Let us consider the quantity $F\left(x^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-F\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$. The function occurring in the numerator vanishes for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$ (because the two functions $F\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ and $F\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ vanish) and it admits a derivative with respect to $x^{4}$ continuous and bounded in the domain $\Lambda$ (because the same holds for the functions $F\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ and $\stackrel{(1)}{A} * \lambda \mu, \stackrel{(1)}{W}$ s and $\left.x^{i}\right)$. We have therefore (formula of finite increments)

$$
\begin{gathered}
F\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, \ldots\right)-F\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right) \\
=\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{4}}\left[\left(\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* \lambda \mu}\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}\right)-\left(\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* \lambda \mu}\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}\right)\right]\right\}_{x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}-\theta\left(x^{4}-x_{0}^{4}\right)}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $\theta$ is a number in between 0 and 1 .
Since the derivative of the function ${ }^{(1)}{ }^{* \lambda \mu}\left(x^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)$ with respect to the parameter $x^{4}$ verifies a Lipschitz condition with respect to the $x_{0}^{i}$ (assumptions $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, results of Sec. 14), whose coefficient depends only on the bounds $(B)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$, we see eventually that

$$
F\left({x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right)-F\left(x_{0}^{i}, \ldots\right) \leq L_{1} \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

where $L_{1}$ depends only on the bounds $(B)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$. Q.E.D.
The same proof holds for the function $\frac{W_{s}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)-W_{s}\left(x_{0}^{\alpha}\right)}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}$ and for the functions built with the partial derivatives of the $\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* \lambda \mu}$ or $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{\text {s }}$ up to the third order included.
(2) We have (cf. Sec. 14)

$$
\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}=\frac{\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}}\left(E-E_{0}\right) d x^{4}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}
$$

from which

$$
\left(\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}\right)_{x_{0}^{\prime i}}-\left(\tilde{X}-\tilde{X}_{0}\right)_{x_{0}^{i}}=\frac{\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}}\left[\left(E-E_{0}\right)_{x_{0}^{\prime i}}-\left(E-E_{0}\right)_{x_{0}^{i}}\right] d x^{4}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}
$$

$E$ being a rational fraction with denominator $\stackrel{(1)}{T}^{* 4}$ of the coefficients $\stackrel{(1)}{A}_{A}^{* \lambda \mu}$ and of their partial derivatives up to the third order (the fourth-order partial derivatives only occur in the equations (1) having in the first member $z_{j h k}^{i}$, whereas $X$ correspond only to the functions $y_{i}^{j}, y_{i h}^{j}, y_{i h k}^{j}$ ) and of the functions $X$, we can write $E-E_{0}$ in the form of rational fraction with denominator ${\underset{T}{T}}^{* 1)}$ (because $\stackrel{(1)}{T}^{* 4}=1$ for $x^{4}=x_{0}^{4}$ ) of the previous functions and of the functions $X-X_{0}, \stackrel{(1)}{A} * \lambda \mu-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}$,
... whose denominator has all its terms of first degree at least with respect to the set of these functions. We can then write

$$
E-E_{0}=\left(x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right) F,
$$

where $F$ is a rational fraction with denominator $\stackrel{(1)}{T}^{* 4}$ of the previous functions and of the functions

$$
\frac{X-X_{0}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}, \frac{\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{* \lambda \mu}-\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}, \ldots
$$

Since all these functions verify Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x_{0}^{i}$, it is clear that

$$
\left|\left(E-E_{0}\right)_{x^{\prime}{ }_{0}^{i}}-\left(E-E_{0}\right)_{x_{0}^{i}}\right| \leq L_{2}\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right| \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

from which

$$
\left|\left(X-X_{0}\right)_{x_{0}^{\prime i}}-\left(X-X_{0}\right)_{x_{0}^{i}}\right| \leq \frac{L_{1}}{2}\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right|
$$

and

$$
\left|\left(\frac{X-X_{0}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}\right)_{x^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0}^{\prime}}-\left(\frac{X-X_{0}}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}\right)_{x_{0}^{i}}\right| \leq \frac{L_{2}}{2} . \text { Q.E.D. }
$$

We have thus proven that the quantity $\frac{p}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}$ verifies a Lipschitz condition, with respect to the $x_{0}^{i}$, whose coefficient depends only on the bounds $(B)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$.
(b) There remains no difficulty to prove that the quantity $H_{1}$ (product of the square root of a rational fraction with numerator 1 and nonvanishing denominator with a rational fraction with nonvanishing denominator of the bounded functions verifying all Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x_{0}^{i}$ ) verifies in $\Lambda$ a Lipschitz condition with respect to the $x_{0}^{i}$ whose coefficient $Q^{\prime}$ depends on nothing else but the bounds $(B),\left(B^{\prime}\right), h$ and $h^{\prime}$

$$
\left|H_{1}^{\prime}-H_{1}\right| \leq Q^{\prime} \sum\left|{x^{\prime}}_{0}^{i}-x_{0}^{i}\right| .
$$

## Quantity $I_{1}$

One proves easily, by considering the expression of $I_{1}$ and the previous inequalities, that all terms of $I_{1}$, with the exception of the term (18.4), verify Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x_{0}^{i}$ whose coefficient is of the form $R_{1}^{\prime} \mid x_{0}^{4}$, where $R_{1}^{\prime}$ is a number depending only on the bounds $(B)$ and ( $B^{\prime}$ ).

Let us consider the term (18.4). One finds (by a proof analogous to those used for $H_{1}$ ) that $\frac{J\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)-1}{x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}}$ verifies a Lipschitz condition with respect to the variables $x_{0}^{i}$, from which

$$
\left|J\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}\right)-J\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)\right|_{x^{4}=0} \leq R_{2}^{\prime}\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

from which, by using the inequality (21.1) and the inequalities of Sec. 18

$$
\left|I_{0}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}\right)-I_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)\right| \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| R_{0}^{\prime \prime} \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|+\left|U_{0}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}\right)-U_{0}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)\right|\left(1+R_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left|x_{0}^{4}\right|\right)
$$

One then obtains Lipschitz conditions, verified by $U_{0}$,

$$
\left|I_{1}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}\right)-I_{1}\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)\right| \leq\left(R^{\prime}\left|x_{0}^{4}\right|+l_{0}\right) \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

where $R^{\prime}$ is a number depending only on the bounds $B$ and $B^{\prime}$.
We shall deduce eventually from the Lipschitz conditions, verified by $H_{1}$ and $I_{1}$,

$$
\left|U_{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, x_{0}^{4}\right)-U_{2}\left(x_{0}^{i}, x_{0}^{4}\right)\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\left[\left(Q^{\prime}+R^{\prime}\right)\left|x_{0}^{4}\right|+l_{0}\right] \sum\left|x_{0}^{\prime i}-x_{0}^{i}\right|
$$

hence that the inequality

$$
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{l-l_{0}}{Q^{\prime}+R^{\prime}} \frac{2}{\pi}
$$

implies

$$
\left|U_{2}\left(x_{0}^{\prime i}, x^{4}\right)-U_{2}\left(x^{i}, x^{4}\right)\right| \leq l \sum\left|x^{\prime i}-x^{i}\right| .
$$

Conclusion. The inequalities of Sec. 22 prove that, if $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ satisfies the corresponding inequalities, the point $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ is again, under the additional assumptions made, a point of $\mathcal{F}$. The application of the fixed-point theorem shows that, in the domain $D$, the functions $W$ and $U$ satisfy Lipschitz conditions with respect to the $x^{i}$ with coefficient $l$.

The functions $W$ and $U$, solutions of the integral equations $\left(J_{1}\right)$, satisfy therefore, in $D$, the same inequalities holding for the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}, \ldots \stackrel{(1)}{U}$.

## II. Solution of the equations $G_{1}$

We will now prove that the functions $W_{s}$, solutions of the equations $I_{1}$, are solutions of the equations $G_{1}$, and that the functions $W_{s \alpha}, \ldots U_{S}$, solutions of the equations $I_{1}$, are the partial derivatives (up to the fourth order) of the $W_{s}$, in a domain $D$ depending only on the bounds $B$ and $B^{\prime}$. We shall use for the proof the approximation of continuous functions by means of analytic functions (method used in analogous problems by Hadamard and several other authors).

## 23 Analytic coefficients and analytic Cauchy data

Let us consider some equations $G_{1}$ where the coefficients and Cauchy data are analytic $\left(A^{\lambda \mu}, f_{s}\right.$,

$$
\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}, \varphi_{s}
$$

and $\psi_{s}$ analytic functions of their various arguments). The Cauchy problem for the equations $G_{1}$ admits an analytic solution in a neighbourhood $V$ of the domain ( $d$ ) of the surface $x^{4}=0$ carrying the initial data (Cauchy-Kowalevski theorem). If the coefficients and the Cauchy data satisfy the assumptions of chapter II, there exists a neighbourhood $V$ of $(d)$ where this solution satisfies the integral equations $I_{1}$.

Let us consider on the other hand, independently of equations $G_{1}$, the integral equations $I_{1}$. We shall prove in the next section that they admit, within a domain $D$ depending only on the bounds $B$ and $B^{\prime}$, a unique analytic solution which coincides therefore, in the part shared by the domains $V^{\prime}$ and $D^{*}$, with the solution of equations $G_{1}$. This principle of analytic continuation shows then that this solution of equations $I_{1}$ is solution of equations $G_{1}$ in the whole of $D .{ }^{9}$

## 24 Analyticity of the solutions of $I_{1}$

Let us prove for example the analyticity, in $D$, of the solution of equations (1)

$$
X=\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} E d x^{4}+X_{0}
$$

when $E$ is an analytic function of the quantities $X, x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}$, by extending its definition to the complex domain:
$E$ being an analytic function of the $X, x_{0}^{\alpha}, x^{4}$, bounded by $M$ in the domain

$$
R\left(\left|X-\bar{X}_{0}\right| \leq d,\left|x_{0}^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)\right)
$$

[^7]of variation of its real arguments, it is expandable in an absolutely convergent series in the neighbourhood of every point of $R$. We can thus extend the definition of $E$ to a domain of variation of the complex arguments $Z=X+i y, z_{0}^{\alpha}=x_{0}^{\alpha}+i y_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}=x^{4}+i y^{4}$ by expressing it in the form of a convergent series, hence holomorphic in the $m$ cylinders $V$, centred at a point whatsoever of $V$ and defined by
$$
\left|Z^{\prime}-X\right| \leq a_{X},\left|z_{0}^{\prime \alpha}-x_{0}^{\alpha}\right| \leq b_{x_{0}^{\alpha}},\left|z^{4}-x^{4}\right| \leq C_{x^{4}}
$$

The partial derivatives $\frac{\partial E}{\partial X_{1}}$ being bounded by $M^{\prime}$ in $R$ (cf. Lipschitz conditions verified by $E$ ) one can choose the bounds $a_{X}, b_{x_{0}^{\alpha}}$ and $C_{x^{4}}$ in such a way that, in $v$ one has

$$
\left|\frac{\partial E}{\partial Z_{1}}\right| \leq M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}, \alpha^{\prime} \text { being an arbitrarily small number. }
$$

One can also choose the bounds $b_{x_{0}^{\alpha}}$ and $C_{x^{4}}$ so that, in $v, \beta$ being an arbitrarily small number, one has

$$
\left|I E\left(X_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)\right| \leq \beta,\left|R E\left(X_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)\right| \leq M+\beta
$$

One can build on the other hand a cover of the domain $R$ by means of a finite number of projections in $R$ of the $m$ previous cylinders, the corresponding $m$ cylinders determine a domain $\bar{R}$ of the space of complex arguments $Z, z_{0}, z^{4}$, which fulfill the inequalities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|X-\bar{X}_{0}\right| \leq d,\left|x_{0}^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \\
|Y| \leq a,\left|y_{0}^{\alpha}\right| \leq b,\left|y^{4}\right| \leq c
\end{gathered}
$$

$a, b, c$ being nonvanishing numbers, and in which the complex function $E$ is defined and analytic. Let us write:

$$
E\left(Z_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)=E\left(Z_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)-E\left(X_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)+E\left(X_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)
$$

from which

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|I E\left(Z_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)\right| \leq m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) a+\beta \\
\left|R E\left(Z_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)\right| \leq m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) a+\beta+M
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us consider now the equations (1), extended to the complex domain $\bar{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\int_{z_{0}^{4}}^{z^{4}} E\left(Z, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right) d z^{4}+Z_{0} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to solve it we consider, as in the real case, a functional space $\Upsilon$ defined by the functions of complex variables $Z_{1}\left(z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)$, real for $z_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $z^{4}$ real, analytic in the domain $\bar{D}$ defined by

$$
\left|x_{0}^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right),\left|y_{0}^{\alpha}\right| \leq b,\left|y^{4}\right| \leq c,
$$

and satisfying $\left|X_{1}-X_{0}\right| \leq d,\left|y_{1}\right| \leq a$.
$\left(1^{o}\right)$ The representation

$$
Z_{2}=\int_{z_{0}^{4}}^{z^{4}} E\left(Z_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right) d z^{4}+Z_{0}
$$

is a representation of the space into itself if $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right), b$ and $c$ are suitably chosen. As a matter of fact:
(1) $Z_{1}$ is an analytic function of $z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}$ because this holds for $E$, real for $z_{0}^{\alpha}$ and $z^{4}$ real.
(2) From the equality

$$
Z_{2}=-\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x_{0}^{4}+i y_{0}^{4}} E d z^{4}+\int_{x_{0}^{4}}^{x^{4}} E d z^{4}+\int_{x^{4}}^{x^{4}+i y^{4}} E d z^{4}+Z_{0}
$$

we deduce

$$
\left|X_{2}-X_{0}\right| \leq(b+c)\left[m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) a+\beta\right]+\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right|\left[m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) a+\beta+M\right]
$$

$$
\left|Y_{2}\right| \leq(b+c)\left[m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) a+\beta+M\right]+\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right|\left[m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) a+\beta .\right]
$$

We shall thus have

$$
\left|X_{2}-\bar{X}_{0}\right| \leq d \text { if } \varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right) \leq \frac{d-(b+c)\left[m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) a+\beta\right]}{M+m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) a+\beta}
$$

and

$$
\left|Y_{2}\right| \leq a \text { if } b+c \leq \frac{a\left[1-m M^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right)\right]-\left(m \alpha^{\prime} a+\beta\right)\left(x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right)}{M+m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right) a+\beta} .
$$

Let us recall that the number

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)<\frac{1}{m M} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-m M^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right)>0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall therefore choose $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ as satisfying (1); the inequality (2) shows that one can find, without supplementary assumptions upon $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$, the numbers $b$ and $c$ defining $\bar{D}$ (after having chosen $\alpha^{\prime}, a$ and $\beta$ sufficiently small), so that $\mathcal{M}_{2}$ is a point of $\mathcal{F}$. The domain $\bar{D}$ has for real part a domain as close as one wants to $D$.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ Let us prove that the representation reduces the distances. We have seen that, in $\bar{R}$, one has $\left|\frac{\partial E}{\partial Z_{1}}\right| \leq M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}$, from which

$$
\left|E\left(Z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)-E\left(Z_{1}, z_{0}^{\alpha}, z^{4}\right)\right| \leq\left|Z_{1}^{\prime}-Z_{1}\right|\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right)
$$

we shall thus have

$$
d\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq m\left(M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}\right)\left|z_{0}^{4}-z^{4}\right| d\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

from which

$$
d\left(\mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \leq d\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right) \text { if }\left|z_{0}^{4}-z^{4}\right|<\frac{1}{m M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}}
$$

which will be in particular obtained if

$$
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)<\frac{1}{m M^{\prime}+\alpha^{\prime}}-\eta \text { and } b+c<\eta
$$

$\eta$ being an arbitrarily small number,
The real part of the domain $\bar{D}$ so defined is again as close as one wants to $D$.
We shall conclude, as in the real case, that the representation $(I)$ admits a unique fixed point: the corresponding $Z$ functions are solutions of equations (1), and analytic, in the domain $\bar{D}$. The functions $X$, values of these functions $Z$ for real arguments $x_{0}^{4}, x^{4}$ are analytic functions, solutions in a domain as close as one wants to $D$ of equations (1).

An analogous result is proved in the same way for equations (2), (3) and (4).

## 25 Coefficients and Cauchy data satisfying only the assumptions $B$ and $B^{\prime}$

If the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$, as well as the given functions ${ }_{W}^{(1)}$ and the Cauchy data, satisfy only the assumptions $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ we shall approach uniformly these quantities, and at the same time their partial derivatives up to the fourth order, by means of analytic functions

$$
A_{(n)}^{\lambda \mu}, f_{s(n)}, \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s(n)}, \varphi_{s(n)}, \psi_{s(n)}
$$

verifying, themselves as well, the assumptions $B$ and $B^{\prime}$.

We shall build in this way a family of functions $W_{s(n)}, \ldots U_{S(n)}$, solutions in $D$ of equations $I_{1(n)}$ and solutions in $D$ of the Cauchy problem $\left(\varphi_{s(n)}, \psi_{s(n)}\right)$, relatively to the equations $G_{1(n)}$ :

$$
A_{(n)}^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s(n)}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+f_{s(n)}=0
$$

These functions $W_{s(n)}$ possess partial derivatives up to the fourth order and satisfy the same assumptions $B$ and $B^{\prime}$ as is the case for the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$.

## 26 Convergence of solutions of the approximate equations $G_{1(n)}$

Let us prove that these functions $\left(W_{s(n)} \ldots U_{S(n)}\right)$ converge uniformly to some functions $\left(W_{s} \ldots U_{S}\right)$ when the functions $A_{(n)}^{\lambda \mu}, \stackrel{(1)}{W_{s(n)}}, \varphi_{s(n)}, \psi_{s(n)}$ and their partial derivatives converge uniformly to the given functions

$$
A^{\lambda \mu}, \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}, \varphi_{s}, \psi_{s} .
$$

Arguments analogous to those of the previous pages, and the fact that the functions $W_{(n)}$ and $U_{(n)}$ verify a Lipschitz condition with respect to the $x$ variables (that one has to replace by $X_{(n)}$ in the integral equations $\left(I_{1(n)}\right)$ verified by these functions) show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|X_{(n)}-X_{(m)}\right| \leq \operatorname{Max}_{\Lambda}\left\{\alpha \left(\sum\left|A_{(n)}^{\lambda \mu}-A_{(m)}^{\lambda \mu}\right|+\ldots\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\sum\left|\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s(n)}-\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s(m)}\right|+\ldots\right) \\
& \left.+M^{\prime} \sum\left|X_{(n)}-X_{(m)}\right|\right\}\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right|, \\
& \left|\Omega_{(n)}-\Omega_{(m)}\right| \leq \operatorname{Max}_{\Lambda}\left\{\beta \left(\sum\left|A_{(n)}^{\lambda \mu}-A_{(m)}^{\lambda \mu}\right|+\ldots+\sum\left|\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{(n)}-\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{m}\right|\right.\right. \\
& \left.+\sum\left|X_{(n)}-X_{(m)}\right|\right)+N^{\prime}\left(\left|\Omega_{(n)}-\Omega_{(m)}\right|\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\sum\left|W_{(n)}-W_{(m)}\right|\right)\right\}\left|x_{0}^{4}-x^{4}\right|, \\
& \left|W_{(n)}-W_{(m)}\right| \leq \operatorname{Max}\left\{\sum\left|W_{(n)}-W_{(m)}\right|+\sum\left|U_{(n)}-U_{(m)}\right|\right\}\left|x^{4}\right| \\
& +\left|W_{0(n)}-W_{0(m)}\right| \text {, }  \tag{26.1}\\
& \left|U_{(n)}-U_{(m)}\right| \leq \operatorname{Max}\left\{\gamma \left(\sum\left|A_{(n)}^{\lambda \mu}-A_{(m)}^{\lambda \mu}\right|+\ldots+\sum\left|f_{s(n)}-f_{s(m)}\right|+\ldots\right.\right. \\
& +\sum\left|\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{(n)}-\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{m}\right|+\sum\left|X_{(n)}-X_{(m)}\right|+R_{1}^{\prime}\left(\sum\left|U_{(n)}-U_{(m)}\right|\right. \\
& \left.+\sum\left|W_{(n)}-W_{(m)}\right|+\sum\left|\Omega_{(n)}-\Omega_{(m)}\right|+\sum\left|\tilde{\Omega}_{(n)}-\tilde{\Omega}_{(m)}\right|\right\} \cdot\left|x_{0}^{4}\right| \\
& +\operatorname{Max}\left\{\delta \left(\sum\left|X_{(n)}-X_{(m)}\right|+\sum\left|A_{(n)}^{\lambda \mu}-A_{(m)}^{\lambda \mu}\right|+\ldots\right.\right.
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\left.\left.+\sum\left|\Phi_{s(n)}-\Phi_{s(m)}\right|\right)+R_{2}^{\prime} \sum\left|\Omega_{(n)}-\Omega_{(m)}\right|\right\}_{x^{4}=0}
$$

$\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are bounded numbers (which only depend on the bounds (B), ( $B^{\prime}$ ), $h$ and $h^{\prime}$.
The written inequalities show without difficulty that the functions

$$
X_{(n)}, \Omega_{(n)} \text { and } W_{(n)}, U_{(n)}
$$

converge uniformly towards functions $X, \Omega$ and $W, U$ in their respective domains of definition, ( $\Lambda$ ) and $(D),{ }^{10}$ when the approximating functions converge uniformly towards the given functions.

These functions $W, U$, uniform limits of the functions $W_{s(n)}, U_{(n)}$, satisfy the following properties.

## 27 Properties of the solutions of equations $G_{1}$

$\left(1^{\circ}\right)$ The functions $W_{s \alpha} \ldots U_{S}$ are partial derivatives up to the fourth order of the functions $W_{s}$, and all these functions satisfy the same assumptions $(B)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ as the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ in $D$.
$\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ The functions $W_{s}$ verify the partial differential equations $G_{1}$ :

$$
\stackrel{(1)}{A}^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+f_{s}=0
$$

in the domain $D$.

## 28 Solution of the given equations $G$

We consider the functional space $W$ defined by the functions $\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}$ and satisfying the assumptions $(B)$ and $\left(B^{\prime}\right)$ in the domain $D$. We have just proved that the solution evaluated of the Cauchy problem for the equations $G_{1}$ defines a representation of this space into itself. Let us denote by $\stackrel{11}{W}_{s}$ this solution.

The space $W$ is a normed, complete and compact space (for the topology of uniform convergence) if one defines the distance of two of its points by

$$
\left.\left.d\left(\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Max}_{D}\left(\sum\left|\begin{array}{l}
(1) \\
W_{s} \\
-\stackrel{(1)}{W}_{s}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right|+\ldots+\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{|c}
(1) \\
U
\end{array}\right.\right)-\stackrel{(1)}{U}_{S}^{\prime} \right\rvert\,\right)
$$

The distance of two representative points $\mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{2}^{\prime}$ from $\mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{1}^{\prime}$ will be compared to the distance of these points with the help of inequalities analogous to the inequalities (26.1) (the terms relative to the differences of the coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}, f_{s}$ and of the Cauchy data being suppressed).

It is then clear that there exists a number $\eta$ bounded, nonvanishing, such that if the number $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$, defining the domain $D$, verifies

$$
\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)<\eta,
$$

the distance of the two representative points

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(2)^{\prime} & (2)^{\prime} \\
W_{s} \ldots U_{S}
\end{array}\right) \text { and }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
(2) & (2) \\
W_{s} \ldots & \stackrel{U}{U}_{S}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is less than the distance of the initial points.

[^8]The representation considered admits then a unique fixed point ( $W_{s} \ldots U_{S}$ ) which belongs to the space.

The functions $W_{s}$ corresponding to this fixed point are solutions of the Cauchy problem, formulated in relation with the given equations $G$, in the domain $D$. They possess partial derivatives up to the fourth order, continuous, bounded and satisfying Lipschitz conditions with respect to the variables $x^{i}$.

We arrive also to the existence theorem that we state as follows.

## 29 Existence theorem

The Cauchy problem relative to the system of nonlinear partial differential equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\lambda \mu}\left(W_{r}\right) \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+f_{s}\left(W_{r}, W_{r \lambda}\right)=0 \lambda, \mu=1,2,3,4, s, r=1,2, \ldots n \tag{G}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits in the domain $D$, under the assumptions $H$, a solution possessing partial derivatives up to the fourth order, continuous and bounded and satisfying Lipschitz conditions with respect to the variables $x^{i}$.

## 30 Uniqueness theorem

Let us consider the system of integral equations verified by the solutions of the given equations $G$. This system can only have one solution $W_{s}, W_{s \alpha}, \ldots, U_{S}$ where the $W_{s \alpha}, \ldots, U_{S}$ are partial derivatives of the $W_{s}$ : in this case there occurs indeed no difficulty in writing inequalities analogous to the inequalities of Sec. 26, where $W_{(n)} \ldots U_{(n)} ; \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{(n)} \ldots \stackrel{(1)}{U}_{(n)}$ on the one hand,

$$
W_{(m)} \ldots U_{(m)} ; \stackrel{(1)}{W}_{(m)} \ldots \stackrel{(1)}{U}_{(m)}
$$

on the other hand, would be replaced by two solutions of equations $G$, respectively; from these inequalities one derives without suffering the coincidence of these two solutions.

## 31 Summary of the results of chapter III

Let us summarize here the assumptions made and the results obtained. We consider a system of nonlinear, second-order, hyperbolic partial differential equations with $n$ unknown functions $W_{s}$ and four variables $x^{\alpha}$, of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{s}=A^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} W_{s}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+f_{s}=0, \lambda, \mu=1,2,3,4, s=1,2 \ldots, n \tag{E}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $f_{s}$ are given functions of the unknown $W_{s}$, of their first partial derivatives $W_{s \alpha}$ and of the variables $x^{\alpha}$. The $A^{\lambda \mu}$ are given functions of the $W_{s}$ and of the $x^{\alpha}$.

The Cauchy data are, on the initial surface $x^{4}=0$,

$$
W_{s}\left(x^{i}, 0\right)=\varphi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right), W_{s 4}\left(x^{i}, 0\right)=\psi_{s}\left(x^{i}\right)
$$

On the system $(E)$ and the Cauchy data I make the following assumptions:
$\left(1^{o}\right)$ In the domain ( $d$ ), defined by $\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d, \varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ possess partial derivatives up to the orders five and four, continuous, bounded and satisfying Lipschitz conditions.
$\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ For the values of the $W_{s}$ satisfying

$$
\left|W_{s}-\varphi_{s}\right| \leq l,\left|W_{s i}-\varphi_{s i}\right| \leq l,\left|W_{s 4}-\psi_{s}\right| \leq l
$$

and in the domain $D$ defined by

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon:
$$

(a) $A^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}$ possess partial derivatives up to the fourth order, continuous, bounded and satisfing Lipschitz conditions.
(b) The quadratic form $A^{\lambda \mu} X_{\lambda} X_{\mu}$ is of the normal hyperbolic type: $A^{44}>0, A^{i j} \xi_{i} \xi_{j}$ negativedefinite.

I then prove that the Cauchy problem $\left(\varphi_{s}, \psi_{s}\right)$ admits a unique solution, possessing partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the fourth order, in relations with equations $(E)$ in a domain $\triangle$ (tronc of cone with base $d$ ):

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \eta\left(x^{i}\right) .
$$

CHAPTER IV
Existence and uniqueness theorems for the equations of relativistic gravitation.
The ten potentials $g_{\alpha \beta}$ of the $d s^{2}$ of an Einstein universe satisfy, in the domains without matter and in absence of electromagnetic field, the ten partial differential equations of second order of the exterior case

$$
R_{\alpha \beta} \equiv \partial_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\lambda}-\partial_{\alpha} \Gamma_{\lambda \beta}^{\lambda}+\Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\mu}-\Gamma_{\lambda \alpha}^{\mu} \Gamma_{\mu \beta}^{\lambda}=0
$$

where one has set $\partial_{\lambda}$ for $\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}}$ and where the $x^{\lambda}$ are a system of four spacetime coordinates whatsoever.

The ten equations are not independent because the $R_{\alpha \beta}$ satisfy the four conservation conditions (Bianchi identities)

$$
\nabla_{\lambda} S^{\lambda \mu} \equiv 0 \text { where } S^{\lambda \mu} \equiv R^{\lambda \mu}-\frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda \mu} R
$$

## 1 Cauchy problem

The problem of determinism, in the theory of relativistic gravitation, is formulated, for an exterior spacetime in the form of the Cauchy problem relative to the system of partial differential equations $R_{\alpha \beta}=0$ and with initial data (potentials and first derivatives) carried by any hypersurface $S$.

The study of the values on $S$ of the consecutive partial derivatives of the potentials has shown that, if $S$ is nowhere tangent to a characteristic manifold, and if the Cauchy data satisfy four given conditions, the Cauchy problem admits, with respect to the system of equations $R_{\alpha \beta}=0$, in the analytic case, a solution. This solution is unique, i.e., if there exist two solutions, they coincide up to a change of coordinates (conserving $S$ pointwise and the values on $S$ of the Cauchy data).

If $S$ is defined by the equation $x^{4}=0$, the four conditions that the initial data must verify are the four equations

$$
S_{\lambda}^{4}=0
$$

which are expressed in terms of the data only.

## 2 Isothermal coordinates

The coordinate $x^{\lambda}$ is said to be isothermal if the potentials satisfy the following first-order partial differential equation:

$$
F^{\lambda} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{\lambda \mu}\right)}{\partial x^{\mu}}=0
$$

The Einstein equations read as, in whatever coordinates,

$$
R_{\alpha \beta} \equiv-G_{\alpha \beta}-L_{\alpha \beta}=0
$$

with

$$
G_{\alpha \beta} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+H_{\alpha \beta}
$$

where $H_{\alpha \beta}$ is a polynomial of the $g_{\lambda \mu}, g^{\lambda \mu}$ and of their first derivatives and

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{\alpha \beta} \equiv \frac{1}{2} g_{\beta \mu} \partial_{\alpha} F^{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \mu} \partial_{\beta} F^{\mu} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that, if the four coordinates are isothermal, every equation $R_{\alpha \beta}=0$ does not contain second derivatives besides those of $g_{\alpha \beta}$. The system of Einstein equations takes then the form of the systems studied in the previous chapters.

We can, without restricting the generality of the hypersurface $S^{11}$, assume that the initial data satisfy, besides the four conditions $S_{\lambda}^{4}=0$, the conditions of isothermy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\partial\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{\lambda \mu}\right)}{\partial x^{\lambda}}=0 \text { for } x^{4}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall solve this Cauchy problem for the equations $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$, verified by the potentials in isothermal coordinates, and we shall prove afterwards that the potentials obtained define indeed a spacetime, related to isothermal coordinates, and verify the equations of gravitation $R_{\alpha \beta}=0$.

## 3 Solution of the Cauchy problems for the equations $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$

We shall apply to the system

$$
G_{\alpha \beta} \equiv g^{\lambda \mu} \frac{\partial^{2} g_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+H_{\alpha \beta}=0
$$

the results of chapter III by setting $g^{\lambda \mu}=A^{\lambda \mu}, H_{\alpha \beta}=f_{s}, g_{\alpha \beta}=W_{s}$. Let us make on the Cauchy data the following assumptions:

## Assumptions

In a domain $(d)$ of the initial surface $S, x^{4}=0$, defined by

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d:
$$

$\left(1^{o}\right)$ The Cauchy data $\varphi_{s}$ and $\psi_{s}$ possess partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the orders five and four, respectively.
$\left(2^{o}\right)$ In the domain ( $d$ ) and for these Cauchy data the quadratic form $g^{\lambda \mu} X_{\lambda} X_{\mu}$ is of normal hyperbolic type, $S$ being oriented in space: $g^{44}>0, g^{i j} X_{i} X_{j}$ negative-definite (let us remark in particular that the determinant $g$ of the $g_{\lambda \mu}$ is $\neq 0$ ).

We deduce from these assumptions the existence of a number $l$ such that for $\left|g_{\alpha \beta}-\bar{\varphi}_{s}\right| \leq l$ one has $g \neq 0$ and we see that, for some unknown functions $g_{\alpha \beta}=W_{s}$, the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|W_{s}-\bar{\varphi}_{s}\right| \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}-\frac{\partial \bar{\varphi}_{s}}{\partial x^{i}}\right| \leq l,\left|\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{4}}-\bar{\psi}_{s}\right| \leq l \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are satisfied. The coefficients of the equations $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$ (which are here independent of the variables $x^{\alpha}$ satisfy, as the Cauchy data, the assumptions of chapter III, i.e.:
$\left(1^{o}\right)$ The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}=g^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}=H_{\alpha \beta}$ admit partial derivatives with respect to all their arguments up to the fourth order continuous and bounded and satisfying Lipschitz conditions ( $g^{\lambda \mu}$

[^9]and $H_{\alpha \beta}$ are rational fractions with denominator $g$ of the $g_{\lambda \mu}=W_{s}$, and of the $g_{\lambda \mu}=W_{s}$ and $\frac{\partial W_{s}}{\partial x^{\alpha}}$, respectively).
(2 $2^{o}$ ) The quadratic form $A^{\lambda \mu} X_{\lambda} X_{\mu}$ is of normal hyperbolic type: $A^{44}>0, A^{i j} X_{i} X_{j}$ negativedefinite.

We can thus apply to the system $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$, for the Cauchy problem here considered, the conclusion of chapter II, which is stated as follows.

There exists a number $\varepsilon\left(x^{i}\right) \neq 0$ such that, in the domain

$$
\left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right|<d,\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon\left(x^{i}\right)
$$

the Cauchy problem relative to the equations $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$ admits a solution which has partial derivatives continuous and bounded up to the fourth order and which verifies the inequalities (3.1).

## 4 The solution of the system $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$ verifies the conditions of isothermy

(1 ${ }^{\circ}$ ) The solution found of the system $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$ verifies the four equations

$$
\partial_{4} F^{\mu}=0 \text { for } x^{4}=0
$$

We have assumed indeed that the initial data satisfy the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}^{4}=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F^{\mu}=0 \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x^{4}=0$. Hence we have

$$
S_{\lambda}^{4} \equiv-g^{4 \mu}\left\{G_{\lambda \mu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\lambda \mu} g^{\alpha \beta} G_{\alpha \beta}+L_{\lambda \mu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\lambda \mu} g^{\alpha \beta} L_{\alpha \beta}\right\}
$$

The solution of the system $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$ verifies therefore, taking into account the expression (2.1) of $L_{\alpha \beta}$, the equations

$$
-\frac{1}{2} g^{4 \mu} g_{\lambda \alpha} \partial_{\mu} F^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\lambda} F^{4}+\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\lambda}^{4} \partial_{\alpha} F^{\alpha}=0 \text { for } x^{4}=0,
$$

from which, by virtue of (4.2) $\left(F^{\mu}=0\right.$ and $\left.\partial_{\lambda} F^{\mu}=0\right)$,

$$
-\frac{1}{2} g^{44} g_{\lambda \alpha} \partial_{4} F^{\alpha}=0 \text { for } x^{4}=0
$$

We see eventually that the solution found of the system $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$ verifies the four equations

$$
\partial_{4} F^{\mu}=0 \text { for } x^{4}=0
$$

$\left(2^{o}\right)$ The solution found of $G^{\alpha \beta}=0$ verifies $F^{\mu}=0$.
This property is going to result from the conservation conditions. Ten potentials $g_{\alpha \beta}$ whatsoever satisfy indeed the four Bianchi identities $\nabla_{\lambda}\left(R^{\lambda \mu}-\frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda \mu} R\right) \equiv 0$, where $R^{\lambda \mu}$ is the Ricci tensor corresponding to these potentials.

A solution of the system $\sigma_{\alpha \beta}=0$ verifies therefore the four equations

$$
\nabla_{\lambda}\left(L^{\lambda \mu}-\frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda \mu} L\right)=0
$$

where $L^{\lambda \mu}=g^{\alpha \lambda} g^{\beta \mu} L_{\alpha \beta}$ and $L=g^{\alpha \beta} L_{\alpha \beta}$. It turns out from the expression (2.1) of $L_{\alpha \beta}$ that these equations read as

$$
\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha \lambda} \nabla_{\lambda}\left(\partial_{\alpha} F^{\mu}\right)+\frac{1}{2} g^{\beta \mu} \nabla_{\lambda}\left(\partial_{\beta} F^{\lambda}\right)-\frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda \mu} \nabla_{\lambda}\left(\partial_{\alpha} F^{\alpha}\right)=0
$$

from which, by developing and simplifying,

$$
\frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha \lambda} \frac{\partial^{2} F^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\alpha} \partial x^{\lambda}}+P_{\mu}\left(\partial_{\alpha} F^{\lambda}\right)=0
$$

where $P$ is a linear combination of the $\partial_{\alpha} F^{\lambda}$ whose coefficients are polynomials of the $g^{\alpha \beta}, g_{\alpha \beta}$ and of their first derivatives.

We notice therefore that the four quantities $F^{\mu}$ (formed with the $g_{\alpha \beta}$ solutions of $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$ ) verify four partial differential equations of the type previously studied. The coefficients $A^{\lambda \mu}=g^{\lambda \mu}$ and $f_{s}=P_{\mu}$ verify, in $D$, the assumptions of chapter III. The quantities $F^{\mu}$ are by hypothesis vanishing on the domain $(d)$ of $x^{4}=0$, and we have proved that the same was true of their first derivatives $\partial_{\alpha} F^{\mu}$. We deduce then from the uniqueness theorem that, in $D$, we have

$$
F^{\mu}=0 \text { and } \partial_{\alpha} F^{\mu}=0
$$

The potentials, solutions of the Cauchy problem formulated with respect to the system $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$, verifies therefore effectively in $(D)$ the conditions of isothermy and represent the potentials of an Einstein spacetime, solutions of the equations of gravitation $R_{\alpha \beta}=0$.

## 5 Uniqueness

In order to prove that there exists only one exterior spacetime corresponding to the initial conditions given on $S$, one has to prove that every solution of the Cauchy problem formulated in such a way with respect to the equations $R_{\alpha \beta}=0$ can be deduced by a change of coordinates from the solution of this Cauchy problem relative to the equations $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$. We know (chapter IV) that this last solution is unique.

Let us therefore consider a solution $g_{\alpha \beta}$ of the Cauchy problem relative to the equations $R_{\alpha \beta}=0$ and look for a transformation of coordinates

$$
\check{x}^{\alpha}=f^{\alpha}\left(x^{\beta}\right)
$$

By conserving $S$ pointwise and in such a way that the potentials in the new system of coordinates, let them be $\check{g}_{\alpha \beta}$, verify the four equations

$$
\check{F}^{\lambda}=0
$$

we know that the four quantities $\check{F}^{\lambda}$ are invariants which verify the identities

$$
\check{F}^{\lambda} \equiv \check{\triangle}_{2} \check{x}^{\lambda}=\triangle_{2} f^{\lambda}
$$

In order for the equations $\check{F}^{\lambda}=0$ to be verified it is therefore necessary and sufficient that the functions $f$ satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\triangle_{2} f^{\alpha} \equiv g^{\lambda \mu}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}-\Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{p} \frac{\partial f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{p}}\right)=0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are partial differential equations of second order, linear, normal hyperbolic in the domain (D).

If we take for values of the functions $f^{\alpha}$ and of their first derivatives, upon $S$, the following values (that are such that the change of coordinates conserves $S$ pointwise)

$$
\begin{align*}
& f^{4}=0, \partial_{\alpha} f^{4}=\delta_{\alpha}^{4} \\
& f^{i}=x^{i}, \partial_{\alpha} f^{i}=\delta_{\alpha}^{i} \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

for $x^{4}=0$, we see that the Cauchy problems formulated in such a way admit (cf. the existence theorems) in ( $D$ ) and in relation to the equations (5.1) solutions possessing their partial derivatives up to the fourth order continuous and bounded.

We have thus defined a change of coordinates $\check{x}^{\lambda}=f^{\lambda}\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ such that, in the new system of coordinates, the potentials $\check{g}_{\alpha \beta}$ verify the conditions of isothermy $\check{F}^{\lambda}=0$. It remains to prove that this change of coordinates determines in a unique way the Cauchy data $\check{g}_{\alpha \beta}\left(x^{4}=0\right)$ and $\check{\partial}_{4} \check{g}_{\alpha \beta}\left(x^{4}=0\right)$, in terms of the original data $g_{\alpha \beta}\left(x^{4}=0\right)$ and $\partial_{4} g_{\alpha \beta}\left(x^{4}=0\right)$.

We know that, $g_{\alpha \beta}$ being the components of a covariant two-index tensor

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha \beta}=\check{g}_{\lambda \mu} \partial_{\alpha} f^{\lambda} \partial_{\beta} f^{\mu} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which, in light of (5.2),

$$
g_{\alpha \beta}=\check{g}_{\alpha \beta} \quad \partial_{i} g_{\alpha \beta}=\check{\partial}_{i} \check{g}_{\alpha \beta} \text { for } x^{4}=\check{x}^{4}=0
$$

It remains to evaluate the derivatives of the potentials with respect to $x^{4}$ and $\check{x}^{4}$ for $x^{4}=\check{x}^{4}=0$. $\varphi$ being an arbitrary function of a spacetime point we have

$$
\partial_{4} \varphi=\check{\partial}_{\lambda} \varphi \partial_{4} f^{\lambda}
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{4} \varphi=\check{\partial}_{4} \varphi \text { for } x^{4}=\check{x}^{4}=0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find, on the other hand, by deriving the equality (5.3) with respect to $x^{4}$

$$
\partial_{4} g_{\alpha \beta}=\partial_{4} \check{g}_{\lambda \mu} \partial_{\alpha} f^{\lambda} \partial_{\beta} f^{\mu}+\check{g}_{\lambda \mu}\left(\partial_{\alpha 4}^{2} f^{\lambda} \partial_{\beta} f^{\mu}+\partial_{\beta 4}^{2} f^{\mu} \partial_{\alpha} f^{\lambda}\right)
$$

from which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{4} g_{\alpha \beta}=\partial_{4} \check{g}_{\alpha \beta}+\check{g}_{\lambda \beta} \partial_{\alpha 4}^{2} f^{\lambda}+\check{g}_{\mu \alpha} \partial_{\beta 4}^{2} f^{\mu} \text { for } x^{4}=0 \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We deduce also from the initial values (5.2) of the $f^{\lambda}$ :

$$
\partial_{\alpha i}^{2} f^{\lambda}=0 \text { for } x^{4}=0
$$

The $f^{\lambda}$ verify on the other hand the conditions of isothermy (5.1), from which

$$
g^{44} \partial_{44}^{2} f^{\lambda}=g^{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\alpha \beta}^{\lambda} \text { for } x^{4}=0
$$

$\partial_{44}^{2} f^{\lambda}$ is hence determined in a unique way by the original Cauchy data; this is also equally true of $\partial_{4} \check{g}_{\alpha \beta}$ for $x^{4}=0$.

We have thus proved the following theorem:
Once a solution $g_{\alpha \beta}$ of the Cauchy problem is given in relation to the equations $R_{\alpha \beta}=0$ (the initial data satisfying upon $S$ the differentiability assumptions previously stated) there exists a change of coordinates, conserving $S$ pointwise, such that the potentials $\check{g}_{\alpha \beta}$ in the new system of coordinates verify everywhere the conditions of isothermy and represent the solution, unique, of a Cauchy problem, determined in a unique way, relative to the equations $G_{\alpha \beta}=0$.

We conclude therefore, in terms of relativity:
Theorem. There exists one and only one exterior spacetime corresponding to the initial conditions assigned upon $S$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ M. M. RIESZ uses equally well integral equations to solve the linear Cauchy problem with variable coefficients.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Note that the integral equations (1.2) under consideration are nonlinear integral equations, the quantity under integration symbol being a polynomial of given functions of the unknown functions. It is easy to prove that these equations have a continuous, bounded, three times differentiable solution, verifying

    $$
    \left|x^{i}-\bar{x}^{i}\right| \leq d \text { and }\left|x^{4}\right| \leq \varepsilon
    $$

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ The partial derivatives of the function $x^{4}$ with respect to the variables $x^{i}$ are known directly because $\frac{\partial x^{4}}{\partial x^{i}}=-p_{i}$.

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Because the correspondence between $\left(x^{4}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ and $\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right)$ is one-to-one.

[^5]:    ${ }^{6}$ With the exception of the functions $x^{i}$, for which $X_{0}=x_{0}^{i}$, the functions $X_{0}$ are constants or functions of $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ only.

[^6]:    ${ }^{7}$ The proofs have been performed in chapter I by using the variable $\lambda_{1}$; it is clear that one can repeat it with the variable $x^{4}$, the denominator $\stackrel{(1)}{T}^{* 4}$ here introduced being a (nonvanishing) polynomial of the same functions on which $E$ depends.
    ${ }^{8}$ Since the $X$ found satisfy $\left|X-\bar{X}_{0}\right| \leq d$ we can evaluate ${ }^{(1)}{ }^{\lambda} \lambda \mu\left(x^{\alpha}\right)$ by replacing $x^{i}$ with the corresponding $X$ function.

[^7]:    ${ }^{9}$ Being solution of equations $G_{1}$ in a domain as close as one wants to $D$ this solution of equations $I_{1}$, which is continuous in $D$, is solution of equations $G_{1}$ in $D$.

[^8]:    ${ }^{10}$ The number $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)$ that defines $D$ having been chosen in such a way that the representations defined with the help of these equations reduce the distances: $\varepsilon\left(x_{0}^{i}\right)<\frac{1}{m M}$ etc.

[^9]:    ${ }^{11}$ Once a spacetime and an hypersurface $S\left(x^{4}=0\right)$ are given, there always exists a coordinate change $\check{x}^{\lambda}=f\left(x^{\mu}\right)$, with $\check{x}^{4}=0$ for $x^{4}=0$, such that the potentials $\check{g}_{\alpha \beta}$ verify the conditions (2.2) (an hypersurface $S$ can always be integrated in a family of isothermal manifolds). Cf. the proof of Sec. 5.

